DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 287 921 UD 025 833

TITLE	Pacific Rim Profiles. A Demographic Study of Asian Pacific Population in Los Angeles County. Technical Report.
INSTITUTION	United Way, Inc., Los Angeles, CA.
PUB DATE	Nov 85
NOTE	341p.
PUB TYPE	Reports - Research/Technical (143)
EDRS PRICE	MF01/PC14 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS	*Asian Americans; Census Figures; *Cultural Differences; Demography; *Ethnic Groups; Ethnic Origins; Immigrants; *Minority Groups; Mobility; Population Trends; Whites
IDENTIFIERS	*California (Los Angeles); Immigration

ABSTRACT

This demographic study of the Asian Pacific Population in Los Angeles County (California) summarizes social and economic data from other sources. Trends and statistical data are presented for the following groups of Asian-Americans: Japanese, Chinese, Filipino, Korean, Asian Indian, Hawaiian, Vietnamese, and other Asians. The following topics are included: (1) population growth and estimates; (2) immigration trends; (3) geographic location; (4) comparative analysis of ethnic groups; (5) social indicators; and (6) Asian Pacific service resources. Recommendations are made for increased services in language, education, employment, immigration, health, domestic violence and intergroup relations. (VM)

****
* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *
****

ED287921

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

☒ This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Marge Nichols
United Way, Inc.

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

VD025833

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

PACIFIC RIM PROFILES

A Demographic Study of Asian Pacific Population

in Los Angeles County

ASIAN PACIFIC RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL

UNITED WAY, INC.

621 South Virgil Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90005
(213) 736 1306

November 1985

FOREWORD

Lilly Lee, Chair
Asian Pacific Research and Development Council

I am pleased to present in this report the results of our **Pacific Rim Profiles** study, conducted by the Asian Pacific Research and Development Council of United Way.

The study was initiated to develop a central base of information for business, government and human services organizations to determine how the changing demographics in Los Angeles' Asian Pacific communities will affect marketing, ethnic politics, and existing social and community service infrastructures. Highlights of the study are also available in a summary brochure, available from United Way.

Although Asian Pacifics were among the first immigrant groups to come to the West Coast, they have remained one of the least understood. There is a general assumption that all Asian Pacific languages and cultures

are similar and therefore interchangeable among the different ethnic groups. It may also come as a surprise that many second, third and fourth generation Asian Americans cannot speak the language of their ancestors nor understand their customs and traditions.

I have discovered a vast difference in population statistics from the 1980 Census and community leaders' estimates. The 1980 Census figure represents 6% of the Los Angeles County population, but estimates from ethnic group leaders could increase the ratio to as much as 14% today. How to arrive at a fair estimate which will include new, uncounted Asian Pacific immigrants is a complex issue which can best be approached through a partnership of demographers, immigration authorities and Asian Pacific leadership.

I sincerely hope that **Pacific Rim Profiles** will contribute to a better understanding of the diversity and strengths among the Asian Pacific peoples of our County, as well as to help in identifying underserved Asian Pacific needs which can be incorporated in long range plans.

Los Angeles' growing role as a center for Pacific Rim trade will make it a continuing magnet not only for international business, but also for the constant flow of immigrants. The long term consequences of actively drawing immigrants and refugees into the mainstream, rather than allowing independent and isolated ethnic enclaves to develop, are worth everyone's time and action today.

The Council would like to know how you have used or expanded on our study findings. Let us hear from you!

ASIAN PACIFIC RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL

Chairperson	Lilly V. Lee
Senior Vice Chairperson	Frank H. Watase
Vice Chairpersons	Richard Y. Koo Mark Mayeda Beulah Kwoh Randal Lee
Members at Large	Ted T. Tanaka Paul Louie Ludy Ongkeko Robert Lem Howard Nishimura Howard Quon Gene Miyahara Wade Piston Lani Sakoda Bertram F. Given Lynne Choy Uyeda Robert Jung Harold Chuang George K. Saiki Alex Haley Henry Miller Henry Ota Gerald Jann
Regional Representatives	Jerry Rhee David Chen Gladys Lee Jack Fujimoto Feelie Lee Col. Young Kim Michael Woo

UNITED WAY STAFF

Francis X. McNamara, Jr.
President

Alice McHugh
Senior Vice President
Planning and Resource Development Division

Leland Wong
Project Manager
Associate Director, Community Issues

Marge Nichols
Research Director

Judy Malunda
Research Consultant

Chul Jo
Research Associate

CONTENTS

	Page
FOREWORD	i
INTRODUCTION	1
A. Purpose and Objectives	3
B. Data Sources	6
C. Organization of the Report	9
PART I: BACKGROUND	
A. Asian Pacific Population: U.S. and California	11
B. Social Context of Asian Pacific Immigration	16
PART II: ASIAN PACIFIC COMMUNITIES OF LOS ANGELES	
A. Population Growth	23
B. Immigration Trends	28
C. Geographic Location	33
D. Current Population Estimates	40
E. Comparative Analysis of Asian Pacific Groups	44
1. Age	44
2. American Born - Foreign Born	46
3. Language	49
4. Labor Force Participation	53
5. Employment and Occupation	56
Occupation	56
Male/Female Employment	61
Employment in Public/Private Sectors	66
Teen/Young Adult Labor Force Participation	69
6. Education - Adults	74
School Completed and Occupation	77

Comparative Analysis of Asian Pacific Groups, cont.

7. Income
   - Household Median Income 79
   - Household Income Distribution 83
   - Household Income and School Years Completed 85
   - Household Income by Occupation 90
   - Household Income and Year of Immigration 90
   - Household Income of American Born and Foreign Born 91
   - Male/Female Incomes 91

8. Source of Income 93
   - Wage Income 96
   - Self-Employment Income 96
   - Rent and Dividend Income 97
   - Other Income 97

9. Poverty Status 98

10. Family Composition 100

11. Home Ownership 102

F. Social Indicators

1. Education 106
2. Health 111
3. Crime 122

G. Asian Pacific Service Resources 124

PART III: SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A. Summary of Findings 127
B. Problems and Issues 137
C. Recommendations 141

APPENDIXES

A. PROFILES OF ASIAN PACIFIC ETHNIC GROUPS

1. Japanese		146
2. Chinese		148
3. Filipino		150
4. Korean		152
5. Asian Indian		154
6. Vietnamese		156
7. Hawaiian		158
8. Other Asian		160

B. STUDIES ON ASIAN PACIFICS IN LOS ANGELES 162

C. DEFINITION OF CENSUS TERMS 163

D. DETAILED CROSS TABULATIONS - SELECTED TABLES

Table 8 a-i	Ability to Speak English by Place of Birth, by Ethnic Group	171
Table 16 a-i	Occupation by Years of School Completed, by Ethnic Group	180
Table 19 a-i	Household Income, Employed Persons 16 Years and Over, by Occupation, by Ethnic Group	193
Table 20 a-i	Household Income by Year of Immigration, by Ethnic Group	206
Table 22-24	Persons by Source of Income, by Ethnic Group	219
Table 37 a-e	Asian Family Income by United Way Regions and Study Areas	223
Table 38 a-e	Asians and Pacific Islanders by Ethnic Group, United Way Regions and Study Areas	228

REFERENCES 243

INTRODUCTION

INTRODUCTION

A human services dilemma faces the Asian Pacific community in the Los Angeles area: a service system appropriate for a contemporary urban society is needed, yet it must be responsive to the traditional cultural perspectives of many different Asian ethnic groups. Further, little information is available to support human services planning for Asian Pacific groups, which until recently have been lumped into an "Other" category in most data collection efforts.

The Asian Pacific Research and Development Council of United Way initiated this study to present a more accurate and realistic picture of the Asian Pacific ethnic groups in Los Angeles County today. The intent of this study was to provide baseline demographic data about Asian populations: their numbers, their location, and their characteristics, as a beginning step toward identifying their needs for human services, and their potential contributions to the community and its human services system.

Los Angeles is the major U.S. gateway to the Pacific Rim countries, and is expected to serve as a focal point for both trade and immigration. The explosive growth of Asian Pacific population will continue, making this study a pacesetting effort to respond to emerging needs.

By 1985 Los Angeles' Asian Pacific population has reached a level that requires immediate attention from the human services organizations of both the private and public sectors. Yet the various aspects of language, culture and patterns of assimilation to American society make it difficult for agencies to identify an appropriate service focus, particularly given the lack of baseline data which could help agency administrators prioritize their projects and programs.

Although commonly referred to as one group, Asian Pacifics comprise many different cultural and language groups, and represent distinctly different social levels within their countries of origin. The diversity among Asian Pacific groups also extends to the timing and circumstances of their arrival in the United States: immigration for the Japanese, Chinese, Filipinos, Koreans and Asian Indians; annexation in the case of Hawaiians,

Guamanians and Samoans; and refugee status for the Vietnamese, Laotians and Cambodians.

A. Purpose and Objectives

The Asian Pacific Research and Development Council was created by the Corporate Board of United Way to serve as a vehicle to better meet the needs of the diverse Asian Pacific communities within Los Angeles County. As part of its program strategy to foster greater communication and understanding between the United Way and Asian Pacific communities, the Council used part of a grant from United Way to develop a database on Asian Pacific characteristics, trends and needs in Los Angeles County. The project was intended to enable the United Way network to adequately forecast changes and to develop strategies for service delivery and for voluntary sector participation by Asian Pacifics.

Objectives of the demographic study as adopted by the Asian Pacific Research and Development Council's Demographic Study Committee were:

1. To identify the different Asian Pacific groups in Los Angeles County and determine their numbers.

2. To track growth of the Asian Pacific population over the past two decades.

3. To determine trends in origin and characteristics of Asian Pacific immigrants.

4. To determine the income, education and occupational status of the Asian Pacific population, and trends in these characteristics among earlier and more recent immigrants.

5. To determine socio-economic mobility patterns of the Asian Pacific population.

6. To determine the English language proficiency of the Asian Pacific population, and extent of bilingualism.

7. To identify some housing characteristics of the Asian Pacific population.

8. To identify problems and issues which affect the Asian Pacific population.

9 . To present recommendations for addressing service needs of Asian Pacific groups in Los Angeles County.

In addition, the study was intended to serve as a vehicle for gathering all available studies and data on Asian Pacific groups in the Los Angeles area, and for identifying and cataloging existing service agencies with services directed to the Asian Pacific community. The study was designed to establish a centralized resource

collection which would be readily available to service agencies, community organizations, and the general public.

The study was limited to examining characteristics of the Asian Pacific population through analysis of data from various existing sources, and did not involve original data collection or fieldwork. This report does not purport to be exhaust 'e, and should be taken as establishing a baseline for further research on the Asian Pacific population in Los Angeles.

It is hoped that this report will heighten the awareness of human service organizations, businesses, government and the general public that the Asian Pacific population is composed of not one ethnic group, but seven major and even more smaller ethnic groups, each with a unique set of values, culture, language, problems, needs and aspirations.

B. Data Sources

The only available source for uniform data on the Asian Pacific population is the U.S. Census. In this study we recognize that due to the rapid pace of immigration, it is inevitable that Census data provide a somewhat dated picture of Asian Pacifics in Los Angeles as of 1985. In addition, some community leaders believe that some Asians were not counted in the Census due to language problems, not understanding the Census, and fear of authorities. Yet in spite of its recognized limitations, the Census remains the best data source available, and it can provide valuable insights into characteristics of people from around the Pacific Rim which affect their lives in Los Angeles today and in the future.

The 1980 Census Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS) was used as the principal tool for the study, to provide data not available from other Census sources on each of eight Asian categories, as well as comparable information on non-Asian groups in the population. The ethnic groupings used in the study are listed below, together with a three-letter abbreviation which is used to designate each group in some graphics in the report.

Asian Pacific Groups	Non-Asian Groups
Asian Indian (ASI)	American Indian (AMI)
Chinese (CHN)	Black (BLK)
Filipino (FIL)	Hispanic (HIS)
Hawaiian (HWN)	White Non-Hispanic (WHT)
Japanese (JPN)	Other (OTH)
Korean (KOR)	
Vietnamese (VTN)	
Other Asians (OTA)	

This category includes small Asian groups for which separate tabulations were not available, from PUMS, including Guamanians, Samoans, Thais, Cambodians, Burmese, Lao, Hmong, etc.)

The Public Use Microdata Sample is a 5% sample of the population which provides more detailed information than is available in the larger Census samples. PUMS provides reliable data when tabulated for large groups, but could not be reliably tabulated at a sub-County level for separate groups within the Asian population. Consequently, most of the data in this report are at the County level, rather than for cities or smaller communities. However, another Census file using a 19% sample was used to provide data on the characteristics of the total Asian Pacific

population for the five United Way Regions and 185 Study Areas within the Regions.

Data for both reports were prepared from Census Bureau computer tapes by the Regional Institute and Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG), the Census Bureau designated Regional Census Data Center.

In this report the terms "Asian Pacific" and "Asian" are used interchangeably, covering all ethnic groups of Asia and the Pacific Islands.

The term "White" or "White Non-Hispanic" is used to refer to Caucasians who are not of Hispanic origin. Nearly all of the statistics in this report are provided for Non-Hispanic Whites. It is important to note that "White," as commonly used in Census terminology, refers to anyone who was identified by self-report on the Census form as White in terms of race. This includes approximately 60% of Hispanics; consequently the data for the "White" category in most Census tabulations tends to be skewed for many measures.

Other terms such as "households," "subfamilies," "occupation," "labor force," and the like are used in the report as defined by the U.S. Census Bureau. Definitions of Census terms are provided in Appendix C.

In addition to Census sources, data on vital statistics, health indicators, crime indicators and school enrollment data were obtained from local public agencies. These reports are listed in Appendix E, References.

C. Organization of the Report

Part I of the report provides a brief overview of the growth and changing socio-economic characteristics of the Asian Pacific population in the U.S. and the State of California. Part I reviews the composition of the Asian Pacific population during the 1960s and 1970s, and highlights some aspects of the social context of Asian Pacific immigration to the U.S.

Part II presents findings of the Asian Pacific demographic study as extracted from Census data and local agency statistics. Attention is drawn to the contrasts in socio-economic variables of language, education, income, occupation, and housing among the various Asian Pacific groups, in comparison withthe total population. Highlights of the data are pointed out in the text, with corresponding tables provided for closer study. This section includes

findings on human services targeted to the Asian Pacific population.

Part III presents the summary of findings and identifies problems and issues which surfaced in the study. It includes recommendations regarding human services for the Asian Pacific population in Los Angeles.

The Appendices contain, in addition to technical notes and references, a profile of each Asian Pacific ethnic group. The Profiles bring together data from the tables which compare different groups, in order to provide a tool for examining all the data for a given group as a unit. Also included as Appendices are detailed tables with cross-tabuations for each ethnic group, which were too lengthy to incorporate in the text.

Throughout the report, tables show data for Asian Pacific ethnic groups, and also for Whites, Blacks, American Indians, Others, and Hispanics. To maintain a sharp focus on Asians, in most instances data for the non-Asian groups are not discussed in the text, but it is important to note that comparisons can be made where needed by inspection of the tables.

PART I: BACKGROUND

PART I

BACKGROUND

A. Asian Pacific Population in the U.S. and California

This report on Asian Pacific population, while focusing on Los Angeles County, recognizes the fact that significant numbers of Asians are also concentrated in other parts of the United States, including New York, Northern California, Hawaii, and Washington. However, because a large proportion of Asians settled in California, particularly Los Angeles County, this study becomes more important because such a population concentration usually implies not only more complex problems, but also wide-ranging prospects of human and capital resources.

The Asian Pacific population in the U.S. registered a 78% increase during the 1970-1980 decade, bringing the total to 3,726,440 in the 1980 Census count. By mid-1985, the nation's Asian population was estimated to be 5,147,900. (Gardner, Robey & Smith)

This tremendous increase in the Asian Pacific population was the result of the 1965 immigration reform law which eliminated discriminatory quota provisions and opened up Asian immigration to the United States. Additionally, the Refugee Act of 1980 (PL 96-212) brought in approximately 400,000 refugees as of 1980. This figure is estimated to have reached nearly 650,000 as of July 1, 1983. (Bouvier & Agresta)

The increase in Asian population is part of a sharp resurgence of immigration, but with a declining proportion from Europe and increasing numbers from Asia and Latin America, as illustrated in Figure 1. Waves of immigration peaked at the turn of the century, then dropped sharply through the twenties. Following the low immigration years during the Depression, immigration began to rise again after 1940 but with an increasing proportion of migrants from non-European countries. Asians were 34% of legal immigrants during the seventies, and their proportion rose to 48% during 1980-1984, as illustrated in Figure 2. (Gardner, Robey & Smith)

FIGURE 1



IMMIGRATION TO UNITED STATES, BY SOURCE
1820-1979

Figure 2



LEGAL IMMIGRANTS TO THE U.S.: 1931-1984
By Region of Birth

While the European immigrants established their primary entry points on the East Coast, Asian Pacific immigrants established themselves in the West. By 1980, 36% of the nation's Asian Pacific population were in California. The desire to settle in California is influenced by the high concentration of previous Asian immigrants and the establishment of cultural and social landmarks such as Chinatown, Manilatown or Little Tokyo, which ease the transition of living in a foreign land.

In 1970 the Asian Pacific population comprised 2.8% of California's population; by 1980 it rose to 5.5%. With the continuing arrival of immigrants from all parts of Asia and settlement of refugees from war-torn Southeast Asia, it is estimated by Gardner, Robey and Smith that the 1985 Asian Pacific population in the U.S. is 5,147,900, which would place a 1985 California estimate (at 36% of the U.S. Asian population) at about 1,853,000.

B. The Social Context of Asian Pacific Immigration

The history of Asian Pacific immigration to the U.S. reveals the roots of a complex community whose contributions to the building of this nation have been considerable -- and not generally recognized. (Visual Communications) Lured by recruiters anxious to obtain cheap, mobile labor and enticed by hopes of making money to send home, Asians supplied a major portion of the work force needed to build the railroads, ports and factories, to dig the mines, and to harvest the fields and oceans of the Western United States in the Nineteenth Century.

Tens of thousands of Chinese built the Transcontinental Railroad in the 1860s, and in the 1880s the Chinese made up nearly eighty percent of California's agricultural work force, responsible for harvesting every crop from grapes to hops, strawberries to chrysanthemums. When the discriminatory Chinese Exclusion Act of 1882 cut off Chinese labor, Japanese immigrants were recruited from their peasant villages, and though similarly exploited, made major contributions to the agriculture and fishing industries of the West Coast. Thousands of Koreans, beset by international conflict between Japan, China and Russia

in their homeland, emigrated to Hawaii, Mexico and the U.S. in the late 1880s at the encouragement of American missionaries, where they provided labor for the harvests of sugar and other crops. And like the Chinese, Japanese and Koreans, Filipinos added immeasurably to the economic health of the U.S. in the early 1900s, working the fields and canneries of the West Coast.

Asians suffered the lynchings, legal persecution and virtual exclusion from the American mainstream that has faced every minority group in America. Whenever hard times hit the American economy, xenophobic politicians and the opportunistic press blamed the most defenseless scapegoats available; in the West, Asians were a convenient target. Consequently, Asian Pacific Americans were at one time barred from ownership of land, were subjected to anti-miscegenation laws, and, during World War II, were forced from their homes, deprived of their property and imprisioned in desert concentration camps.

Changing socio-economic characteristics of Asian Pacific immigrants during the 1960s, and especially after the 1965 immigration reform law, together with a changing civil rights climate, brought about greater assertiveness

by the Asian Pacific population. The early cohorts of Asian immigrants were comprised mainly of farm, railroad and domestic workers with little or no schooling, but the immigrants of the late 1960s came as skilled workers, professionals and businessmen. While before a majority of the first-generation immigrants were unaware of their legal rights or afraid to speak up against labor exploitation or legalized discrimination, the new wave of immigrants, together with second and third generation Asian Americans, were more outspoken, demanding the right to be heard and to be afforded equal opportunity in employment, education and social services.

In the 1960s as social science attention was focused on the conditions of various minority groups, Asians gained the reputation of being a "successful minority." Superficial analysis of their socio-economic characteristics led to the conclusion that due to their high levels of education, they were highly represented in managerial and professional occupations; due to hard work they were successful in business; and due to strong family and cultural values and loyalties, they had few social problems such as delinquency.

Numerous articles in newspapers and popular magazines promoted the image of Asians as a "model minority." A typical example is shown below, from a 1966 article in U.S. News and World Report:

> At a time when Americans are awash in worry over the plight of racial minorities -- one such minority, the nation's 300,000 Chinese Americans, is winning wealth and respect by dint of its own hard work. (3)

However, a 1975 report by the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights (Civil Rights Commission:1980) pointed out that contrary to the public image of high educational status and employment in managerial and professional occupations, there are also large proportions of Asians who did not finish elementary school, who have low incomes, and who work in low paying clerical and manufacturing jobs.

Several studies have found that Asians in professional occupations earn lower wages compared to the White majority. For examplee, Cabeza's 1979 study (5) of median annual income of college-educated males in five metropolitan areas revealed that White males earned more than their Asian counterparts in four of the five geographic areas studied. (Figure 3)

Figure 3

Median Annual Income of College-Educated Males in Five SMSAs, by Ethnic Group, 1970



Source: "Civil Rights Issues of Asian and Pacific Americans: Myths and Realities," Amado Y. Cabezas, paper presented at consultation sponsored by U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, 1979, table 3A.

A primary handicap of Asian immigrants is their low proficiency in the English language. Together with professional licensing problems, language limitations have prevented foreign-born professionals from practicing their professions. Professor Lucie Cheng of UCLA's Asian American Studies Center reported at a 1984 conference on immigration:

> For many of the Asian immigrants there is a disparity between their education and their ability to obtain professional and managerial jobs. California's immigrant physicians, pharmacists, and nurses are employed as interns, assistants, and laboratory technicians. Some of this underemployment is due to an insufficient knowledge of English, difficulties in transferring skills from one culture to another, and an inability to meet California's licensing requirements. But part of the immigrants' employment problems are caused by discrimination.
>
> In an effort to remedy the situation, Asian physicians, pharmacists, and nurses in California have formed separate professional organizations to fight for more appropriate licensing requirements.
>
> Until recently, Filipino immigrant accountants faced similar professional licensing barriers. Although they typically had more schooling than accountants immigrating from the British Commonwealth, they -- and not the others -- were required to pass a licensing examination to become certified public accountants. Filipino accountants filed a lawsuit, however, and had the California law overturned. (Robey:1985)

Rather than face unemployment, many Asians have established businesses, predominantly sole proprietorships using unpaid family workers, which tend to have low annual gross receipts. (U.S. Commission on Civil Rights)

This pattern was documented in a 1972 Office of Minority Business Enterprise report entitled, "Socio-Economic Analysis of Asian Business Patterns." The report concluded, among other findings, that Asian American businesses tended to be labor intensive, that is, they required little capital. Nearly two thirds of all Asian American firms were in retailing or selected services. Average receipts per firm were substantially lower than average receipts per firm for the U.S. business population. The report stated that 52% of the Asian American proprietorships had less than $5,000 annual gross receipts, and nearly 96% of the Asian American proprietorships had less than $25,000 gross receipts. It was found that many Asian American businessmen are seriously handicapped in language proficiency. The report concluded that: "Asian American firms are generating small profit percentages in investment and there is generally poor economic participation -- that is, their profitability is less than that for comparable firms in similar industry groups. (Amsun)

PART II: ASIAN PACIFIC COMMUNITIES OF LOS ANGELES

PART II

ASIAN PACIFIC COMMUNITIES IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY

A. Population Growth

The Asian Pacific population in Los Angeles County has mushroomed from a few small ethnic enclaves to a large and highly diverse group of ethnic and geographic communities, each with distinctive characteristics.

Since the 1920s, the Asian population of the County has doubled itself nearly every decade except during the Depression and World War II periods. (Table 3) Asian population growth over the most recent Census decade, 1970 to 1980, was 92%, compared to 68% for Hispanics, the County's other population group with major growth from immigration.

Before the turn of the century, Chinese were the predominant Asian ethnic group in Los Angeles, with a 1890 population of more than 4,000, compared to less than 100 Japanese. In the early 1900s, however, Japanese were recruited for farming and fishery work, and quickly became

TABLE: 3 Pacific Population Growth, 1920-1980
Los Angeles County, by Ethnic Group

Ethnic Group	Year						
	1920	1930	1940	1950	1960	1970	1980
Japanese	19,911	35,390	36,866	36,761	77,314	104,078	117,020
Chinese	2,591	3,572	5,330	9,187	19,286	40,798	94,200
Filipino	427*	5,202	6,818	5,418	12,122	33,459	100,040
Korean				2,825	6,528	8,650	64,500
Hawaiian						4,634	6,220
Other						46,604	74,800
Total	22,929	44,164	49,014	54,191	115,250	238,223	456,780

Source: "Patterns of Social Change, Los Angeles County 1960-1973: A Statistical Review," Los Angeles County Human Relation Commission, 1985.

*Includes groups not reported separately.

24

the area's predominant Asian group. With gradual increase in other Asian groups in Los Angeles, the Japanese percentage declined slowly until the sixties, when they were two thirds of the Asian population. The 1965 immigration law change caused a major shift in proportions among ethnic groups, with the Japanese falling to 25% of the Asian population in 1980. The shift in proportion among groups from 1970 to 1980 was:

	1970	1980
Japanese	43%	25%
Chinese	17%	21%
Filipino	14%	22%
Korean	4%	14%
Hawaiian	2%	1%
Other Asians	20%	16%
Total	100%	100%

Continuing high rates of immigration for Chinese, Filipinos and Koreans are anticipated, while Japanese immigration is expected to remain relatively low. (Bouvier & Agresta:1985) The groups with high immigration rates also have higher birthrates than the Japanese; consequently

in the coming years the Japanese population in Los Angeles can be expected to decline to perhaps the fourth or fifth largest group.

Figure 4



ASIAN/PACIFIC POPULATION, BY ETHNIC GROUP
Los Angeles County, 1980

B. Immigration Trends

The growth in Asian Pacific population in Los Angeles County is due to an increasing pace of immigration during the last decade, particularly between 1975-1980. During this period Asians constituted the second largest group of immigrants residing in Los Angeles, surpassed only by Hispanics, who accounted for 62% of all immigrants during 1975-80. White (European) immigrants declined from 23% of all immigrants who arrived during the 1960s to less than 13% during the 1970s. (Table 1) White immigration peaked prior to the adoption of the Immigration and Nationality Act in 1965, as reflected by the fact that of pre-1965 immigrants now living in Los Angeles County, almost 60% are Whites.

Aside from the effects of past exclusionary immigration laws, the influx of Asian immigrants in Los Angeles County could be described as "each to its own time." Prior to 1960, Asian immigration was dominated by the Japanese, who accounted for 40% of the total Asian immigration. Then came the Chinese, comprising 32% of all Asian immigrants during the years 1960-1964. From 1965-1974 the largest group was the Filipinos, with 34% of all immigrants. Be-

tween 1975-1980 the largest group of Asian immigrants were Koreans with 22%, followed by Chinese and Filipinos with 21% and 20%, respectively.

Of special significance among immigrants are the Vietnamese and other Southeast Asian refugees, who accounted for the fourth largest group of Asian immigrants in Los Angeles for the 1975-1980 period. Of the total Vietnamese immigrants, 97% arrived between 1975-1980. In addition, since the Census there has been "secondary migration" of Southeast Asian refugees who were initially settled in other sections of the country, but have since moved to the Los Angeles area, attracted by its climate and cultural affinity with the concentration of Southeast Asians already located here. The California State Department of Finance estimated that between 1979 and 1983 a total of 10,000 "secondary migrants" came to Los Angeles from other areas, giving the County the State's largest concentration of Southeast Asian refugees, as shown in Table 2. More than half of the State's refugees are in Southern California.

TABLE 1: YEAR OF IMMIGRATION BY ETHNIC GROUP
LOS ANGELES COUNTY, 1980

ETHNIC GROUP	YEAR OF IMMIGRATION							
	PRIOR TO 1960	1960-1964	1965-1969	1970-1974	1975-1980	NOT AVAILABLE	NATIVE BORN	TOTAL
Asian	26,320	12,460	31,160	70,020	145,380	5,920	165,520	456,780
	6%	3%	7%	15%	32%	1%	36%	100%
Japanese	10,640	3,080	2,600	4,680	12,300	1,780	81,940	117,020
	9%	3%	2%	4%	10%	2%	70%	100%
Chinese	7,680	4,020	9,420	14,500	30,920	1,080	26,580	94,200
	8%	4%	10%	16%	33%	1%	28%	100%
Filipino	5,460	2,280	11,380	23,400	29,280	2,040	26,200	100,040
	6%	2%	11%	24%	29%	2%	26%	100%
Korean	1,160	1,300	3,360	17,840	31,760	400	8,680	64,500
	2%	2%	5%	28%	49%	1%	13%	100%
Asian Indian	620	380	1,800	3,500	7,200	80	4,140	17,720
	4%	2%	10%	20%	41%	0	23%	100%
Vietnamese	60	200	100	440	23,320	160	1,760	26,040
	0	1%	0	2%	89%	1%	7%	100%
Hawaiian	0	20	40	80	80	20	5,980	6,220
	-	0	1%	1%	1%	0	97%	100%
Other Asian	700	1,180	2,460	5,580	10,520	360	10,240	31,040
	2%	4%	8%	18%	34%	1%	33%	100%
White (Non Hispanic)	209,620	45,460	37,040	31,380	89,340	21,940	3,550,720	3,985,500
	5%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	89%	100%
Black	4,160	1,700	4,080	5,160	6,360	1,820	912,400	935,680
	0	0	0	1%	1%	0	98%	100%
American Indian	720	480	420	1,520	2,080	180	43,000	48,400
	2%	1%	1%	3%	4%	0	89%	100%
Other	500	720	640	1,380	3,020	160	8,660	15,080
	3%	5%	4%	9%	20%	1%	58%	100%
Hispanic	109,620	83,780	140,320	254,220	334,880	30,060	1,100,860	2,053,740
	5%	4%	7%	12%	16%	2%	54%	100%
TOTAL	350,940	144,600	213,660	363,680	581,060	60,080	5,781,160	7,495,180
	4%	2%	3%	5%	8%	1%	77%	100%

0 Less than 1%

Figure 5



Source: State of California Department of Finance

SOUTHEAST ASIAN REFUGEES
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA COUNTIES, 1983

While detailed immigration data for Los Ageles County is not available after 1980, it is clear from national trend data that the County continues to receive Asian Pacific immigrants at an increasing pace.

Immigrants are generally young adults in their peak childbearing years, and consequently the Asian population will experience a high level of natural increase (excess of births over deaths) in the coming years. The effect of young immigrants on the County's Asian birthrate is already being seen, with a large increase in rate since 1980. (See Table 32 for detail.)

Immigrants constitute nearly two thirds of the County's Asian Pacific population. Their very rapid growth can be seen in the proportion who came just during the 1975-1980 period, amounting to 50% of all Asian immigrants. The recency of their migration suggests that many of this large group will continue to have language, employment and other adjustment problems for some time to come.

C. Geographic Location of Asian Pacific Communities

The rapid growth of the Asian population between 1970-1980 is reflected in the map of the fastest growing ethnic groups in Los Angeles County. (Figure 6) The Asian population, represented by the solid black color, is dispersed through many areas of the county, showing that the Asian population has been increasing faster than other groups in these areas.

reserve for reduced Figure 3A (map-fastest growing areas)

Figure 6


DON CLEMENT / L. A. Times
FASTEST GROWING ETHNIC GROUPS 1970-1980
LOS ANGELES COUNTY
Shaded areas indicate which ethnic groups grew the most, although not necessarily becoming the dominant group.
VENTURA COUNTY
LOS ANGELES COUNTY
LOS ANGELES COUNTY
SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
Pacific Ocean
ORANGE COUNTY
LOS ANGELES COUNTY - NORTHERN PORTION
White
Black
Asian
Latino
Little or no change
(less than 3 pct. points)
SOURCE: U. S. Census/Western Economic Research.

Figure 7



COMMUNITIES WITH 1000+ ASIAN/PACIFIC POPULATION

Los Angeles County, 1980

Like other ethnic groups, Asians tend to cluster in certain geographic areas, as shown on the County map, Figure 7. The largest Asian Pacific concentrations are in Monterey Park; the north central section of Los Angeles which includes Chinatown, Hollywood, Silverlake and the Wilshire district; Gardena; and Carson.

Table 3A (page 36) shows the numbers of each Asian ethnic group in the five United Way Regions, and a more detailed list showing these groups by small communities throughout the County is provided in the Appendix. The proportions of Asian Pacific population in the United Way Regions are:

Region I	San Fernando Valley, North County	12%
Region II	San Gabriel Valley	20%
Region III	Southeast County	27%
Region IV	West County	13%
Region V	Central	28%
Total		100%

The largest concentrations for each Asian Pacific group, according to the 1980 Census count, are listed below, showing the number of each specified group who are

residents. Several communities have large numbers of more than one ethnic group: for example, Monterey Park has large numbers of both Chinese and Japanese; Hollywood has concentrations of both Koreans and Filipinos. Due to high levels of immigration since 1980 these figures understate the current Asian population, but they provide an indication of the relative size of ethnic communities.

		1980 Population for Ethnic Group
Japanese	Gardena	11,504
	Torrance	7,947
	Monterey Park	6,869
Chinese	Monterey Park	7,934
	Elysian Park	5,775
	Alhambra	4,055
Filipino	Long Beach	7,757
	Carson	6,894
	Hollywood	6,866
	Westlake	6,071

Korean	Wilshire	7,433
	Santa Barbara	4,538
	Hollywood	3,968
Asian Indian	Cerritos	973
	Chatsworth/Porter Ranch	504
	Hollywood	497
Vietnamese	Long Beach	2,332
	Elysian Park	1,774
	West Wilshire	1,186
Hawaiian	Long Beach	414
Samoan	Carson	1,820
	East Compton	1,213

TABLE 3A Asian/Pacific Population by Ethnic Group
United Way Regions, Los Angeles County, 1980

Ethnic Group	County Total	United Way Region I	II	III	IV	V
Total Asians	456,780	57,100	90,976	123,418	58,025	127,261
Japanese	117,020	11,498	21,476	38,962	23,721	21,363
Chinese	94,200	8,329	27,883	15,860	10,393	31,735
Filipino	100,040	13,398	18,146	33,208	6,566	28,722
Korean	64,500	11,275	8,990	11,850	6,535	25,850
Asian Indian	17,720	3,945	3,384	4,282	3,700	2,409
Vietnamese	26,040	3,870	6,204	5,227	3,158	7,581
Hawaiian	6,220	1,088	910	1,956	931	1,335
Guamanian*	3,596	187	105	2,121	287	896
Samoan*	7,440	250	337	4,530	338	1,985
Other Asian	20,004	3,260	3,541	5,422	2,396	5,385

*Information for these groups was not available from the census data used for other tables in this report.

D. Current Estimates of Asian Pacific Population

It is clear that the Asian Pacific population has grown rapidly since the 1980 Census, but since there is no standard sourcee or procedure for ethnic population estimates at the County level, it is difficult to establish how many Asians are living in Los Angeles County today.

Only one estimate of Asian Pacific population in the County, using an established demographic method, was located during this study. It was prepared by United States Research as part of a report on ethnic population trends for California counties. Other estimates which came to our attention were informal estimates based on the impressions of interested individuals, rough estimates based on formulas such as projecting the percentage of Asian school children to the total population, and several national and state estimates, which were broken down to the County level as part of our effort to analyze current growth patterns. Various estimates are shown below to indicate the range of figures which are currently considered possible.

Perhaps the most plausible of these is an estimate of 696,600, which is based on a September 1985 national Asian Pacific population estimate by Gardner, Robey and Smith. Their figures use data on immigration, refugee flows and natural increase for the Asian population; however their definition does not include Pacific Islanders (Hawaiians, Samoans, Guamanians). The estimate for Los Angeles was obtained by determining what percentage of the 1980 national total for each Asian ethnic group was in the Los Angeles area, and then multiplying the estimated 1985 national population in each group by the percent in Los Angeles to obtain a local estimate.

This procedure is based on the assumption that the 1985 distribution of Asian groups among regions of the U.S. remains relatively stable. Although crude, this method yields interesting results which appear consistent with recognized local trends such as slow growth of Japanese and more rapid increase of Korean and Filipino populations. One element of the estimate, however, conflicts with California Department of Finance figures for the Southeast Asian refugee population in Los Angeles County, which has grown as refugees come to Los Angeles after being settled first in other areas of the U.S.

Current Estimates of Asian Pacific Population

Los Angeles County, 1985

Source	Estimate	Methodology
United States Research California Racial/ Ethnic Population Trends 1940 - 1990: A Census Perspective (1985)	560,000	Historical growth rates
Gardner, Robey & Smith "Asian Americans: Growth, Change, and Diversity," Population Bulletin	696,600 Japanese 124,900 Chinese 125,200 Filipino 134,600 As.Indn. 24,200 V/tnamese 67,200 Ot.Asian 122,800	National figure broken down to L.A. County for this report in proportion to its share of Asians in the the U.S.
School Enrollment Projection	734,000 Japanese 187,900 Chinese 151,200 Filipino 160,700 Korean 103,500 As.Indn. 28,700 V/tnamese 41,800 Ot.Asian 60,200	Percentage of Asian public school enrollment was applied to 1984 total population estimate by County Health Dept., and allocated to ethnic groups in 1980 proportions

Current Estimates, cont.

Unidentified	792,000		Method unknown
This estimate has achieved wide circulation in L.A. area but no source has been identified	Japanese Chinese Filipino Korean Other	175,000 153,000 150,000 150,000 164,000	
Bouvier & Aquesta "The Fastest Growing Minority," American Demographics, May 1985	583,000 Japanese Chinese Filipino Korean As.Indn. V/tnamese Other	 126,400 109,800 127,200 92,000 22,600 41,000 64,000	California 1990 estimate was broken down to County share of Asian population and half of 1980 to 1990 growth used to approximate 1985 pop.

It is much more difficult to estimate the size or proportions for separate ethnic groups in the Asian Pacific population than for non-Asian groups, due to the heavy impact of immigration which varies for each country of origin. Although most Asian immigrants are documented or legal immigrants, data at the county or sub-county level are generally not available from the Immigration and Naturalization Service, or are too far out of date to be useful for estimating. There are many technical problems regarding collection and use of statistics on immigration; yet without such information the size of the rapidly changing Asian Pacific population population will remain guesswork.

E. Comparative Analysis of Asian Groups

Age

The Asian Pacific population age distribution is close to the County average, although the proportion of elderly Asians 65 years and over, at 6%, is somewhat lower than the County population at 10%. (Table 4)

Among the Asian ethnic groups, Vietnamese and Other Asians have the highest proportion of population in the younger age groups, with a majority under age 25. The Japanese have a much lower percentage of children than the other Asian groups. The remaining Asian groups have a majority of their population age 25 and over, with the Japanese having the highest proportion in th age 35+ age group, although the proportion of senior citizens age 65+ does not vary much among groups except for the Vietnamese and Koreans.

TABLE 4: Age by Ethnic Group
Los Angeles County, 1980

Ethnic Group	Total	Age Group 0 - 5	5 - 14	15 - 19	20 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 64	65 - 74	75 +
Asian	456,780	35,660	72,180	35,700	41,660	97,760	146,580	17,540	9,700
	100%	8%	16%	8%	9%	21%	32%	4%	2%
Japanese	117,020	6,140	11,980	8,460	11,640	22,400	47,540	4,580	4,280
	100%	5%	10%	7%	10%	19%	41%	4%	4%
Chinese	94,200	7,240	13,700	7,520	9,460	22,180	28,240	4,060	1,800
	100%	8%	14%	8%	10%	24%	30%	4%	2%
Filipino	100,040	8,740	17,520	7,280	6,860	20,760	31,680	5,460	1,740
	100%	9%	17%	7%	7%	21%	32%	5%	2%
Korean	64,500	5,240	13,000	5,180	4,900	12,720	20,940	1,780	740
	100%	8%	20%	8%	8%	20%	32%	3%	1%
Asian Indian	17,720	1,600	2,740	1,100	1,620	4,560	4,860	480	760
	100%	9%	16%	6%	9%	26%	27%	3%	4%
Vietnamese	26,040	2,660	6,180	3,160	2,660	5,880	4,760	600	140
	100%	10%	24%	12%	10%	23%	18%	2%	1%
Hawaiian*	6,220	460	940	600	880	940	1,980	340	80
	100%	7%	15%	10%	14%	15%	32%	6%	1%
Other Asian	31,040	3,580	6,120	2,400	3,640	8,320	6,580	240	160
	100%	11%	20%	8%	12%	27%	21%	1%	*
White (Non-Hispanic)	3,985,500	188,060	429,360	304,660	354,660	679,000	1,456,900	339,320	233,540
	100%	5%	11%	8%	9%	17%	36%	8%	6%
Black	935,680	79,360	174,920	102,520	90,660	160,660	267,360	39,900	20,300
	100%	8%	19%	11%	10%	17%	29%	4%	2%
American Indian	48,400	4,020	7,300	4,760	4,860	10,080	14,920	1,780	680
	100%	8%	15%	10%	10%	21%	31%	4%	1%
Other	15,080	1,700	2,520	1,160	2,000	3,400	3,640	360	300
	100%	11%	17%	8%	13%	23%	24%	2%	2%
Hispanic	2,053,740	257,860	427,940	210,900	240,280	383,840	459,080	47,780	26,060
	100%	13%	21%	10%	12%	19%	22%	2%	1%
Total	7,495,180	566,660	1,114,220	659,700	734,120	1,334,740	2,348,480	446,680	290,580
	100%	8%	15%	9%	10%	18%	31%	6%	4%

*Less than 1%

American Born and Foreign Born

Of the total population in Los Angeles County, 77% are American born and 23% are foreign born. These proportions are almost reversed in the Asian Pacific population, with 36% American born and 64% foreign born. Of the American born Asians, 50% are Japanese, due to the earlier immigration of Japanese to the U.S.

Seventy percent of the Japanese living in Los Angeles County are American born. In contrast, only 7% of Vietnamese residents are American born. Koreans, whose immigration peaked in the 1970s, have 13% who are American born, and the rest of the Asian groups have between 23% and 33% who are American born, except for Hawaiians, of whom 96% are American born.* (Figure 8)

*Although it would appear that by definition, Hawaiians could not be other than American-born, some in fact reported themselves as foreign born. The same was true of American Indians.

Figure 8



AMERICAN BORN & FOREIGN BORN, BY ETHNIC GROUP
Asian/Pacific Population, Los Angeles County, 1980

TABLE 5: American Born and Foreign-Born Population
By Ethnic Group, Los Angeles County, 1980

	Birth Place				
	American-Born		Foreign-Born		Total
Ethnic Group	Number	%	Number	%	
Asian	165,520	36%	291,260	64%	456,780
Japanese	81,940	70%	35,080	30%	117,020
Chinese	26,580	28%	67,620	72%	94,200
Filipino	26,200	26%	73,840	74%	100,040
Korean	8,680	13%	55,820	87%	64,500
Asian Indian	4,140	23%	13,580	77%	17,720
Vietnamese	1,760	7%	24,280	93%	26,040
Hawaiian	5,980	96%	240	4%	6,220
Other Asian	10,240	33%	20,800	67%	31,040
White (Non Hispanic)	3,550,720	89%	434,780	11%	3,985,500
Black	912,400	98%	23,280	2%	935,680
American Indian	43,000	89%	5,400	11%	48,400
Other	8,660	57%	6,420	43%	15,080
Hispanic	1,100,860	54%	952,880	46%	2,053,740
Total Population	5,781,160	77%	1,714,020	23%	7,495,180

Language

Seventy percent of the Asian population reported in the Census that they speak a non-English language at home, while 25% speak only English at home. Table 6 indicates that of the Asian groups, the Vietnamese have the lowest proportion who speak English only (4%), followed by Koreans with 8%. Although 70% of the Japanese population in Los Angeles County are American born, half reported that they speak a language other than English at home, often to communicate with older non-English speaking relatives. The remainder of Asians who speak English only ranges from 14% to 27%, except for Hawaiians, 80% of whom speak English only.

Of the Asians whose home language is not English, 73% speak English fluently (very well or well), while 21% do not speak English well. (Table 7) Only 6% cannot speak English at all. Filipinos and Asian Indians have high proportions of population speaking English fluently, 92% and 89%, respectively, due to the extensive use of English in schools in their homelands. Koreans and Vietnamese have much higher proportions than the other ethnic groups of people who speak little or no English. For Koreans, 32%

Figure 9



ABILITY TO SPEAK ENGLISH, BY ETHNIC GROUP
Asian/Pacific Population Age 3 yrs & over, Los Angeles County, 1980

TABLE 6: Language Spoken At Home By Ethnic Group
Los Angeles County, 1980

Ethnic Group	Language Spoken At Home: Non-English Language Number	%	English Only Number	%	0-2 Years Old Number	%	Total
Asian	319,900	70%	115,460	25%	21,420	5%	456,780
Japanese	56,340	48%	56,820	49%	3,860	3%	117,020
Chinese	76,760	82%	13,260	14%	4,180	4%	94,200
Filipino	70,200	70%	24,380	24%	5,460	6%	100,040
Korean	55,940	87%	5,560	8%	3,000	5%	64,500
Asian Indian	11,820	67%	4,860	27%	1,040	6%	17,720
Vietnamese	23,540	90%	1,020	4%	1,480	6%	26,040
Hawaiian	960	16%	5,000	80%	260	4%	6,220
Other Asian	24,340	78%	4,560	15%	2,140	7%	31,040
White (Non Hispanic)	381,320	10%	3,488,620	87%	115,560	3%	3,985,500
Black	28,920	3%	857,480	92%	49,280	5%	935,680
American Indian	10,420	22%	35,540	73%	2,440	5%	48,400
Other	6,600	44%	7,280	48%	1,200	8%	15,080
Hispanic	1,513,360	74%	383,780	19%	156,600	7%	2,053,740
Total	2,260,520	30%	4,888,160	65%	346,500	5%	7,495,180

TABLE 7: Ability to Speak English By Ethnic Group
Asians and Hispanics Age 3 Years + Who Speak Another Language at Home
Los Angeles County, 1980

Ethnic Group	Ability to Speak English				
	Very Well	Well	Not Well	No English	Total
Asian	130,100	103,120	66,660	20,020	319,900
	41%	32%	21%	6%	100%
Japanese	22,920	18,340	12,920	2,160	56,340
	41%	32%	23%	4%	100%
Chinese	27,820	23,620	17,760	7,560	76,760
	36%	31%	23%	10%	100%
Filipino	42,900	22,000	4,780	520	70,200
	61%	31%	7%	1%	100%
Korean	14,400	19,620	17,640	4,280	55,940
	26%	35%	32%	8%	100%
Asian Indian	7,620	2,900	1,000	300	11,820
	64%	25%	8%	3%	100%
Vietnamese	3,780	7,940	7,980	3,840	23,540
	16%	34%	34%	16%	100%
Hawaiian	740	180	40	0	960
	77%	19%	4%	-	100%
Other Asian	9,920	8,520	4,540	1,360	24,340
	41%	35%	17%	6%	100%
Hispanic	585,740	370,600	340,960	216,060	1,513,360
	39%	24%	22%	14%	100%

TABLE 6: Language Spoken At Home By Ethnic Group
Los Angeles County, 1980

Ethnic Group	Language Spoken At Home: Non-English Language Number	%	English Only Number	%	0-2 Years Old Number	%	Total
Asian	319,900	70%	115,460	25%	21,420	5%	456,780
Japanese	56,340	48%	56,820	49%	3,860	3%	117,020
Chinese	76,760	82%	13,260	14%	4,180	4%	94,200
Pilipino	70,200	70%	24,380	24%	5,460	6%	100,040
Korean	55,940	87%	5,560	8%	3,000	5%	64,500
Asian Indian	11,820	67%	4,860	27%	1,040	6%	17,720
Vietnamese	23,540	90%	1,020	4%	1,480	6%	26,040
Hawaiian	960	16%	5,000	80%	260	4%	6,220
Other Asian	24,340	78%	4,560	15%	2,140	7%	31,040
White (Non Hispanic)	381,320	10%	3,488,620	87%	115,560	3%	3,985,500
Black	28,920	3%	857,480	92%	49,280	5%	935,680
American Indian	10,420	22%	35,540	73%	2,440	5%	48,400
Other	6,600	44%	7,280	48%	1,200	8%	15,080
Hispanic	1,513,360	74%	383,780	19%	156,600	7%	2,053,740
Total	2,260,520	30%	4,888,160	65%	346,500	5%	7,495,180

TABLE 7: Ability to Speak English By Ethnic Group
Asians and Hispanics Age 3 Years + Who Speak Another Language at Home
Los Angeles County, 1980

Ethnic Group	Ability to Speak English				
	Very Well	Well	Not Well	No English	Total
Asian	130,100	103,120	66,660	20,020	319,900
	41%	32%	21%	6%	100%
Japanese	22,920	18,340	12,920	2,160	56,340
	41%	32%	23%	4%	100%
Chinese	27,820	23,620	17,760	7,560	76,760
	36%	31%	23%	10%	100%
Filipino	42,900	22,000	4,780	520	70,200
	61%	31%	7%	1%	100%
Korean	14,400	19,620	17,640	4,280	55,940
	26%	35%	32%	8%	100%
Asian Indian	7,620	2,900	1,000	300	11,820
	64%	25%	8%	3%	100%
Vietnamese	3,780	7,940	7,980	3,840	23,540
	16%	34%	34%	16%	100%
Hawaiian	740	180	40	0	960
	77%	19%	4%	-	100%
Other Asian	9,920	8,520	4,540	1,360	24,340
	41%	35%	17%	6%	100%
Hispanic	585,740	370,600	340,960	216,060	1,513,360
	39%	24%	22%	14%	100%

Labor Force Participation

The County's labor force totalled 3,686,840 in 1980, with a labor force participation rate of 65%. 1980 unemployment in the county averaged 6%. Asians as a whole have a similar labor force status as the County average, but among the different ethnic groups, percentages vary. (Table 9)

("Labor force participation" means working at a job or actively looking for work. Persons who do not have a job and are full time students, keeping house, retired, disabled or otherwise not actively looking for work are said to be "not in the labor force.")

Filipinos, with 75%, and Japanese, with 71%, have the highest labor force participation rates. Most other Asian ethnic groups are close to the County average. In contrast, the Vietnamese labor force participation rate of 44% is the lowest among all ethnic groups. Data from other sources indicate that this is due to their difficulty in obtaining employment because of limited English and work skills that do not match the job market, as well as lingering problems in adapting to American life.

A study of Indochinese refugee adjustment in Orange County found that length of residence seems to lead to higher employment rates. The percentage of men employed full time rose from 14% for those in the County for one year or less to 78% employed for those in County five years or more. Employment rates for women were lower, but followed the same pattern. However,the overall rate of employment for refugees in Orange County had not improved betwen 1981 and 1984. School enrollment was reported as a factor retarding employment for persons age 16-24, suggesting that as these young people complete their education, labor force participation of Indochinese may improve.

TABLE 9: LABOR FORCE STATUS BY ETHNIC GROUP
LOS ANGELES COUNTY, 1980

ETHNIC GROUP	LABOR STATUS						
	IN LABOR FORCE				TOTAL IN LABOR FORCE	NOT IN LABOR FORCE	TOTAL POPULATION AGE 16+
	CIVILIAN LABOR FORCE			ARMED FORCES			
	EMPLOYED	UNEMPLOYED	SUBTOTAL				
Asian	222,600	8,600	231,200	860	232,060	110,180	342,240
	96%	4%	100%		68%	32%	100%
Japanese	67,440	1,820	69,260	60	69,320	28,240	97,560
	97%	3%	100%		71%	29%	100%
Chinese	44,400	1,640	46,080	20	46,100	25,620	71,720
	96%	4%	100%		64%	36%	100%
Filipino	52,020	1,800	53,820	720	54,540	17,820	72,360
	97%	3%	100%		75%	25%	100%
Korean	27,840	1,300	29,140	40	29,180	16,100	45,280
	96%	4%	100%		64%	36%	100%
Asian Indian	8,200	460	8,600	-	8,660	4,460	13,120
	95%	5%	100%		66%	34%	100%
Vietnamese	6,840	540	7,380	-	7,380	9,240	16,620
	93%	7%	100%		44%	56%	100%
Hawaiian	2,860	220	3,080	-	3,080	1,600	4,600
	93%	7%	100%		66%	34%	100%
Other Asian	12,960	820	13,780	20	13,800	7,100	20,900
	94%	6%	100%		66%	34%	100%
White	2,028,740	96,460	2,125,200	7,360	2,132,560	1,180,220	3,312,780
	96%	4%	100%		64%	36%	100%
Black	375,640	42,240	399,800	1,760	401,640	258,180	659,820
	89%	11%	100%		61%	39%	100%
American Indian	21,800	2,320	24,120	200	24,320	12,040	36,360
	90%	10%	100%		67%	33%	100%
Other	6,540	560	7,100	20	7,120	3,600	10,720
	92%	8%	100%		66%	34%	100%
Hispanic	816,740	71,420	888,160	980	889,140	439,920	1,329,060
	92%	8%	100%		67%	33%	100%
TOTAL	3,454,060	221,600	3,675,660	11,180	3,686,840	2,004,140	5,690,980
	94%	6%	100%		65%	35%	100%

Occupation

Occupations are divided into six broad categories: managerial and professional; technical, sales and administrative support; service; farming, fishing, forestry; precision production, crafts and repairs; and operators, laborers and fabricators. For purposes of identification, managerial/professional, and technical/sales/administrative support will be referred to as white collar jobs, and the remaining categories as blue collar jobs. For examples of jobs for each category, see footnote to Table 10.

Countywide, the largest occupational grouping, 33% of the labor force, is technical, sales and administrative support, followed by managerial and professional occupations with 23% of the labor force. The smallest occupational area, predictably, is forestry/fishing/farming, with only 1% of the labor force.

In managerial and professional occupations, Asian Indians have the highest percent, with 42% of their workforce employed in this category. Chinese and Japanese come next with 32% and 30%, respectively. At 15%, Vietnamese

have the lowest percent among Asian groups inmanagerial/ professional occupations.

In technical, sales and administrative support occupations, the Filipinos rank first with 42%, followed by Japanese at 34% and Chinese at 37%. The rest of the Asian groups have proportions varying from 30-34%, compared to the County average of 33%.

In service-related occupations, Chinese and Hawaiians, at 15% each, have the highest proportion among Asian groups, and Japanese and Asian Indians, each at 9%, have the lowest representation.

Few of the County's labor force are significantly involved in farming, fishing and forestry-related occupations, making the Japanese the only group with a higher percentage in this field. Six percent of Japanese workers are engaged in agriculture-related occupations, such as gardeners or nurserymen. The rest of the ethnic groups have only 1%-2% of their workforce in this category.

Employment in the precision production, craft and repair category shows an average of 9% for Asians, due to low representation of the largest Asian ethnic groups;

compared to a 12% County average. Hawaiians, Vietnamese, Koreans and Other Asians have the highest proportions in these skilled occupations, with Chinese the lowest.

The same patterns for Asian groups prevail in occupations classified as operators, laborers, and fabricators, with the larger and longer-established Japanese, Chinese and Filipinos, as well as Asian Indians, having percentages in these occupations, which are below the County average of 18%. The more recently-arrived Asian groups have rates in these occupations which are above the County average.

Four of the Asian groups, Japanese, Chinese, Filipino and Asian Indians, have more than 65% of their workforce engaged in white collar jobs, while Koreans, Hawaiians and Other Asians have 54%, ranging down to 46% for Vietnamese. This compares to 56% for the labor force Countywide, placing the largest Asian groups (Japanese, Chinese, Filipino) in generally better paid occupational fields.

TABLE 10: Occupation By Ethic Group, Employed Persons
Los Angeles County, 1980

Ethnic Group	Occupation: Managerial & Professional	Tech., Sales & Adm. Support	Service	Farming Forestry Fishing	Precision Craft and Repairs	Laborers Fabricators Operators	Total Age 16+
Asian	70,780	96,160	31,620	6,400	23,260	37,820	266,040
	27%	36%	12%	2%	9%	14%	100%
Japanese	21,880	29,360	7,400	4,540	6,320	9,360	78,860
	28%	37%	9%	6%	8%	12%	100%
Chinese	17,720	18,660	8,360	460	3,180	6,820	55,200
	32%	34%	15%	1%	6%	12%	100%
Filipino	14,800	24,880	7,240	440	5,280	6,780	59,420
	25%	42%	12%	1%	9%	11%	100%
Korean	7,420	11,040	3,740	440	4,000	7,300	33,940
	22%	32%	11%	1%	12%	22%	100%
Asian Indian	4,040	3,220	840	100	600	880	9,680
	42%	33%	9%	1%	6%	9%	100%
Vietnamese	1,420	2,920	900	120	1,360	2,600	9,320
	15%	31%	10%	1%	15%	28%	100%
Hawaiian	700	1,080	560	80	560	680	3,660
	19%	30%	15%	2%	15%	19%	100%
Other Asian	2,800	5,000	2,580	220	1,960	3,400	15,960
	18%	31%	16%	1%	12%	22%	100%
White (Non-Hispanic)	745,080	921,780	263,000	19,300	283,980	287,420	2,520,560
	30%	37%	10%	1%	11%	11%	100%
Black	75,200	165,760	96,240	5,120	42,200	92,680	477,200
	16%	35%	20%	1%	9%	19%	100%
American Indian	4,940	8,920	4,680	500	3,960	5,480	28,480
	17%	31%	17%	2%	14%	19%	100%
Other	1,620	3,260	1,160	100	1,140	1,200	8,480
	19%	39%	14%	1%	13%	14%	100%
Hispanic	85,000	227,540	161,520	21,840	147,700	368,460	1,012,060
	8%	23%	16%	2%	15%	36%	100%
Total	982,620	1,423,420	558,220	53,260	502,240	793,060	4,312,820
	23%	33%	13%	1%	12%	18%	100%

JM3594R/bld(10)

"Employed persons" in this table includes unpaid family workers and some others not usually counted in labor force. See census technical documentation for detail.

NOTE: EXAMPLES OF OCCUPATIONS IN EACH CATEGORY

Managerial & Professional (e.g. managers, accountants, engineers, physicians, nurses, chemists, teachers, sociologist, lawyers).

Technical, Sales & Administrative Support (e.g. dental hygienists, drafting technicians, sales representatives, cashiers, bookkeepers, clerks, bank tellers).

Service (e.g. housekeepers, cooks, guards, waiters, dental assistants, janitors, child care workers, baggage porters, barbers, hairdressers).

Precision Production, Craft & Repair (e.g. mechanics, electrical equipment repairers, telephone line installers & repairers, locksmiths, carpenters, roofers, plumbers, tool & die makers, machinists, tailors, butchers, bakers).

Operators, Fabricators & Laborers (e.g. machine operators, welders, assemblers, drivers, ship captains, sailors, crane & tower operators, construction workers, garbage collectors, hand packers).

60

Male/Female Employment Patterns

Of the total employed labor force in the County, 54% are males while 46% are females. The Asian labor force proportions are nearly identical, with 53% males and 47% females. (Table 11) The Asian ethnic groups have a male majority work force, with the exception of Filipinos, with 53% of the workforce consisting of women. The Asian group with the smallest proportion of women workers is Asian Indians, who have the lowest proportion of all Asian and non-Asian groups.

Note: Data for calculation of male and female labor force participation rates were not available for this study.

For the male work force as a whole, blue collar jobs are the largest grouping, (Table 11 a-b) followed by technical, sales and administrative support occupations. Among the Asian ethnic groups this pattern is reversed for Japanese, Chinese and Asian Indians, who have higher proportions of males in managerial and professional jobs. (Figure 10a)

Conversely, in most ethnic groups women have higher proportions in technical, sales and administrative support jobs, followed by blue collar occupations. Among Asian women, the exceptions to this pattern are Filipinos, who have more women in managerial/professional occupations, and Korean and Vietnamese women whose largest group are employed in the operators, fabricators and laborers category. (Figure 10b)

TABLE 11: Employed Persons, By Sex, By Ethnic Group
Los Angeles County, 1980

		Male		Female	
Ethnic Group	Total	Number	%	Number	%
Asian	266,040	139,720	53	126,320	47
Japanese	78,860	42,340	54	36,520	46
Chinese	55,200	29,120	53	26,080	47
Filipino	59,420	28,180	47	31,240	53
Korean	33,940	17,840	53	16,100	47
Asian Indian	9,680	5,940	61	3,740	39
Vietnamese	9,320	5,380	58	3,940	42
Hawaiian	3,660	2,100	57	1,560	43
Other Asian	15,960	8,820	55	7,140	45
White (Non Hispanic)	2,520,560	1,369,240	54	1,151,320	46
Black	477,200	236,240	50	240,960	50
American Indian	28,480	14,920	52	13,560	48
Other	8,480	5,000	59	3,480	41
Hispanic	1,012,060	578,480	57	433,580	43
Total	4,312,820	2,343,600	54	1,969,220	46

"Employed persons" in this table includes unpaid family workers and some others not usually counted in labor force. See census technical documentation for detail.

Figure 10 a: Occupation of Employed Males By Ethnic Group
Los Angeles County, 1980 (In Percent)



Figure 10 b: Occupation of Employed Females By Ethnic Group
Los Angeles County, 1980, (In Percent)



Table 11a

OCCUPATION OF EMPLOYED MALES BY ETHNIC GROUP
LOS ANGELES COUNTY, 1980

ETHNIC GROUP	MANAGERIAL AND PROFESSIONAL	PERCENT	TECHNICAL SALES & ADM. SUPPORT	PERCENT	ALL OTHER OCCUPATION	PERCENT	TOTAL	PERCENT
Japanese	13280	32%	10720	25%	18340	43%	42340	100%
Chinese	11160	38%	7400	25%	10550	36%	29120	100%
Filipino	6500	23%	9060	32%	12520	45%	28180	100%
Korean	4660	26%	4940	28%	8240	46%	17840	100%
Asian Indian	2940	49%	1720	29%	1280	22%	5940	100%
Vietnamese	820	15%	1300	24%	3260	61%	5380	100%
Hawaiian	400	19%	420	20%	1280	61%	2100	100%
Other Asian	1700	19%	2160	25%	4960	56%	8820	100%
White	448180	33%	325040	24%	596020	43%	1369240	100%
Black	33820	14%	50320	22%	151500	64%	235640	100%
American Indian	2760	18%	2660	18%	9500	64%	14920	100%
Other	1180	24%	1260	25%	2560	51%	5000	100%
Hispanic	48460	8%	79860	14%	450160	78%	578460	100%

Table 11b

OCCUPATION OF EMPLOYED FEMALES BY ETHNIC GROUP
LOS ANGELES COUNTY, 1980

ETHNIC GROUP	MANAGERIAL AND PROFESSIONAL	PERCENT	TECHNICAL SALES & ADM. SUPPORT	PERCENT	ALL OTHER OCCUPATION	PERCENT	TOTAL	PERCENT
Japanese	8600	24%	18640	51%	9220	25%	36520	100%
Chinese	5550	25%	11260	43%	8260	32%	26060	100%
Filipino	8300	26%	15920	51%	7120	23%	31140	100%
Korean	2760	17%	6100	38%	7240	45%	16100	100%
Asian Indian	1100	29%	1500	40%	1140	31%	3740	100%
Vietnamese	600	18%	1620	48%	1720	44%	3940	100%
Hawaiian	300	19%	660	42%	600	38%	1560	100%
Other Asian	1100	15%	2940	40%	3200	45%	7140	100%
White	295800	26%	536740	52%	357580	31%	1181320	100%
Black	41380	17%	114840	48%	84740	35%	240960	100%
American Indian	2180	16%	6260	46%	5120	38%	13560	100%
Other	440	13%	2000	57%	1040	30%	3480	100%
Hispanic	26540	6%	147680	34%	249360	58%	433580	100%

Employment in Public/Private Sectors

The majority of the workforce in Los Angeles County are private wage earners, with 59% employed in private enterprise and 14% in government at all levels. (Table 12) Among Asians, private sector employment is more pronounced among Filipinos, Hawaiians, and Other Asians, who have approximately two-thirds of their workforce in the private sector. In the government sector, the highest representation is Filipinos, with 15%. Koreans have the lowest rate of governmental employment, with only 5%.

Koreans, Japanese and Chinese have the highest percent of self-employed workers in family-owned business enterprises, with proportions of 15%, 11% and 9%, respectively. The majority of these are not incorporated and tend to be small-scale businesses. (Amsun) The presence of Asian communities such as Koreatown, Little Tokyo and Chinatown which feature small indigenous shops and restaurants accounts for many of these small businesses. However, recent immigrants have also branched out into non-ethnically linked small businesses such as convenience stores, fast food outlets and dry cleaning shops in many

areas of the County which do not have Asian population concentrations.

Although unpaid family workers are a small percentage of the workforce, it is relatively higher among Asians than other ethnic groups. This can be attributed to the presence of family-run small scale businesses, as noted above.

TABLE 12: EMPLOYMENT SECTOR, WORKERS AGE 16 + YEARS

BY ETHNIC GROUP, LOS ANGELES COUNTY, 1980

Ethnic Group	EMPLOYMENT SECTOR							
	Private Wage and Salary Worker	Federal Government Worker	State & Local Government	Self-Emp. Not Inc.	Self-Emp. Inc.	Unpaid Family Worker	Not in Labor Force	Total 16+ Years
Asian								
Japanese	56,020 57.0%	1,920 2.0%	10,080 10.0%	8,660 9.0%	1,420 2.0%	780 1.0%	18,680 19.0%	97,560
Chinese	40,580 57.0%	1,300 2.0%	6,020 8.0%	4,860 7.0%	1,660 2.0%	780 1.0%	16,520 23.0%	71,720
Filipino	47,140 65.0%	3,680 5.0%	6,840 10.0%	1,720 2.0%	380 1.0%	240 *	12,360 17.0%	72,360
Korean	23,740 53.0%	560 1.0%	1,820 4.0%	5,460 12.0%	1,360 3.0%	920 2.0%	11,420 25.0%	45,280
Asian Indian	7,520 57.0%	200 2.0%	900 7.0%	720 5.0%	240 2.0%	80 1.0%	3,460 26.0%	13,120
Vietnamese	7,500 45.0%	180 1.0%	1,020 6.0%	300 2.0%	160 1.0%	140 1.0%	7,320 44.0%	16,620
Hawaiian	2,940 63.0%	140 3.0%	360 7.0%	140 3.0%	40 1.0%	40 1.0%	1,020 22.0%	4,680
Other Asian	13,240 63.0%	700 3.0%	1,120 6.0%	680 3.0%	140 1.0%	60 *	4,960 24.0%	20,900
White (Non Hispanic)	1,921,280 58.0%	63,900 2.0%	252,380 8.0%	207,160 6.0%	65,080 2.0%	14,220 *	788,760 24.0%	3,312,780
Black	326,600 50.0%	35,460 5.0%	95,360 15.0%	15,500 2.0%	2,220 *	680 *	184,000 28.0%	659,820
American Indian	22,520 62.0%	1,140 3.0%	3,080 9.0%	1,460 4.0%	220 1.0%	140 *	7,800 21.0%	36,360
Other	6,860 64.0%	280 3.0%	660 6.0%	580 5.0%	60 1.0%	40 *	2,240 21.0%	10,720
Hispanic	866,460 65.19%	19,780 2.0%	81,220 6.0%	32,760 3.0%	6,440 *	3,020 *	319,380 24.0%	1,329,060
TOTAL	3,342,400 59.0%	129,240 2.0%	460,860 8.0%	280,000 5.0%	79,420 2.0%	21,140 *	1,377,920 24.0%	5,690,980 100.00%

* Less than 1%

Teen/Young Adult Labor Force Participation

For all teens/young adults in the County, 78% are in the labor force and 22% are not in the labor force (students, keeping house, or not looking for work). In contrast to the high labor force participation among Asian adults, Asian teen/young adult labor force participation, at 69%, is almost 10% below the County average. (Table 13)

Among the Asian ethnic groups, Japanese (79%) and Filipinos (77%) have the highest teen/young adult labor force participation, similar to the pattern for Japanese and Filipino adults. Vietnamese have the lowest teen/young adult rate at 39%; also similar to the adult pattern.

The pattern of low labor force participation suggests that Asian young adults may have a higher proportion of full time students than other groups, given the pattern of high educational achievement among Asian adults, although this cannot be verified directly since data on school enrollment for the 16-24 age group were not available for this study. This supposition is however supported by examination of census data on college enrollment, which shows the following rates for ethnic groups:

College Enrollment as Percent of Persons Age 18+

By Ethnic Group, Los Angeles County, 1980

	Persons Age 18+	Persons Enrolled in College	
Asian Pacific	331,975	53,801	16%
White*	3,907,209	365,671	9%
Black	629,605	74,484	12%
American Indian	38,447	4,035	11%
Hispanic	1,268,189	88,540	7%
Total	5,449,416	532,828	10%

*Figure for total White (not Non-Hispanic White). Data for Non-Hispanic White not available. This figure, which includes many Hispanics, is presumably lower than the rate would be for Non-Hispanic Whites.

The college enrollment figures include all persons who are enrolled in college, and not only the 16-24 age group. While obviously a significant number of older adults are counted in the enrollment figures, the differences among groups are indicative of the prevailing pattern for each group. The much higher college enrollment rate for Asians supports the supposition that many in

the 16-24 age group are not in the labor force because they are full time students.

Gardner, Robey and Smith reported a similar finding at the national level: Asian youths were much higher in school enrollment than other ethnic groups. For example, in 1980, 72% of White youths age 16-19 were in school and 52% were in the labor force, compared to 89% of Korean youths in school and 38% in the labor force. The unproductive and potentially crime-prone "inactivity" rates (not in school and not in the labor force) for 16-19 year olds reported in their study were as follows for each ethnic group: (Gardner, et al)

Persons Age 16-19, Not Enrolled in School and Not Working or Looking for Work, United States, 1980

White	8%
Korean	3%
Vietnamese	12%
Black	14%
Hispanic	15%

The lower labor force participation of Asian Pacific youth indicates that their families are supporting them while they complete postsecondary education, developing a strong base for occupational and economic achievement in future years.

TABLE 13: Occupation and Labor Force Participation, Age 16-24 by Ethnic Group, Los Angeles County, 1980

Ethnic Group	Occupation: Total in Labor Force	Not in Labor Service	Total Age 16-24
Asian	48,820	21,840	70,660
	69%	31%	100%
Japanese	14,900	3,860	18,760
	79%	21%	100%
Chinese	10,600	4,840	15,440
	69%	31%	100%
Filipino	9,820	2,900	12,720
	77%	23%	100%
Korean	5,340	3,760	9,100
	59%	41%	100%
Asian Indian	1,680	780	2,460
	68%	32%	100%
Vietnamese	2,060	3,180	5,240
	39%	61%	100%
Hawaiian	1,000	340	1,340
	75%	25%	100%
Other Asian	3,420	2,180	5,600
	61%	39%	100%
White (Non-Hispanic)	512,260	91,760	604,020
	85%	15%	100%
Black	111,220	60,380	171,600
	65%	35%	100%
American Indian	6,720	2,180	8,900
	76%	24%	100%
Other	2,300	720	3,020
	76%	24%	100%
Hispanic	303,540	108,760	412,300
	74%	26%	100%
Total	984,860	285,640	1,270,500
	78%	22%	100%

Education of Adult Population

Compared to the County average, Asian adults have received more education. This is reflected in the higher number of school years completed by the Asians. While almost 35% of the adult Asian population (age 25+) finished 16 years or more of education, the adult average for the County is 18% of adults completing 16 years of education. (Table 14)

Taking together those who completed 16 years of education and those who also took graduate studies (17+ years of education), Asian Indians have the highest percentage (48%), followed by Filipinos (47%), Chinese (38%), and Koreans (38%). It is notable that ethnic groups who are largely immigrants have higher levels of education than the predominantly American-born Japanese, 27% of whom have completed 16 years+, or Hawaiians, with 12%.

The Asian Pacific population also includes significant numbers of adults who have low levels of basic education: 33,940 adults with 0-8 years of education and 19,620 with 9-11 years of schooling, amounting to 20% of all adults who have less than high school training. Vietnamese

and Chinese have the largest proportions of adults with low levels of education, with 26% and 21%, respectively, of adults who finished 0-8 years of education. Paradoxically, the Chinese have high percentages at both ends of the education spectrum, illustrating the major differences that can be found <u>within</u> a given ethnic group as a result of generational or socioeconomic distinctions.

TABLE 14: Years of School Completed by Ethnic Group
Adult Population (25 + Years)
Los Angeles County, 1980

Ethnic Group	Years of School Completed						
	0-8 Yrs	9-11 Yrs.	12 Yrs	13-15 Yrs	16 Yrs	17+ Yrs	Total
Asian	33,940	19,620	59,880	63,420	52,800	41,920	271,580
	13%	7%	22%	23%	20%	15%	100%
Japanese	5,480	5,460	26,120	20,640	11,720	9,380	78,800
	7%	7%	33%	26%	15%	12%	100%
Chinese	11,520	3,640	8,480	11,500	10,540	10,600	56,280
	21%	6%	15%	20%	19%	19%	100%
Filipino	6,340	3,700	7,520	13,920	16,240	11,920	59,640
	11%	6%	13%	23%	27%	20%	100%
Korean	3,980	2,520	8,680	7,320	10,100	3,580	36,180
	11%	7%	24%	20%	28%	10%	100%
Asian Indian	1,340	740	1,460	2,040	1,360	3,720	10,660
	12%	7%	14%	19%	13%	35%	100%
Vietnamese	2,920	1,440	2,280	3,220	640	880	11,380
	26%	13%	20%	28%	5%	8%	100%
Hawaiian	300	540	960	1,140	160	240	3,340
	9%	16%	29%	34%	5%	7%	100%
Other Asian	2,060	1,580	4,380	3,640	2,040	1,600	15,300
	14%	10%	29%	24%	13%	10%	100%
White (Non-Hipanic)	244,720	311,240	823,280	714,220	292,380	322,920	2,708,760
	9%	12%	30%	26%	11%	12%	100%
Black	66,800	86,560	141,160	140,380	26,360	26,960	488,220
	14%	18%	29%	29%	5%	5%	100%
American Indian	3,880	5,120	7,520	7,820	1,580	1,540	27,460
	14%	19%	27%	28%	6%	6%	100%
Other	1,060	840	2,020	1,980	780	1,020	7,700
	14%	11%	26%	26%	10%	13%	100%
Hispanic	399,440	155,780	187,300	125,140	21,500	27,600	916,760
	44%	17%	20%	14%	2%	3%	100%
Total	749,840	579,160	1,221,160	1,052,960	395,400	421,960	4,420,480
	17%	13%	28%	24%	9%	9%	100%

School completed and occupation

In a report of Southern California Association of Government (SCAG) on Scenarios of Future Immigration and Ethnicity, it was pointed out that immigrants as a whole tend to be less educated and thus receive lower incomes. The direct relationship of income and education is supported by findings of this study which indicate that income increases with level of occupation held and number of school years completed, i.e., persons with more years of school completed, are more likely to hold managerial/professional or technical/sales/administrative support jobs. Table 15 shows that 88% of those who completed college (16+ years of school) are in the generally higher-paid white collar jobs. For Asians, these percentages are highest among Japanese, Asian Indians, and Chinese.

Correspondingly, 85% of those who finished 0-8 years of education are concentrated in blue collar jobs. Among Asians, the Filipinos and Chinese who finished 0-8 years of education have the highest proportion (86% and 85%) of persons employed as fabricators, laborers and operators. (See Table 16a-m in Appendix D for detailed figures.)

Income Level

The changing structure of the labor force has made considerable impact on household income patterns. Two income

TABLE 15: Selected Occupations and School Years Completed, By Ethnic Group, Population Age 25+, Los Angeles County, 1980

	Blue Collar* Workers, Age 25+ with 0-8 Years Education		White Collar** Workers, Age 25+ with 16+ years Education	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Asian	14,120	83%	73,540	85%
Japanese	1,900	74%	17,540	90%
Chinese	5,900	85%	17,240	90%
Filipino	2,320	86%	22,040	83%
Korean	1,580	79%	8,600	71%
American Indian	340	61%	4,060	90%
Vietnamese	760	84%	1,180	82%
Hawaiian	100	71%	340	85%
Other Asian	1,220	94%	2,540	75%
White	64,520	69%	493,700	91%
Black	22,560	84%	41,620	85%
American Indian	1,980	85%	2,280	80%
Other	420	84%	1,300	76%
Hispanic	250,380	90%	33,340	74%
Total	353,980	85%	645,780	88%

*Blue collar occupations include service, farming/fishing/forestry, precision production, crafts and repair, operators/fabricators/laborers.

**White collar occupations inclkude manager/professional/specialty and technical/sales/administrative supervision.

earners in a household, as more and more women join the labor force, result in a higher household income. Additional earners such as relatives living in the household also boost its income. Gardner, Robey and Smith reported that recent (1975-80) immigrants had much higher proportions of "other relatives", beyond the immediate family, living in the household. National data in their study showed that 63% of Asian families had two or more workers, and 17% had three or more, compared to 55% and 12% for whites. (Gardner et al)

In addition, a higher proportion of Asians (14%) work more than 40 hours per week compared to the County average of 13%. Among Asian groups, the Koreans, Japanese and Asian Indians show the highest percentage of employed persons working more than 40 hours per week - 19%, 17% and 16%, respectively.

Household Median Income

Median income is a convenient measure to compare groups, representing the midpoint of the entire span of incomes. Filipinos and Japanese have the highest household median income - $23,692 and $22,368 respectively, as shown in Table 17. These are higher than the County average of $17,551. In addition to the above two groups, the median income among the Chinese, Asian Indian, Other Asians also exceeds the County average. However, the Vietnamese household median income is the lowest of all population groups, as Figure 8 illustrates.

TABLE 17: Median Household Income, by Ethnic Group
Los Angeles County, 1980

Ethnic Group	Median Household Income
Asians	$20,160
Japanese	$22,368
Chinese	$19,820
Filipino	$23,693
Korean	$16,610
Asian Indian	$17,810
Vietnamese	$ 9,610
Hawaiian	$17,145
Other Asian	$17,583
White Non-Hispanic	$19,830
Black	$12,190
American Indian	$15,048
Other Non-Hispanic	$14,010
Hispanic	$14,505
Total	$17,551 *

*Total from published Census report PCH80-2-226. Other figures from PUMS.

JM3594R/bld(2)

Figure 11



HOUSEHOLD INCOME, BY ETHNIC GROUP
Asian/Pacific Population, Los Angeles County, 1980

Figure 11 Median Household Income By Ethnic Group
Los Angeles County, 1980



For ethnic identification see Page 7 .

Although household median income is high for Asians, it must be remembered that most Asian households consist of two or more income earners, so the household median income tends to obscure the income earning pattern of individual Asians. A report on a study conducted by Professor Ronald Takaki, points out:

> These income figures mean very little unless they are analyzed in relation to the number of workers per household. Here we find that Asian Americans had 1.70 workers per household, compared with only 1.28 for Whites and 1.20 for Blacks. Thus, actually Asian Americans earned only $12,229 per worker, while Whites received $15,275. In other words, Asian American income per household worker was only 80 percent of the White income. (Takai)

Household Income Distribution

The distribution of incomes shows how the population is spread out (or clustered) along the full range of incomes. (See Table 18.)

The same Asian groups (Japanese, Chinese, Filipino and Asian Indian) which have highest median incomes also exceed the County average of 8% in households with incomes of $50,000 or more. Vietnamese have a much higher percentage in the lowest income levels than any other group, 27% for Vietnamese compared to 11% for the County population.

TABLE 18: Population by Household Income by Ethnic Group
Los Angeles County, 1980

Ethnic Group	Household Income ≤$5,000	$5,000-7,499	$7,500-9,999	$10,000-14,999	$15,000-19,999	$20,000-24,999	$25,000-34,999	$35,000-49,999	$50,000+	TOTAL
Asian	43,920	19,940	22,980	47,240	50,360	56,980	93,580	76,340	45,460	456,780
	10%	4%	5%	10%	11%	12%	21%	17%	10%	100%
Japanese	8,300	3,020	3,440	10,900	12,100	14,320	25,620	25,080	14,240	117,020
	7%	3%	3%	9%	10%	12%	22%	22%	12%	100%
Chinese	11,580	5,560	5,640	8,840	9, 840	11,060	17,920	14,040	9,720	94,200
	12%	6%	6%	9%	11%	12%	19%	15%	10%	100%
Filipino	4,380	2,220	2,460	9,100	10,400	13,560	24,900	21,780	11,240	100,040
	4%	2%	3%	9%	10%	14%	25%	22%	11%	100%
Korean	6,680	3,140	5,620	8,460	7,540	8,840	12,080	6,580	5,560	64,500
	10%	5%	9%	13%	12%	14%	19%	10%	8%	100%
Asian Indian	1,660	1,220	580	2,540	1,720	2,140	2,560	3,260	2,040	17,720
	9%	7%	3%	14%	10%	12%	15%	18%	12%	100%
Vietnamese	7,180	2,840	2,300	2,500	3,560	2,720	2,560	1,580	800	26,040
	27%	11%	9%	10%	14%	10%	10%	6%	3%	100%
Hawaiian	700	440	280	700	800	680	1,580	880	160	6,220
	11%	7%	5%	11%	13%	11%	25%	14%	3%	100%
Other Asian	3,440	1,500	2,660	4,200	4,380	3,660	6,360	3,140	1,700	31,040
	11%	5%	9%	14%	14%	12%	20%	10%	5%	100%
White (Non-Hispanic)	362,060	175,060	202,760	440,360	452,220	478,660	780,140	617,360	476,880	3,985,500
	9%	4%	5%	11%	11%	12%	20%	16%	12%	100%
Black	158,820	87,640	79,320	145,380	121,480	99,940	131,720	80,300	31,080	935,680
	17%	9%	8%	16%	13%	11%	14%	9%	3%	100%
American Indian	5,280	3,620	3,760	6,720	5,940	5,700	9,320	6,040	2,020	48,400
	11%	7%	8%	14%	12%	12%	19%	13%	4%	100%
Other	2,360	940	1,020	1,820	1,500	1,700	2,820	1,600	1,320	15,080
	16%	6%	7%	12%	10%	11%	19%	10%	9%	100%
Hispanic	212,880	149,720	174,240	373,120	329,340	277,860	322,220	149,120	65,240	2,053,740
	10%	7%	9%	18%	16%	14%	16%	7%	3%	100%
Total	785,320	436,920	484,080	1,014,640	960,820	920,840	1,339,800	930,760	622,000	7,495,180
	11%	6%	6%	14%	13%	12%	18%	12%	8%	100%

Household Income and School Years Completed

The correlation between household income and school years completed was examined to further test the relationship of income and occupation and school years completed. Figures 9a-c indicate that the higher the grade completed, the more likely that one has a higher income, and conversely, the less education completed, the more likely it is to have a low income.

The proportions vary among ethnic groups. Whites have the highest proportion of population with 17+ years of education who fall in the $50,000+ income category. Compared to Asian Indians, who have almost the same proportion of population engaged in the professional and managerial occupations, Whites have a higher proportion of households falling in the $50,000+ income category: 24% as opposed to 18% for the Asian Indians. Surprisingly, of the Asians who finished 17 years of education or more, Vietnamese have the highest proportion of persons who are in the $50,000+ income category.

Figure 12a: Relationship of Household Income Less than $10,000 and School Years Completed, Low Income Persons Age 25+ Years, By Ethnic Group, Los Angeles County, 1980



·For ethnic identification see Page .

Of the Japanese (JPN) who finished between 0-8 years of education, 38% belong to households with incomes less than $10,000 per year while of the Japanese who finished 17 years of education or more only 9% belong to households with income of less than $10,000 per year.

Figure 12 b: Relationship of Household Income $25,000 - $49,999 and School Years Completed, Middle-Income Persons Age 25+ Years, By Ethnic Group, Los Angeles County, 1980



For ethnic identification see Page .

Of the Japanese who finished between 0-8 years of education, 22% belong to households with incomes ranging from $25,000 - $49,999 per annum while of the Japanese who finished 17 years of education or more approximately 47% belong to households with incomes between $25,000-$49,999 per annum.

Figure 12c: Relationship of Household Income $50,000+ and School Years Completed, High-Income Persons Age 25+ Years, By Ethnic Group, Los Angeles County, 1980



For ethnic identification see Page .

Of the Japanese (JPN) who finished between 0-8 years of education less than 5% belong to households with incomes of $50,000 or more while of the Japanese who finished 17 years of education or more almost 19% belong to households with annual incomes of $50,000 or more.

TABLE 18 a-c

a. RELATIONSHIP OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND SCHOOL YEAR COMPLETED
PERSONS AGE 25+ YEARS AND HOUSEHOLD INCOME LESS THAN $10,000

ETHNIC GROUP	SCHOOL YEARS COMPLETED 0-8 YEARS	PERCENT*	17+ YEARS	PERCENT*
Japanese	2100	38%	800	8%
Chinese	4360	38%	1180	11%
Filipino	1300	21%	700	6%
Korean	1240	31%	640	18%
Asian Indian	380	28%	360	10%
Vietnamese	1780	61%	120	14%
Hawaiian	180	60%	0	-
Other Asian	700	34%	280	18%
White	115160	47%	30960	10%
Black	38100	57%	3480	13%
American Indian	1740	45%	240	16%
Other	580	55%	200	20%
Hispanic	128800	32%	4240	15%

b. RELATIONSHIP OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND SCHOOL YEAR COMPLETED
PERSONS AGE 25+ YEARS AND HOUSEHOLD INCOM $25,000-$49,999

ETHNIC GROUP	SCHOOL YEARS COMPLETED 0-8 YEARS	PERCENT	17+ YEARS	PERCENT
Japanese	1280	23%	4320	46%
Chinese	3000	26%	4720	45%
Filipino	2340	37%	6080	51%
Korean	1020	26%	1280	36%
Asian Indian	400	30%	1520	41%
Vietnamese	220	8%	180	20%
Hawaiian	20	7%	100	42%
Other Asian	580	28%	540	34%
White	39700	16%	130000	40%
Black	7120	11%	10280	38%
American Indian	600	15%	700	45%
Other	160	15%	320	31%
Hispanic	67280	17%	10920	40%

c. RELATIONSHIP OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND SCHOOL YEAR COMPLETED
PERSONS AGE 25+ YEARS AND HOUSEHOLD INCOME MORE THAN $50,000

ETHNIC GROUP	SCHOOL YEARS COMPLETED 0-8 YEARS	PERCENT	17+ YEARS	PERCENT
Japanese	260	5%	1680	18%
Chinese	740	6%	1900	18%
Filipino	520	8%	2100	18%
Korean	260	7%	560	16%
Asian Indian	80	8%	660	18%
Vietnamese	40	1%	180	20%
Hawaiian	0	-	0	-
Other Asian	30	4%	220	14%
White	8760	4%	77860	24%
Black	1040	2%	4380	15%
American Indian	120	3%	220	14%
Other	60	6%	230	18%
Hispanic	5000	2%	3000	11%

*Percent of persons in school years completed group.

Household Income by Occupation

As mentioned earlier, income is directly related to occupation, so that those in the managerial and professional jobs are more likely to fall in the $25,000-$49,999 and $50,000+ income category. This is true for all groups. However, percentages among ethnic groups vary. (See Table 19a-m in Appendix D.)

For example, in the technical, sales and administrative support category, income varies widely between ethnic groups. For example, while the Japanese, Chinese, Filipino, Hawaiians and Whites have higher percentages in the $25,000-$49,000 and $50,000 income categories, the rest of the ethnic groups have higher percentages in the $15,000-$19,999 and $20,000-$34,999 income groups. The Hispanics, Blacks and Other Asians have significant proportions in the $10,000-$19,999 income group. This is probably due to greater proportion of these groups in lower paid white collar occupations such as clerks, cashiers or sales persons.

Household Income and Year of Immigration

Contrary to the belief that income is influenced by the year of immigration, i.e., the longer one has lived in the higher U.S. the higher the income, this study did not demonstrate such a relationship. For example, while it was true that generally, immigrants between 1975-1980 have higher proportions of households earning income less than $10,000, so do a significant proportion of persons in households who immigrated before 1960. (However, income

of pre-1960 immigrants may be affected by lower retirement age incomes.) See Tables 20a-20m in Appendix D. Moreover, persons in households earning $25,000+ have also significant proportions among those who immigrated between 1975-1980.

Household Income of American-Born and Foreign-Born

A comparison of the income earning pattern between the American-born and the foreign-born reveals that the majority of the American-born tend to fall higher income categories. Figures 10a-m (Appendix D) show that in almost all ethnic groups, 55% or more of the American-born are in the $20,000+ income category. This is with the exception of the Filipinos, who have very little variation in the proportion of household income between American-born and foreign-born persons. The Vietnamese, although having higher percentage of American-born in the $20,000+ income category, have an equally high proportion of American-born in less than $10,000 income category.

Male/Female Incomes

Income disparities exist not only between ethnic groups but also between males and females. Countywide, female incomes are lower than male incomes. Figures 11a and 11b show that while 69% of the females receive incomes less than $10,000, only 41% of the males do.

Figure 13.a: Personal Income of Males By Ethnic Group, Los Angeles County, 1979



Figure 13b: Personal Income of Females By Ethnic Group Los Angeles County, 1979



* Not available for each ethnic group.

Source: 1980 Census of Population, Detailed Population Characteristic, California. U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.

Further, only 29% of the females are in the income group $10,000-$24,999 and they are barely represented in the $25,000+ income category. In contrast, 40% of the males fall in the $10,000-$24,999 income group, 15% in the $25,000-$49,999, and 4% in the $50,000+ categories.

Among ethnic groups, the Hispanics have the highest proportion of female incomes falling in the less than $10,000 income category, followed by the American Indian females. Asian females have the lowest proportion under $10,000. Except for a tiny percent of White females, in the $50,000+ income category, no other woman's group has measureable proportions in the top income category.

White males tend to earn more than other males. Only 37% of the White males receive incomes less than $10,000, while 18% receive income between $25,000-$49,999. Five percent of the White males are in the $50,000+ income group. Asian males have a similar income pattern, but with more earning incomes in the two lower categories, and fewer in the two upper income groups. For other ethnic groups, refer to Figures 11a and b.

Source of Income

The major source of income for all groups is wages from employment, with 64% of those with incomes receiving wages. Self employment income is reported by 6% of income earners. (Table 21). More than 23% of persons with income report receiving rent

TABLE 21: Source of Income, Population Age 16+ Yrs,
by Ethnic Group, Los Angeles County, 1980

Ethnic Group	Source of Income: Wage Income		Self-Employed Income		Rent & Dividend Income		Other Income		Total 16+
Asian	221,360	65%	25,040	7%	78,080	23%	47,740	14%	342,240
Japanese	65,800	67%	9,060	9%	38,620	40%	14,780	15%	97,560
Chinese	45,360	63%	5,940	8%	23,660	33%	10,520	15%	71,720
Filipino	54,080	75%	2,420	3%	12,140	17%	11,380	16%	72,360
Korean	24,280	54%	5,400	12%	5,740	13%	5,300	12%	45,280
Asian Indian	8,180	62%	1,000	8%	3,340	25%	1,960	15%	13,120
Vietnamese	7,300	44%	280	2%	1,040	6%	4,960	30%	16,620
Hawaiian	3,100	66%	200	4%	560	12%	1,080	23%	4,680
Other Asian	13,260	63%	740	4%	2,980	14%	2,720	13%	20,900
White (Non-Hispanic)	2,113,020	64%	245,780	7%	1,080,380	33%	926,580	28%	3,312,780
Black	397,920	60%	16,760	3%	47,560	7%	201,200	30%	659,820
American Indian	24,100	66%	1,760	5%	4,540	12%	9,080	25%	36,360
Other	7,060	66%	680	6%	1,800	17%	2,020	19%	10,720
Hispanic	863,840	65%	34,180	3%	92,560	7%	227,360	17%	1,329,060
Total	3,627,300	64%	324,200	6%	1,314,920	23%	1,418,940	25%	5,690,980

Note: Percentages add to more than 100% due to multiple sources of income.

JM3594R/bld(7)

Figure 14



*Note: Percentages add to more than 100% due to more than one source of income.

SOURCE OF INCOME, BY ETHNIC GROUP
Asian/Pacific Population Age 16+, Los Angeles County, 1980

or dividends, and 25% receive income from various other sources, including Social Security, pensions, unemployment, insurance, welfare payments or alimony/child support. Figure 11 compares the income sources for different ethnic groups. Generally, Asians receive a slightly higher proportion than the County average from wage and self employment income and a significantly lower proportion from "Other" sources that the County average. (Tables 22-25 in Appendix D show each ethnic group's level of income, for each source.)

Wage Income

Filipinos have the highest percentage (75%) with wages from employment, as compared with 64% as the County average. Most ethnic groups are near this average, with the exception of Koreans (54%) and Vietnamese (44%).

Self-Employment Income

Koreans, at 12%, have the highest proportion of persons with self-employment income, followed by Japanese (9%), Chinese (8%) and Asian Indians (8%). Vietnamese, with 2% having self-employment income, are the lowest in this category of all ethnic groups, very similar to Filipinos, Blacks and Hispanics, who have 3% each.

Rent and Dividend Income

Japanese, at 40%, are the highest among all groups in rent and dividend income, although only 10% of those with such income receive $5,000 or more from this source. Other Asian groups above the County average of 23% of households with rent and dividend income are Chinese with 33%, and Asian Indians with 25%. Vietnamese are lowest in this income source, at 6%, comparable to Blacks (7%) and Hispanics (7%).

Other Income

All Asian groups except Vietnamese are below the County average of 25% receiving income from sources other than wages, self-employment, rent or dividends. Thirty percent of Vietnamese and Blacks were in households receiving such income, mostly from welfare assistance.

The generally low proportion of Asians who receive other types of income compared to the County average, is due to their smaller proportion of elderly people drawing Social Security benefits; lower unemployment rates; and higher household incomes which preclude the need for welfare assistance.

Poverty Status

Thirteen percent of the County's population were below the poverty level in 1980. Asians had the same rate, (13%) as the County average. (See Table 26). This represents a significant shift since 1970, when Asians had a poverty rate of 9%, compared to the County average of 11%. The increase in Asian poverty appears to be due to the very low income levels of Southeast Asian refugees. Poverty data, which involves correlation of income and family size were not available separately for each Asian ethnic group at the County level. However, national data on poverty for Asian ethnic groups is likely to be representative of rates for these groups in Los Angeles, since a large proportion of all Asians live in Los Angeles. Gardner, Robey and Smith reported poverty rates from the 1980 Census as follows:

	Families Below Povery Level
Japanese	4.2%
Chinese	10.5%
Filipino	6.2%
Korean	13.1%
Asian Indian	7.4%
Vietnamese	35.1%

TABLE 26: Poverty Population, by Ethnic Group
Los Angeles County, 1980 Census

Ethnic Group	Persons Below Poverty Level	
	NUMBER	PERCENT
Asian*	57,786	12.8%
White Non-Hispanic	496,943	9.9%
Black	212,992	23.1%
American Indian	9,101	17.0%
Hispanic	419,277	20.5%
Total	984,816	13.4%

*Not Available for separate Asian ethnic groups.

Family Composition

It is commonly thought that Asian immigrants frequently live in extended family groupings, with several generations and several related families living together. This study found that sharing a household by two nuclear families does not seem to be much more common among Asians than among other ethnic groups. (Table 27).

Of the total households in L.A. County, 4% have one sub-family. Asians have a slighty higher rate, at 6%. Among Asians, Filipinos have the highest percentage of households with sub-families - 11%, followed by the Vietnamese and Chinese with 7% each. The rest of the ethnic groups have 5% or more, except for the Japanese, White and Other Non-Hispanic, who have only 2% of households with sub-families.

National data reported by Gardner, Robey and Smith show that recent immigrant households tend to have relatives of the family living with them at a higher rate than earlier immigrants or the total population. This pattern is reflected in data for this study, which show that among Asian households with sub-families, a great proportion indicated having immigrated between 1975-80 and 1970-74.

Households with sub-families have two distinct patterns: first, new immigrants (those who came between 1975-80) have the highest percentage of households with sub-families, slowly tapering

TABLE 27: PERSONS IN HOUSEHOLDS WITH SUBFAMILIES,** BY ETHNIC GROUP
LOS ANGELES COUNTY, 1980

Ethnic Group	Number of Persons With Subfamily: 1	2 Or More	Group Quarter	No Sub-Family	Total
Asian	28,080 6%	940 #	6,520 2%	421,240 92%	456,780
Japanese	1,500 1%	20 #	2,600 2%	112,900 97%	117,020
Chinese	7,260 8%	200 #	1,780 2%	84,960 90%	94,200
Filipino	11,200 11%	640 1%	720 1%	87,480 87%	100,040
Korean	2,860 4%	- -	500 1%	61,140 95%	64,500
Asian Indian	1,000 6%	- -	200 1%	16,520 93%	17,720
Vietnamese	2,000 8%	- -	240 1%	23,800 91%	26,040
Hawaiian	280 5%	80 1%	120 2%	5,740 92%	6,220
Other Asian	1,980 6%	- -	360 1%	28,700 93%	31,040
White (Non Hispanic)	78,640 2%	2,500 #	96,920 2%	3,807,440 96%	3,985,500
Black	52,740 6%	4,600 #	18,420 2%	859,920 92%	935,680
American Indian	2,560 5%	500 1%	1,060 2%	44,280 92%	48,400
Other	320 2%	140 1%	440 3%	14,180 94%	15,080
Hispanic	118,960 6%	10,760 #	17,700 1%	1,906,320 93%	2,053,740
TOTAL	281,300 4%	19,440 #	141,060 2%	7,053,380 94%	7,495,180

Less than 1%

** See Appendix for definition of "subfamilies."

off during the first half of the 70s and almost nil in households who immigrated during and prior to the 1960s. Second, native-born households may have a sub-family, found in about 4% to 6% of households. This pattern is true for all ethnic groups which have significant numbers of households with sub-families. Essentially, Asian family composition with regard to extended family living arrangements is much like all other ethnic groups.

Home ownership

Home ownership is somewhat higher among Asians at 58%, compared to the County average of 54%. Comparing Asian groups, the Filipinos, Japanese and Chinese are highest in home ownership, with 66%, 64% and 63%, respectively. Home ownership is lowest among the Vietnamese, with 19%. Consequently, the percentage of renters if higher among the Vietnamese, and Other Asians.

Most of the homes in Los Angeles County are valued between $70,000-$99,999 and $100,000-$149,999. This is true in almost all races. (See Table 29). Home values for Asians are generally close to the County average with the exception of Koreans and Chinese who have higher percentages in the $200,000 home value category.

TABLE 28: Housing Tenure by Ethnic Group
Los Angeles County, 1980

Ethnic Group	Housing Tenure			
	Homeowner	Renter	Group Quarter	Total
Asian	263,900	186,360	6,520	456,780
	58%	41%	1%	
Japanese	75,340	39,080	2,600	117,020
	64%	34%	2%	
Chinese	59,560	32,860	1,780	94,200
	63%	35%	2%	
Filipino	66,180	33,140	720	100,040
	66%	33%	1%	
Korean	30,940	33,060	500	64,500
	48%	51%	1%	
Asian Indian	9,440	8,080	200	17,720
	53%	46%	1%	
Vietnamese	5,080	20,720	240	26,040
	19%	80%	1%	
Hawaiian	3,240	2,860	120	6,220
	52%	46%	2%	
Other Asian	14,120	16,560	360	31,040
	46%	53%	1%	
White (Non Hispanic)	2,452,560	1,436,020	96,920	3,985,500
	62%	36%	2%	
Black	431,360	485,900	18,420	935,680
	46%	52%	2%	
American Indian	21,080	26,260	1,060	48,400
	44%	54%	2%	
Other	7,720	6,920	440	15,080
	51%	46%	3%	
Hispanic	858,200	1,177,840	17,700	2,053,740
	42%	57%	1%	
Total	4,034,820	3,319,300	141,060	7,495,180
	54%	44%	2%	

Figure 15



RENTER/OWNER STATUS, BY ETHNIC GROUP
Asian/Pacific Population, Los Angeles County, 1980

TABLE 29: Value of Owner-Occupied Housing by Ethnic Group
Los Angeles County, 1980

Ethnic Group	Value of Owner-Occupied Units						
	≤$50,000	$50,000-69,999	$70,000-99,999	$100,000-149,999	$150,000-199,999	$200,000+	TOTAL
Asian	17,480	23,180	75,480	62,740	22,040	19,520	220,440
	8%	11%	34%	28%	10%	9%	100%
Japanese	5,880	7,340	21,300	19,980	6,640	4,380	65,520
	9%	11%	33%	30%	10%	7%	100%
Chinese	3,940	3,940	14,200	13,220	6,080	6,920	48,300
	8%	8%	30%	27%	13%	14%	100%
Filipino	3,020	7,200	22,720	15,840	3,500	2,560	54,840
	6%	13%	41%	29%	6%	5%	100%
Korean	2,500	1,240	6,140	7,940	3,140	4,040	25,000
	10%	5%	25%	32%	12%	16%	100%
Asian Indian	360	400	2,280	2,300	1,520	880	7,740
	5%	5%	29%	30%	20%	11%	100%
Vietnamese	420	960	1,840	620	320	260	4,420
	9%	22%	42%	14%	7%	6%	100%
Hawaiian	540	500	1,380	400	180	20	3,020
	18%	16%	46%	13%	6%	1%	100%
Other Asian	820	1,600	5,620	2,440	660	460	11,600
	7%	14%	48%	21%	6%	4%	100%
White	120,580	267,400	695,320	563,860	223,080	315,000	2,185,240
	6%	12%	32%	26%	10%	14%	100%
Black	131,400	88,980	96,140	35,160	9,140	6,560	367,380
	36%	24%	26%	9%	3%	2%	100%
American Indian	2,220	4,000	6,320	3,500	1,040	920	18,000
	12%	22%	35%	20%	6%	5%	100%
Other (Non-Hispanic)	320	800	2,620	1,440	520	800	6,500
	5%	12%	41%	22%	8%	12%	100%
Hispanic	124,340	216,840	259,680	86,040	22,800	16,240	725,940
	17%	30%	36%	12%	3%	2%	100%
Total	396,340	601,200	1,135,560	752,740	278,620	359,040	3,523,500
	11%	17%	32%	22%	8%	10%	100%

F. Social Indicators

1. Education

Like the ethnic composition of the total population, enrollment in Los Angeles County schools is becoming more diverse. In 1980-81, approximately one half of the students were non-White but by 1984-85, non-White school enrollment rose to more than two-thirds of the County's total. Asian enrollment increased from 6% in 1980 to 9% in 1984, registering the highest growth rate of all ethnic groups. During this period Hispanic enrollment increased from 32% to 43%, while White enrollment declined from 47% to 32%. Black enrollment likewise declined from 16% to 15% (Table 30).

The California State Department of Education, Office of Bilingual / Bicultural Education, reported that the Limited English Proficient (LEP) students in Los Angeles County rose by 36%, from 166,933 in 1980 to 227,843 in 1984. Of the LEP students, Asians accounted for 11% in 1980 increasing to 13% in 1984 with a corresponding decline in Hispanic LEP students from 84% to 82% during the same period.

Figure 11B



LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENCY STUDENTS, BY LANGUAGE
Grade K-12, Los Angeles County, 1980-1984

TABLE 30: School Enrollment by Ethnicity,
Los Angeles County, 1980-81 & 1984-85 (in percent)

	1980-81	1984-85
Asian	5.6	8.9
White	47.5	32.4
Hispanic	32.0	43.1
Black	14.2	15.3
American Indian	.7	.3
Total	100.0	100.0

Source: California Public Schools, Enrollment by County, by District, by Ethnicity, 1980-81 and 1984-85 CBEDS Database.

LEP program statistics list ten major languages spoken by almost 228,000 students. Of these languages, less than 30,000 Asian student speak eight different languages, indicating the extent of diversity among Asian peoples. In contrast, the Hispanics who account for nearly 82% of the LEP students speak just one language - Spanish. (Refer to Table 31.)

Among Asian LEP students, Chinese, Korean, Vietnamese and Cambodian speaking students accounted for 82% of Asian LEP enrollment in 1984. This is noteworthy as they are the same groups whose adult population has been hampered in employment because of inability to speak fluent English.

TABLE 31: Limited English Proficient (LEP) Enrollment, by Language Spoken, Los Angeles County, 1980-1984 (Kindergarden to 12th Grade)

Languages	No. of Students									
	1980		1981		1982		1983		1984	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Asian	17,803	10.7%	22,854	12.0%	25,755	12.4%	27,931	12.9%	29,825	13.1%
Japanese	1,492	0.9%	1,507	0.8%	1,564	0.8%	1,647	0.8%	1,749	0.8%
Chinese	4,826	2.9%	6,511	3.4%	6,970	3.4%	7,798	3.6%	8,705	3.8%
Filipino	1,714	1.0%	1,827	1.0%	1,957	0.9%	2,337	1.1%	2,781	1.2%
Korean	4,406	2.7%	4,773	2.5%	4,989	2.4%	5,324	2.5%	5,420	2.4%
Vietnamese	4,370	2.6%	6,256	3.3%	6,982	3.3%	6,976	3.2	6,788	3.0%
Cambodian	546	0.3%	1,128	0.6%	2,325	1.1%	2,879	1.3%	3,441	1.5%
Lao	449	0.3%	852	0.4%	968	0.5%	970	0.4%	941	0.4%
Spanish	140,047	83.9%	156,720	82.4%	170,735	82.4%	176,676	81.8%	186,378	81.8%
Portuguese	375	0.2%	337	0.2%	340	0.2%	337	0.2%	336	0.1%
Other	8,708	5.2%	10,300	5.4%	10,409	5.0%	10,951	5.1%	11,304	5.0%
Total	166,933	100.0%	190,211	100.0%	207,239	100.0%	215,895	100.0%	227,843	100.0%

Note: Not include students in Preschools, Adult Classrooms, or Juvenile Halls.

Source: California State Department of Education, Office of Bilingual Cultural Education, Lep Enrollment," Report 80-3B, 1980, 1981, 1982, 1983, 1984.

2. Health

Birth Rate and Number of Births

The phenomenal increase of the Asian population in Los Angeles County was the result of the tremendous immigration between 1975-1980, and the influx of refugees from Southeast Asia, the majority of whom are in their prime years. This resulted in a rate of increase of births among Asians which surpassed that of Blacks and Hispanics. In 1980 the number of births for Asians was 7,561, rising to 10,185 in 1983. This represents an average annual increase of 11.6%, while Blacks and Hispanics showed annual increases of 1.9% and 1.3% respectively. Table 32 shows the number and rate of births by ethnicity for the years 1980 to 1983. Asians do not have the highest birthrate*, but figures show a steady significant increase during the period.

* Number of births per 1,000 population

TABLE 32: Number and Rate* of Births by Race/Ethnicity
Los Angeles County, 1980-1983

Year	Total	White (non-Hispanic)	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Other**
1983	140,354	45,180	61,543	19,712	10,185	3,734
	(18.8)	(11.4)	(29.8)	(21.2)	(25.5)	(28.6)
1982	138,888	43,849	62,414	19,252	9,627	3,746
	(18.6)	(11.1)	(30.2)	(20.7)	(24.1)	(28.7)
1981	136,219	43,747	61,680	19,040	8,494	3,258
	(18.2)	(11.1)	(29.9)	(20.2)	(21.3)	(28.2)
1980	131,111	42,302	59,197	18,613	7,561	3,438
	(17.5)	(10.7)	(28.7)	(19.7)	(19.0)	(29.7)

112

* Per 1,000 estimated population in each ethnic group. (Rates for 1981-83 based on 1980 Census figures.)

** Includes American Indian, Other Non-White and Unknown. Rate fluctuations from 1979 on mostly due to differences in the base population.

Source: Los Angeles County: Department of Health Service, Public Health Programs, "Vital Statistic Report" (compiled by Data Collection and Analysis Division), 1980-81, 1982, 1983.

Live Births by Age of Mother

Compared to other ethnic groups, Asian women tend to have babies later in life. In 1983, approximately 81% of the Asian live births were to women aged 25 years old and over, compared to 58% for the County. Births to Asian Women aged 20-24 decreased from 17% in 1980 to 16% in 1983, while births to Asian teenage women remained at 3% for the same period. Countywide, live births to teenage mothers decreased from 14.3% in 1980 to 12.2% in 1983, while live births to women aged 20-24 years old also decreased from 32.6% to 29.7% (See Table 33).

TABLE 33: Live Birth by Age of Mother, by Ethnic Group, Los Angeles County, 1980 and 1983

	Age Group											
	1980						1983					
Ethnic Group	≤20 Yrs	20-24 Yrs	25-34 Yrs.	35+ Yrs	Unknown	Total	≤20 Yrs	20-24 Yrs	25-34 Yrs	35 + Yrs	Unknown	Total
Asian*	229 3%	1,295 17%	5,215 69%	820 11%	2 0	7,561	262 2%	1,570 16%	7,166 70%	1,187 12%	0 -	10,185
White (Non-Hispanic)	4,030 10%	12,836 30%	22,737 54%	2,672 6%	27 0	42,302	3,307 7%	11,977 27%	25,931 57%	3,955 9%	10 0	45,180
Hispanic	10,124 17%	21,070 36%	24,048 41%	3,885 6%	70 0	59,197	9,451 15%	20,621 34%	26,816 44%	4,638 7%	17 0	61,543
Black	4,073 22%	6,580 35%	7,163 39%	791 4%	6 0	18,613	3,773 19%	6,616 34%	8,245 42%	1,073 5%	5 0	19,712
Other	218 9%	650 29%	1,203 53%	199 9%	1 0	2,271	208 8%	655 26%	1,424 55%	288 11%	0 -	2,575
Unknown	137 12%	300 26%	622 53%	96 8%	12 1%	1,167	86 7%	278 24%	638 55%	117 14%	40 0	1,159
Total	18,811 14%	42,731 33%	60,988 47%	8,463 6%	118 0	131,111	17,087 12%	41,717 30%	70,220 50%	11,258 8%	72 0	140,354

*Includes Chinese, Japanese, Filipino, Korean, Vietnamese, Cambodian

0 Less than 1%

Source: County of Los Angeles Department of Health Services, Public Health Programs, "Vital Statistics Report," 1980-81, 1983.
Other include American Indian.

Infant Deaths

Improved child care and neonatal technology have resulted in the decline of infant deaths during the years 1980 and 1983. Table 34 shows that infant death rate for Los Angeles County decreased from 11.6 in 1980 to 10.4 in 1983. In the same manner, infant death rate* among Asians decreased from 8.1 to 6.7 for this period.

Number of deaths per 1,000 live births.

TABLE 34: Infants Death and Rate by Ethnicity,
Los Angeles County, 1980 & 1983

Infants Death

Ethnic Group	1980 Number	1980 Rate	1983 Number	1983 Rate
Asian	61	8.1	64	6.7
White	541	12.8	454	10.4
Hispanic	518	8.8	509	8.2
Black	391	21.0	374	19.5
American Indian	1	1.4	3	5.1
Others	9	5.7	20	10
Unknown	4	3.4	2	-
Total	1,525	11.6	1,426	10.4

Source: County of Los Angeles Department of Health Service,
Public Health Programs, "Vital Statistics Report," 1980-81, 1983.

Communicable Diseases

Asians as a whole have a high incidence of tuberculosis (TB). The number of cases increased from 243 in 1980 to 344 in 1984, registering a 42% increase during the 4-year period. In contrast, County figures declined from 1,436 in 1980 to 1,293 in 1984, a decrease of almost 10%.

The Tuberculosis Control Division of the Department of Health Services in Los Angeles County reports that the increase in tuberculosis incidence among Asians is directly related to the sharp rise in immigration. Although reported cases of tuberculosis are more prevalent among Southeast Asian refugees, TB incidence among Koreans and Filipinos also shows a slight but steady increase. Similar to the pattern of TB incidence in third world countries, Asian immigrants who are in the age groups of 25-34 years old and 65 years old and over have the highest reported cases of TB. Countywide, the same pattern persists because of the high incidence of TB among Hispanics in the same age groups.

Other communicable diseases which have higher incidence among Asians are Amebiasis, Hepatitis B and Salmonella. Although the first two diseases posted remarkable decreases between 1982 and 1984, Salmonella, on the other hand, increased during those years.

Figure 16



TUBERCULOSIS CASES, ASIAN AND TOTAL POPULATION
Los Angeles County, 1980-1984

Death Rate

Total death rate* for the County decreased between 1980 and 1983, but this was mainly due to the decline in the number of deaths among the White population which comprised 73% of the deaths in 1983. See Figure 13 which shows the percent distribution of number of deaths among ethnic groups. Among Asians and the rest of the ethnic groups, however, number of deaths increased. Refer to Table 35 for the number and rate of deaths by ethnic groups. Asian death rate in 1980 was 3.4 but in 1983 it rose to 4.3 while the County death rate decreased from 8.0 to 7.8 during the same period.

* Number of deaths per 1,000 population

Figure 17: Percent Distribution of Number of Deaths by Ethnic Group, Los Angeles County, 1980



Source: Vital Statistics Report, Department of Health Services, Public Health Programs, Los Angeles County, 1980-81

TABLE 35: Number and Rate* of Deaths by Race/Ethnicity
Los Angeles County, 1980-1983

Year	Total	White (Non-Hispanic)	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Other**
1983	58,427	42,445	6,665	7,287	1,732	297
	(7.8)	(10.7)	(3.2)	(7.9)	(4.3)	(2.3)
1982	58,368	43,034	6,214	7,266	1,672	182
	(7.8)	(10.9)	(3.0)	(7.8)	(4.2)	(1.4)
1981	58,101	43,665	5,676	7,175	1,380	205
	(7.8)	(11.0)	(2.7)	(7.6)	(3.5)	(1.8)
1980	59,551	45,109	5,677	7,252	1,339	174
	(8.0)	(11.4)	(2.7)	(7.7)	(3.4)	(1.5)

* Per 1,000 estimated population in each ethnic group. (Rates for 1981-83 based on 1980 Census figures.)

** Includes American Indian, Other Non-White and Unknown.

Source: Los Angeles County: Department of Health Service, Public Health Programs, "Vital Statistics Report" (compiled by Data Collection and Analysis Division), 1980-1981, 1982, 1983.

3. Crime

Although total criminal arrests in L.A. County remained almost the same between 1981 and 1983, criminal arrests among ethnic groups shifted. Arrests for persons classified under "Other"* which includes Asians rose from 13,210, 1981 to 13,782 in 1983, a 4.3% increase. Hispanic and Black arrests registered increases of 4.4% and 1.4% respectively while White arrests declined by 4.3%. (See Table 36.)

For major felony crimes like homicide, assault, burglary, theft, narcotics and dangerous drugs, arrests made on "Other" and Hispanics increased by 14.8% and 10.6%, respectively. White and Black arrests declined by 7.9% and 1.4%, respectively.

*"Other" includes Asians, American Indians and other non Whites. However, in 1980, American Indian and Other population constitute less than 1% of the County's total, while the Asians account for more than 6% so that persons classified under other could very well represent the Asians.

TABLE 36: Criminal Arrests by Ethnicity,
Los Angeles County, 1981 and 1983

	1983					1981				
	Total	White	Hispanic	Black	Other	Total	White	Hispanic	Black	Other
Homicide	2,029	326	711	932	60	2,371	328	891	1,100	52
Assault	15,982	4,014	5,424	6,021	523	17,842	4,618	6,179	6,553	492
Burglary	32,419	9,161	11,451	11,091	716	34,962	10,324	11,408	12,588	642
Theft	18,040	4,878	5,580	7,194	388	19,689	5,572	5,713	8,024	380
Motor/Vehicle Theft	10,406	2,325	3,552	4,353	176	12,658	3,011	4,4,409	5,061	177
Narcotics	13,453	4,447	4,287	4,488	231	11,157	4,025	2,779	4,208	145
Programs Drug	12,284	2,629	3,644	5,871	140	8,158	2,486	1,560	4,014	98
Robbery	13,409	1,767	3,544	7,907	191	14,715	2,108	4,093	8,288	226
Other	37,265	11,107	12,439	12,865	854	32,804	11,683	8,740	11,738	643
Total Form	155,287	40,654	50,632	60,722	3,279	154,356	44,155	45,772	61,574	2,855
Misdemeanor	362,106	137,907	128,613	85,194	10,392	358,827	141,922	125,454	82,079	9,372
Status Offenses	3,615	1,668	1,196	642	109	4,720	2,237	1,580	820	83
Grand Total	521,008	180,229	180 441	146,558	13,780	517,903	188,314	172,806	144,473	13,210
Increase (Decrease) 1981-83	.6%	(4.3%)	4.4%	1.4%	4.3%					

G. Asian Pacific Service Resources

There are approximately 48 service organizations serving the Asian community in Los Angeles County, based on examination of the County's central information and referral database. The range of services offered includes employment training, recreation, counseling, temporary housing, refugee resettlement, child care and domestic violence services.

A closer look at these organizations, however, indicates that some Asian communities are better served than the others because of population size and community involvement. The Japanese community has the largest number of community organizations, followed by Chinese and Koreans. However, most of these organizations serve practically all Asians so that services offered may also serve to the needs of certain smaller groups.

However, to the extent that smaller ethnic groups or recent immigrants may have specialized needs, specialized services may be more appropriate. A case in point are the Southeast Asian refugees. From the findings of the study it is apparent that they lag behind the rest of the Asians in socio-economic indicators such as income, education, employment, language, etc. It is likely that refugees cannot readily avail themselves of the different services provided in general purpose agencies because of difficulties in language, cultural barriers, transportation, and knowledge of service resources. So it is important to assess the needs of each group of the Asian community to be really responsive.

ASIAN PACIFIC SERVICE RESOURCES

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES
CRIB COUNTYWIDE SERVICES AND PROGRAMS

COUNTYWIDE SERVICES: PROGRAM: ASIAN COMMUNITY

NAME	ADDRESS			PHONE	CRIB/AREA
ASIAN EMPLOYEES ASSOC	2707 S. GRAND AVE.	LOS ANGELES	90007	(213) 744-3096	COUNTYWIDE
COMMU SVCS ASIAN SVC CTR	14911 S. CRENSHAW	GARDENA	90249	(213) 973-3131	COUNTYWIDE
COMMU SVCS CARSON/SAMOAN CTR	404 E. CARSON ST.	CARSON	90745	(213) 834-6403	LONG BEACH
DPSS ASIAN COMMU ADMIN	3401 RIO HONDO AVE.	EL MONTE	91731	(213) 572-5558	COUNTYWIDE
MENTAL HLTH ASIAN/PACFIC	3407 W. 6TH ST. #510	LOS ANGELES	90020	(213) 382-7311	COUNTYWIDE
LAPD ASIAN TASK FORCE	150 N. LOS ANGELES ST.	LOS ANGELES	90012	(213) 485-6880	CIVIC CENTER
PUBLIC LIBRARY CHINATOWN	536 W. COLLEGE ST.	LOS ANGELES	90012	(213) 620-0925	CIVIC CENTER
*ASIAN AMER DRUG ABUSE PROG	5318 S. CRENSHAW BLVD.	LOS ANGELES	90043	(213) 293-6284	COUNTYWIDE
ASIAN AMER VOL ACTION CTR	373 N. WESTERN AVE. #10	LOS ANGELES	90004	(213) 463-5591	HOLLYWD WILSHIRE
ASIAN HEALTH PROJECT	3860 W. KING BLVD.	LOS ANGELES	90008	(213) 295-6571	SOUTH CENTRAL
ASIAN REHABILITATION SVCS	601 S. SAN PEDRO ST.	LOS ANGELES	90014	(213) 623-2313	CIVIC CENTER
ASIAN-PACIFIC LEGAL CTR SO CAL	620 W. OLYMPIC 2ND FL	LOS ANGELES	90015	(213) 746-6029	CIVIC CENTER
ASIAN/PACIFIC COALCN AGING	1102 S. CRENSHAW BL. RM 11	LOS ANGELES	90019	(213) 933-4982	COUNTYWIDE
ASIAN/PACIFIC FAMILY OUTREACH INC	602 PACIFIC AVE.	LONG BEACH	90802	(213) 436-9636	LONG BEACH
ASIAN/PACIFIC/AMER WOMEN'S CTR	332 S. VIRGIL AVE.	LOS ANGELES	90020	(213) 387-1915	HOLLYWD WILSHIRE
CHINATOWN SENIOR CITZ SVCS CTR	424 BERNARD ST.	LOS ANGELES	90012	(213) 221-1164	CIVIC CENTER
*CHINATOWN SVC CTR/JTPA PROG	1231 N. BROADWAY AVE.	LOS ANGELES	90012	(213) 221-4100	CIVIC CENTER
COMMU ACCESS LIBRARY LINE	1550 W. BEVERLY BLVD.	MONTEBELLO	90640	(213) 372-6641	COUNTYWIDE
*CTR FOR PACIFIC ASIAN FAMILY	543 N. FAIRFAX AVE. RM 108	LOS ANGELES	90036	(213) 653-4042	HOLLYWD WILSHIRE
E SGV JAPANESE COMMUNITY CTR	1203 W. PUENTE AVE.	WEST COVINA	91793	(213) 337-9123	SN GABRIEL VALLEY
FILIPINO-AMER COMMU OF LA	1740 W. TEMPLE ST.	LOS ANGELES	90026	(213) 483-3392	HOLLYWD WILSHIRE
GARDENA JAPANESE CULTRUAL INST	16215 S. GRAMERCY PL	GARDENA	90247	(213) 324-6611	SOUTH BAY
JAPANESE AMERICAN COMM SVC CTR	12953 BRANFORD ST.	PACOIMA	91331	(213) 899-1989	SN FERNANDO VALLE
JAPANESE AMERICAN CTZNS LEAGUE	244 S SAN PEDRO ST RM 507	LOS ANGELES	90012	(213) 626-4471	COUNTYWIDE

*United Way Member Agency

ASIAN PACIFIC SERVICE RESOURCES, CONT.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES
CRIB COUNTYWIDE SERVICES AND PROGRAMS

COUNTYWIDE SERVICE: PROGRAM: ASIAN COMMUNITY

NAME	ADDRESS			PHONE	CRIB/AREA
*JAPANESE COMMU PIONEER CTR	244 S. PEDRO ST RM 85	LOS ANGELES	90012	(213) 680-1656	CIVIC CENTER
JAPANESE EVANGELICAL MISSIONARY	948 E. 2ND ST., 2ND FLR.	LOS ANGELES	90012	(213) 613-0022	COUNTYWIDE
JAPANESE RETIREMENT HOME	325 S. BOYLE AVE.	LOS ANGELES	90033	(213) 263-9651	E LOS ANGELES
KOREAN AMER MENTAL HEALTH CTR	3875 WILSHIRE BLVD #204	LOS ANGELES	90010	(213) 381-1174	HOLLYWD WILSHIRE
KOREAN COMMITTEE ON AGING	427 S. OCCIDENTAL BLVD	LOS ANGELES	90057	(213) 382-8261	HOLLYWD WILSHIRE
*KOREAN YOUTH CENTER	309 S. OXFORD AVE.	LOS ANGELES	90020	(213) 838-5218	HOLLYWD WILSHIRE
KORYO HEALTH FOUNDATION	3544 W. OLYMPIC BLVD#204/212	LOS ANGELES	90019	(213) 731-0686	HOLLYWD WILSHIRE
LITTLE FRIENDS CHILD DEV CTR	707 E. KENSINGTON AVE.	LOS ANGELES	90012	(213) 250-0972	CIVIC CENTER
LITTLE TOKYO SVC CTR INC.	244 S. SAN PEDRO #411	LOS ANGELES	90012	(213) 680-3729	CIVIC CENTER
NIKKEI WIDOWED GROUP	244 S. SAN PEDRO ST	LOS ANGELES	90012	(213) 292-9905	CIVIC CENTER
ORIENTAL SERVICE CENTER	213 S. HOBART BL., #303	LOS ANGELES	90004	(213) 386-3605	CIVIC CENTER
PACIFIC ASIA CONSORTIUM INEMP	1851 S. WESTMORELAND AVE.	LOS ANGELES	90006	(213) 748-8431	HOLLYWD WILSHIRE
SEDWAN SENIOR CITIZENS CENTER	3116 W. JEFFERSON BLVD.	LOS ANGELES	90018	(213) 734-2175	SOUTH CENTRAL
SO CA SOCIETY OF JAPANESE BLND	401 E. 3RD ST.	LOS ANGELES	90013	(213) 262-7709	CIVIC CENTER
TAIWANESE-AMER COMM ON AGING	244 1/2 E. 1ST STREET	LOS ANGELES	90012	(213) 620-0009	CIVIC CENTER
TONGAN COMMUNITY SERVICE CTR	3801 IMPERIAL HWY	INGLEWOOD	90303	(213) 677-1993	SOUTH BAY
UCLA ASIAN EDUCATION PROJECT	3232CAMPBELL HALL	WESTWOOD	90024	(213) 825-5178	W. LOS ANGELES
UNION CHURCH OF LA	401 E. 3RD ST.	LOS ANGELES	90013	(213) 629-3876	CIVIC CENTER
UNITED CHINESE RESTAURANT ASSN	1400 S. GOODRICH BLVD.	CITY OF COMMERCE	90040	(213) 727-0409	E. LOS ANGELES
UNITED FILIPINO AMER ASSOC	P.O. BOX 5119	CARSON	90745	(213) 830-7600	LONG BEACH
WESTSIDE CHINESE SERVICE CTR	520 W. GARVEY	MONTEREY PARK	91754	(213) 576-7273	SN GABRIEL VALLEY
YUAI-EN SENIOR DAY CARE CTR	244 S. SAN PEDRO ST B5	LOS ANGELES	90012	(213) 625-2673	CIVIC CENTER
*SEARCH TO INVOLVE PILIPINO AMER.	1020 SUNSET BLVD.	LOS ANGELES	90026	(213) 484-6083	
*UNITED CAMBODIAN COMMUNITY	11859 ROSECRANS	NORWALK	90650	(213) 868-0706	

*United Way Member Agency

PART III: SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

PART III

SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A. Summary of Findings

Key Study Finding: Diversity

Los Angeles has had an Asian Pacific population explosion over the past decade, fueled by rising immigration and the growth of trans-Pacific trade. Between 1970 and 1980 this population nearly doubled, and continuing rapid growth is forecast.

The principal finding of the **Pacific Rim Profiles** study is that recognition of the profound differences among Asian Pacific groups is the key to understanding their needs. Rather than a growing "Asian" population, the study points to rapid growth in distinctly different groups from around the Pacific Rim: Filipinos, Koreans, Chinese, Japanese, Vietnamese and many others.

They are newcomers and second, third and fourth generation Americans, and they have vastly different perspectives based on varying cultural, political, economic and educational backgrounds.

They are businessmen and busboys, farmers and pharmacists, seamstresses and surgeons, lawyers and laborers, intellectuals and illiterates. They are rural and urban, tribal and cosmopolitan; they are poor, middle class and wealthy. And because the different Asian Pacific ethnic groups fall at opposite ends of the scale on many indicators, averages can be misleading.

The essential conclusion of this report is that responsiveness to the great diversity within the Asian Pacific population is fundamental to developing productive service and involvement strategies to meet Asian community needs and to draw these groups into the mainstream of community life in Los Angeles.

Immigration

Immigration is the driving force in rapid growth of the Asian Pacific population. Immigration is again rising toward the high levels of earlier periods in U.S. history, but today's immigrants come predominantly from Asia and Latin America. From 1980 to 1984, Asians accounted for 48% of all legal immigrants to the U.S. Like earlier generations of immigrants, Asian Pacific newcomers come for economic opportunity, to pursue business interests, to join

family members who have settled here, and to escape war and political conflicts.

Asian Pacific Groups in Los Angeles County

Great diversity in language, culture, religion and history are found among the County's many Asian Pacific groups. 457,000 Asian Pacific residents were counted in Los Angeles County in the 1980 Census, including nine specifically identified groups and a host of smaller ethnic clusters which together account for 20,000 people. The largest groups are Japanese, Filipino, Chinese, Korean and Vietnamese.

Population Growth

Rapid growth of the County's Asian Pacific population is expected to continue through 1990 and beyond. Historically, for most of this century the Asian population in the County has been approximately doubling in each decade. 1985 estimates of the Asian Pacific population in Los Angeles County range from 580,000 to 792,000. Growth rates differ widely among the various ethnic groups due to patterns of immigration, birthrates and refugee resettlement.

Place of Birth

Two thirds of the County's Asian Pacific population are foreign born, and one third are American born. Immigration law changes in 1965 fostered a surge of immigration from Pacific Rim nations which continues unabated. The rate of immigration rose steeply after 1975, and half of the Asian Pacific immigrants in Los Angeles in 1980 had come during the preceding five years.

Refugees

Los Angeles is a major population center for Southeast Asian refugees, many of whom have special service needs. By mid-1983 the County's refugee population had reached 73,600, as refugees originally settled in other states joined the Vietnamese, Cambodian, Lao and Hmong communities developing here. Refugees have diverse language, cultural and socioeconomic backgrounds, representing a wide spectrum of groups from their countries of origin.

English Language Ability

Language limitations are a barrier to mainstream opportunities for employment and access to services for

many Asian Pacific residents. 6% of all Asians speak no English, and 21% report that they do not speak English well. Inability to speak English is most severe among Vietnamese and Koreans. On the other hand, Filipinos, Asian Indians and Hawaiians are generally fluent in English because of its wide usage in their schools. English classes for adults have long waiting lists in most school districts, creating a bottleneck in obtaining essential communication skills. Bilingual services are an essential element of effective services for Asian Pacifics, both for newly arrived immigrants and for elderly longtime U.S. residents who never became fluent in English.

Bilingual Education Students

Enrollment of Asian Pacific language speaking studnts in Los Angeles County schools has grown rapidly, with a 67% increase in students needing bilingual education in Asian Pacific languages between 1980 and 1984. This group of students are an increasing proportion of students in the school systems who need bilingual education. Chinese speaking students were the fastest growing group of Asian bilingual education students. (It is important to note that there is an annual immigration quota of 20,000 for Taiwan and an additional 20,000 for mainland China.)

Education of Adults

Above average levels of education are a strong point among Asian Pacific adults, yet barriers of language and professional certification limit access to jobs for many immigrants. Vietnamese education levels are the lowest among Asian Pacific groups, with 26% of Vietnamese adults having 0-8 years of education. Chinese adults have a large percentage at the lowest educational level, but there is also a large percentage of Chinese who are highly educated.

35% of Asian Pacific adults have completed college, including 15% who did postgraduate work, compared to the County average of 18% who completed college and 9% who did graduate work. Asian Pacific groups with percentages of college graduates higher than the County average include Asian Indians (48%), Filipinos (47%), Koreans (38%), Chinese (38%) and Japanese (27%). College enrollment of Asian Pacific young adults is much higher than the County average.

Immigrants are highly receptive to educational opportunities, but adult education funding in most school districts is too limited to meet the demand.

Asian Pacific enrollment in public schools in Los Angeles County in 1984 was 111,500 students, or 9% of the total enrollment.

Employment

High Asian Pacific employment rates reflect cultural attitudes and values about the importance of work, but many immigrant professionals are under-employed. Labor force participation among Asian adults is at or above the countywide average for all groups except the Vietnamese. The Vietnamese and other predominantly refugee groups are extremely disadvantaged in employment, with an extremely high proportion of adults out of the labor force. Unemployment is below the County average for most Asian groups except Vietnamese. Hours worked per week by Asian Pacifics is somewhat above the County average.

Occupation

High educational levels among most Asian Pacific groups give access to better paid occupations than are available to many immigrant groups. Asian Indians have a much higher percentage of managerial and professional workers than other ethnic groups. Blue collar workers are more

prevalent among Vietnamese, Koreans and Hawaiians than in other Asian groups. However, although Asian Pacific educational levels are comparable to or higher than Whites, a lower proportion hold managerial and professional jobs.

Asian Pacific immigrant professionals, such as doctors, lawyers, nurses, CPAs and pharmacists, face language and cultural barriers in obtaining professional certification in California. As a result, many are employed in jobs which are below their capabilities and which provide low incomes, generating a personal and family stress.

Household Income

Most Asian Pacific groups have a good income picture, due to multiple workers in the household, longer hours per week at work, and higher education levels which provide access to better-paid jobs than are available to many immigrants. Japanese, Filipino and Chinese incomes are above the County median income. The other Asian groups have incomes fairly close to the median income, with the exception of Vietnamese, whose incomes are much lower than any other ethnic group in the County. Poverty among the Asian Pacific population rose from 9% in 1970 to 13% in

1980, the same as the county average. This was largely due to the very low incomes of refugee households.

Source of Income

Wages are the main source of income for Asian Pacific households, and a significant number in some ethnic groups are self employed. Koreans, Japanese and Chinese have the highest self employment rate of all ethnic groups, with an average for all Asians of 8% compared to the County average of 6%. However, many Asian-owned small businesses generate low revenue due to their small scale, low profit margin, limited clientele (often a single ethnic group), and lack of management skills. Vietnamese have a higher percentage of households which receive welfare assistance than any other Asian group.

Housing

Home ownership patterns for Asian Pacific groups closely parallel income levels, with those groups which have higher incomes consistently higher in owning their own homes, while moderate income groups have more renters. Asian Pacific households, on the whole, are somewhat higher than the County average in home ownership. Relatively few Asian

Pacific households have several nuclear families living together, although at 6% their rate is higher than the County average of 4%. Nationally, Asian Pacific households have been found to have a higher number of relatives sharing a household than in other ethnic groups, especially among recent immigrants.

Health

Health problems vary among Asian Pacific groups due to different age and immigration patterns. Tuberculosis among Asians increased 42% between 1980 and 1984 due to immigration from areas where TB is prevalent, although the countywide rate declined over this period. Since most immigrants are young adults in the childbearing years, and since cultural attitudes in some immigrant groups favor large families, the birthrate for Asian Pacifics in the County increased one-third between 1980 and 1984. Asian groups vary in their health needs due to different age patterns; for example, with a higher percentage of elderly people, Japanese and Koreans have a different mix of health needs than Vietnamese families, who have a larger proportion of young children.

B. Problems and Issues

In the course of the study, a number of problems and issues emerged which affect human services planning for Asian Pacific groups. These factors affect availability of funding, service delivery design, and the intergroup relations climate in the community which sets the context for service delivery. These issues need to be discussed and specifically addressed in Asian Pacific community planning and problem solving efforts, in partnership with mainstream groups.

Model Minority Stereotype

The stereotype of Asians as a model minority, highly successful in achieving mainstream status, creates a serious barrier to availability of services specifically designed to meet their needs. The stereotype holds that Asians are model citizens who do not have significant social problems such as delinquency, alcoholism, mental health problems, poverty and the like, due to their unique cultural strengths. Consequently, it is often believed that there is no need for special Asian-focused services. There is also a related stereotype that Asians provide

services within their own community, without the need for public investment or fundraising in the broader community.

To counteract these stereotypes requires carefully developed information strategies which communicate the realities of Asian Pacific family and community life to policymakers, grantmakers and the public. To be effective, such strategies must take into account the reasons why stereotypes are maintained, and must represent a consistent, ongoing communications effort.

Anti-Asian Backlash

In the past several years numerous incidents have occurred in Los Angeles and elsewhere which reflect a rising tide of anti-Asian sentiment among groups which feel themselves displaced by the economic success of Asian businesses such as the automobile or electronics industry. It is important to develop awareness among non-Asians that overseas corporations are completely unrelated to local Asian Americans, who have no impact on the business policies of these firms, yet often experience a backlash of hostility merely because they look Asian. Other backlash reactions come from persons who feel threatened by

competition for jobs at acceptable wages, or who experience direct friction with Asians in daily transactions, such as community conflict with small Korean-owned businesses in South Central Los Angeles.

In most cases there is a specific Asian group involved in such situations, yet the tendency is to lump all Asians together in a hostile and angry reaction.

Because of this undifferentiated backlash, there is a need for Asians of all ethnic groups to work together in common efforts to develop community relations approaches to combat backlash which may result in longstanding discrimination and conflict. Asian groups can also develop outreach strategies to work with other minority organizations in preventive relationship building.

Volunteer Leadership Development

There is a conspicuous lack of Asian volunteer leadership in most nonprofit sector organizations and agencies. This appears to be partly the result of Asian leaders in groups within their ethnic communities, and partly due to their needs for representation having been

ignored by the majority community. The fact that Asians have in the past been a relatively small component of the population has made it easy to overlook the need for representation on nonprofit boards, task forces and commissions.

It is important to recognize that organizations wishing to recruit Asian leaders can expect to encounter competion for their volunteer time with Asian community groups, making "volunteer burnout" a threat if they become over-committed. In addition, employed professionals often find it difficult to take time away from their regular jobs, so that regular participation in meetings held during the daytime may not be feasible for them.

There is a need to identify potential as well as existing Asian leadership; to deliberately seek representation from a variety of Asian ethnic communities, from both American and foreign born Asians, and from different generations; to cultivate involvement of identified representatives to avoid having newly recruited Asian volunteers drop out because of unfamiliarity or discomfort in an unknown social context; to train and deliberately develop potential leaders through phased involvement in various levels of volunteer work.

C. Recommendations on Service Needs

These recommendations are presented as a first step to call attention to the more obvious needs of Asian Pacific communities, but these are not exhaustive of services needed. The Asian Pacific Research and Development Council plans to work to verify and expand on these recommendations.

Asian Pacifics share many service needs with the general population, but because of their history of exclusion and discrimination, as well as language and cultural patterns, many can be served more effectively in programs specifically tailored to them, using Asian Pacific staff.

Service needs of Asian Pacifics who are American born are distinct from service needs of recent immigrants. While immigrants' needs are perhaps more obvious and urgent, it is important to recognize that culturally appropriate servies are also needed by second, third and fourth generation Asian Pacific Americans.

Language

English classes are needed for adults at basic and advanced levels. Fluency training in speaking and writing, including one-to-one assistance for specialized professional uses, can greatly improve long term employment opportunities.

Bilingual staff improve effectiveness of outreach and service delivery to non-English speakers, including elderly long-term U.S. residents and immigrants. Asian language materials have powerful symbolic value in communicating the desire to reach out to Asian groups, as well as aiding in understanding of a message. Specific languages to be used for particular types of communications can be selected on the basis of data on non-English speaking persons as identified in this report.

Education

High school equivalency and other adult education opportunities for immigrants with low levels of basic education can facilitate economic self sufficiency and integration into the community.

Employment

Job training linked to basic education is needed for immigrants with low skill levels.

Small business technical assistance is needed to improve income potential and professionalize small-scale Asian Pacific businesses.

Professional licensing assistance is needed for immigrant professionals to allow them to use their training and skills.

Immigration

Legal services are needed to help immigrants with immigration and naturalization problems, as well as to provide protection against consumer fraud and victimization of immigrants.

Cultural orientation and citizenship education for immigrants can help them understand and adapt to American lifestyles, with the goal of bringing them into the mainstream and avoiding ethnic isolation.

Health

Access to affordable health services and health education are needed for low income elderly and immigrants.

Long term care is a critical gap in service for elderly Asian Pacifics, who have special language, dietary and cultural needs.

Mental Health

Mental health services which are culturally appropriate and responsive to the special problems of refugees, displaced professionals, and those experiencing culture shock can make a successful transition possible, and restore social functioning.

Domestic Violence

Battered Asian Pacific women and children need specialized recovery services to deal with culturally sanctioned or stress-related abuse.

Volunteer Development

Asian Pacific involvement in policy and program volunteer roles can promote service delivery and mainstream participation.

Volunteer involvement of Asian Pacific business and professional leaders is needed to achieve effective representation of their community's interests in private sector organizations.

Intergroup Relations

Interaction should be developed among Asian Pacific and non-Asian groups to deal constructively with backlash against Asians, and to create partnerships to work together in developing harmony among the County's many ethnic groupings.

Joint efforts are needed among various Asian Pacific ethnic groups to develop unified approaches to solving common problems. Specific effort is needed to achieve participation from recent immigrants and groups which have had little involvement with Asian community affairs.

APPENDIX A

PROFILES OF ASIAN PACIFIC ETHNIC GROUPS

JAPANESE
Population Profile

Los Angeles County - 1980

Age Group	Number	Percent
75 +	4,280	4%
65-74	4,580	4%
35-64	47,540	41%
25-34	22,400	19%
20-24	11,640	10%
15-19	8,460	7%
5-14	11,980	10%
0-4	6,140	5%
Total	117,020	100%

Location: Communities with 2,000 or more-1980	
Rosemead	2,098
Monterey	6,869
Gardena	11,504
Torrance	7,947
L.A. Strip	2,427
Cerritos	2,064
Montebello	2,519
West Adams	4,219
Mar Vista	2,093
Sawtelle	2,482
Venice	2,981

Birthplace		
USA - Native Born	81,940	70%
Foreign-Born	35,080	30%
Total	117,020	100%

Language	Percent
English Only	49%
Other Language	48%
English Very Well	19%
English Well	16%
English Not Well	11%
Other Language Only	2%
Population Age 0-2	3%
	100%

Immigration Date	Percent
1975-80	10%
1970-74	4%
1965-69	2%
1960-64	3%
Pre -1960	9%
Native Born & N/A	72%
Total	100%

Education - Adults (25+): 78,800

Years of School Completed	Percent
0-8 years	7%
9-11 years	7%
12 years	33%
13-15 years	26%
16 years	15%
17+ years	12%
Total	100%

JAPANESE
Population Profile, con't

Labor Force Participation		Percent
Total Labor Force	97,560	100%
In Labor Force	69,320	71%
Unemployed		3%
Not In Labor Force	28,240	29%
Total Employed	78,860*	100%
Male	42,340	54%
Female	36,520	46%

Source of Income		Percent**
Wages	65,800	67%
Self Employment	9,060	9%
Rent/Dividend	38,620	40%
Public Asst./Other	14,780	15%

Young Adults (16-24 yrs)	18,760	100%
In Labor Force	14,900	79%
Not in Labor Force	3,860	21%

Occupation		Percent
Manager, Professional	21,880	28%
Technical, Sales, Clerical	29,360	37%
Service	7,400	9%
Agricultural	4,540	6%
Precision Prod., Craft	6,320	8%
Operation, Fabricators, Laborers	9,360	12%
Total	78,860	100%

Household Income	Persons	Percent
$ 0-4,999	8,300	7%
$ 5,000-7,499	3,020	3%
$ 7,500-9,999	3,440	3%
$10,000-14,999	10,900	9%
$15,000-19,999	12,100	10%
$20,000-24,999	14,320	12%
$25,000-34,999	25,620	22%
$35,000-49,999	25,080	22%
$50,000+	14,240	12%
Total	117,020	100%

* Includes unpaid family workers and some others not usually counted in Labor Force.

** Percentages add to more than 100% due to multiple sources of income.

CHINESE
Population Profile

Los Angeles County - 1980

Age Group	Number	Percent
75 +	1,800	2%
65-74	4,060	4%
35-64	28,240	30%
25-34	22,180	24%
20-24	9,460	10%
15-19	7,520	8%
5-14	13,700	14%
0-4	7,240	8%
Total	94,200	100%

Location: Communities with 1,000 or more-1980	
Alhambra	4,055
Elysian Park	5,775
El Sereno	2,072
Hacienda Heights	1,832
Lincoln Heights	2,487
Montebello	2,667
Monterey Park	7,934
Wholesale	2,987
Wilshire	2,378

Birthplace		
USA - Native Born	26,580	28%
Foreign-Born	67,620	72%
Total	94,200	100%

Language	Percent
English Only	14%
Other Language	82%
English Very Well	30%
English Well	25%
English Not Well	19%
Other Language Only	8%
Population Age 0-2	4%
	100%

Immigration Date	Percent
1975-80	33%
1970-74	16%
1965-69	10%
1960-64	4%
Pre-1960	8%
Native Born N/A	29%
Total	100%

Education - Adults (25 +): 56,280

Years of School Completed	Percent
0-8 years	21%
9-11 years	6%
12 years	15%
13-15 years	20%
16 years	19%
17+ years	19%
Total	100%

CHINESE
Population Profile, con't

Labor Force Participation		Percent
Total Labor Force	71,720	100%
In Labor Force	46,100	64%
Unemployed		4%
Not In Labor Force	25,620	36%
Total Employed	55,200*	100%
Male	29,120	53%
Female	26,080	47%
Young Adults (16-24 yrs)	15,440	100%
In Labor Force	10,600	69%
Not in Labor Force	4,840	31%

Source of Income		Percent**
Wages	45,360	63%
Self Employment	5,940	8%
Rent/Dividend	23,660	33%
Public Asst./Other	10,520	15%

Occupation		Percent
Manager, Professional	17,720	32%
Technical, Sales, Clerical	18,860	34%
Service	8,360	15%
Agricultural	460	1%
Precision Prod., Craft	3,180	6%
Operation, Fabricators, Laborers	6,820	12%
Total	55,200	100%

Household Income	Persons	Percent
$ 0-4,999	11,580	12%
$ 5,000-7,499	5,560	6%
$ 7,500-9,999	5,640	6%
$10,000-14,999	8,840	9%
$15,000-19,999	9,840	11%
$20,000-24,999	11,060	12%
$25,000-34,999	17,920	19%
$35,000-49,999	14,040	15%
$50,000 +	9,720	10%
Total	94,200	100%

* Includes unpaid family workers and some others not usually counted in Labor Force.

** Percentages add to more than 100% due to multiple sources of income.

FILIPINO
Population Profile

Los Angeles County - 1980

Age Group	Number	Percent
75 +	1,740	2%
65-74	5,460	5%
35-64	31,680	32%
25-34	20,760	21%
20-24	6,860	7%
15-19	7,280	7%
5-14	17,520	17%
0-4	8,740	9%
Total	100,040	100%

Location: Communities with 2,000 or more-1980	
West Covina	3,066
Carson	6,894
Long Beach	7,757
Cerritos	4,352
Hollywood	6,866
Atwater/Glassell	2,523
Westlake	6,071
Wilshire	3,916

Birthplace		
USA - Native Born	26,200	26%
Foreign-Born	73,840	74%
Total	100,040	100%

Language	Percent
English Only	24%
Other Language	70%
English Very Well	43%
English Well	22%
English Not Well	5%
Other Language Only	#
Population Age 0-2	6%
	100%

Immigration Date	Percent
1975-80	29%
1970-74	24%
1965-69	11%
1960-64	2%
Pre-1960 Native Born	6%
N/A	28%
Total	100%

Education - Adults (25 +): 56,640

Years of School Completed	Percent
0-8 years	11%
9-11 years	6%
12 years	13%
13-15 years	23%
16 years	27%
17+ years	20%
Total	100%

Less than 1%

FILIPINO
Population Profile, con't

Labor Force Participation		Percent
Total Labor Force	72,360	100%
In Labor Force	54,540	75%
Unemployed		3%
Not In Labor Force	17,820	25%
Total Employed	59,420*	100%
Male	28,180	47%
Female	31,240	53%
Young Adults (16-24 yrs)	12,720	100%
In Labor Force	9,820	77%
Not in Labor Force	2,900	23%

Source of Income		Percent**
Wages	54,080	75%
Self Employment	2,420	3%
Rent/Dividend	12,140	17%
Public Asst./Other	11,380	16%

Occupation		Percent
Manager, Professional	14,800	25%
Technical, Sales, Clerical	24,880	42%
Service	7,240	12%
Agricultural	440	1%
Precision Prod., Craft	5,280	9%
Operation, Fabricators, Laborers	6,780	11%
Total	59,420	100%

Household Income	Persons	Percent
$ 0-4,999	4,380	4%
$ 5,000-7,499	2,220	2%
$ 7,500-9,999	2,460	3%
$10,000-14,999	9,100	9%
$15,000-19,999	10,400	10%
$20,000-24,999	13,560	14%
$25,000-34,999	24,900	25%
$35,000-49,999	21,780	22%
$50,000 +	11,240	11%
Total	100,040	100%

* Includes unpaid family workers and some others not usually counted in Labor Force.

** Percentages add to more than 100% due to multiple sources of income.

KOREAN
Population Profile

Los Angeles County - 1980

Age Group	Number	Percent
75 +	740	1%
65-74	1,780	3%
35-64	20,940	32%
25-34	12,720	20%
20-24	4,900	8%
15-19	5,180	8%
5-14	13,000	20%
0-4	5,240	8%
Total	64,500	100%

Location: Communities with 1,000 or more-1980	
Chatsworth/Porter Ranch	1,025
Glendale	2,137
Hacienda Heights	1,717
Torrance	1,652
Carson	1,019
Cerritos	2,201
West Adams	1,244
Wilshire	7,433
Santa Barbara	4,538
Hollywood	3,968

Birthplace		
USA - Native Born	8,680	13%
Foreign-Born	55,820	87%
Total	64,500	100%

Language	Percent
English Only	8%
Other Language	87%
English Very Well	22%
English Well	31%
English Not Well	27%
Other Language Only	7%
Population Age 0-2	5%
	100%

Immigration Date	Percent
1975-80	49%
1970-74	28%
1965-69	5%
1960-64	2%
Pre-1960	2%
Native Born N/A	14%
Total	100%

Education - Adults (25 +): 36,180

Years of School Completed	Percent
0-8 years	11%
9-11 years	7%
12 years	24%
13-15 years	20%
16 years	28%
17+ years	10%
Total	100%

KOREAN
Population Profile, con't

Labor Force Participation		Percent
Total Labor Force	45,280	100%
In Labor Force	29,180	64%
Unemployed		4%
Not In Labor Force	16,100	36%
Total Employed	33,940*	100%
Male	17,840	53%
Female	16,100	47%
Young Adults (16-24 yrs)	9,100	100%
In Labor Force	5,340	59%
Not in Labor Force	3,760	41%

Occupation		Percent
Manager, Professional	7,420	22%
Technical, Sales, Clerical	11,040	32%
Service	3,740	11%
Agricultural	440	1%
Precision Prod., Craft	4,000	12%
Operation, Fabricators, Laborers	7,300	22%
Total	33,940	100%

Source of Income		Percent**
Wages	24,280	54%
Self Employment	5,400	12%
Rent/Dividend	5,740	13%
Public Asst./Other	5,300	12%

Household Income	Persons	Percent
$ 0-4,999	6,680	10%
$ 5,000-7,499	3,140	5%
$ 7,500-9,999	5,620	9%
$10,000-14,999	8,460	13%
$15,000-19,999	7,540	12%
$20,000-24,999	8,840	14%
$25,000-34,999	12,080	19%
$35,000-49,999	6,580	10%
$50,000 +	5,560	8%
Total	64,500	100%

* Includes unpaid family workers and some others not usually counted in Labor Force.

** Percentages add to more than 100% due to multiple sources of income.

ASIAN INDIAN
Population Profile

Los Angeles County - 1980

Age Group	Number	Percent
75 +	760	4%
65-74	480	3%
35-64	4,860	27%
25-34	4,560	26%
20-24	1,620	9%
15-19	1,100	6%
5-14	2,740	16%
0-4	1,600	9%
Total	17,720	100%

Location: Communities with 400 or more-1980

Cerritos	973
Hollywood	497
Mar Vista	416
Wilshire	417
Chatsworth/Porter Ranch	504

Birthplace		
USA - Native Born	4,140	23%
Foreign-Born	13,580	77%
Total	17,720	100%

Language	Percent
English Only	27%
Other Language	67%
English Very Well	43%
English Well	16%
English Not Well	6%
Other Language Only	2%
Population Age 0-2	6%
	100%

Immigration Date	
1975-80	41%
1970-74	20%
1965-69	10%
1960-64	2%
Pre-1960 Native Born	4%
N/A	23%
Total	100%

Education - Adults (25 +): 10,660

Years of School Completed	Percent
0-8 years	12%
9-11 years	7%
12 years	14%
13-15 years	19%
16 years	13%
17+ years	35%
Total	100%

ASIAN INDIAN
Population Profile, con't

Labor Force Participation		Percent
Total Labor Force	13,120	100%
In Labor Force	8,660	66%
Unemployed		5%
Not In Labor Force	4,460	34%
Total Employed	9,680*	100%
Male	5,940	61%
Female	3,740	39%
Young Adults (16-24 yrs)	2,460	100%
In Labor Force	1,680	68%
Not in Labor Force	780	32%

Occupation		Percent
Manager, Professional	4,040	42%
Technical, Sales, Clerical	3,220	33%
Service	840	9%
Agricultural	100	1%
Precision Prod., Craft	600	6%
Operation, Fabricators, Laborers	800	9%
Total	9,680	100%

Source of Income		Percent**
Wages	8,180	62%
Self Employment	1,000	8%
Rent/Dividend	3,340	25%
Public Asst./Other	1,960	15%

Household Income	Persons	Percent
$ 0-4,999	1,660	9%
$ 5,000-7,499	1,220	7%
$ 7,500-9,999	580	3%
$10,000-14,999	2,540	14%
$15,000-19,999	1,720	10%
$20,000-24,999	2,140	12%
$25,000-34,999	2,560	15%
$35,000-49,999	3,260	18%
$50,000 +	2,040	12%
Total	17,720	100%

* Includes unpaid family workers and some others not usually counted in Labor Force.

** Percentages add to more than 100% due to multiple sources of income.

VIETNAMESE
Population Profile

Los Angeles County - 1980

Age Group	Number	Percent
75 +	140	1%
65-74	600	2%
35-64	4,760	18%
25-34	5,880	23%
20-24	2,660	10%
15-19	3,160	12%
5-14	6,180	24%
0-4	2,660	10%
Total	26,040	100%

Location: Communities with 700 or more-1980	
Glendale	924
Alhambra	1,062
Pomona	825
Monterey Park	731
Long Beach	2,332
Hawthorne	859
Hollywood	914
Lincoln Heights	758
Elysian Park	1,774
Westlake	1,017
West Wilshire	1,186

Birthplace		
USA - Native Born	1,760	7%
Foreign-Born	24,280	93%
Total	26,040	100%

Language	Percent	
English Only	4%	
Other Language	90%	
English Very Well		14%
English Well		30%
English Not Well		31%
Other Language Only		15%
Population Age 0-2	6%	
	100%	

Immigration Date	Percent
1975-80	89%
1970-74	2%
1965-69	#
1960-64	1%
Pre-1960	#
Native Born N/A	8%
Total	100%

Education - Adults (25 +): 11,380

Years of School Completed	Percent
0-8 years	26%
9-11 years	13%
12 years	20%
13-15 years	28%
16 years	5%
17+ years	8%
Total	100%

Less than 1%

VIETNAMESE
Population Profile, con't

Labor Force Participation		Percent
Total Labor Force	16,620	100%
In Labor Force	7,380	44%
Unemployed		7%
Not In Labor Force	9,240	56%
Total Employed	9,320*	100%
Male	5,380	58%
Female	3,940	42%
Young Adults (16-24 yrs)	5,240	100%
In Labor Force	2,060	39%
Not in Labor Force	3,180	61%

Occupation		Percent
Manager, Professional	1,420	15%
Technical, Sales, Clerical	2,920	31%
Service	900	10%
Agricultural	120	1%
Precision Prod., Craft	1,360	15%
Operation, Fabricators, Laborers	2,600	28%
Total	9,320	100%

Source of Income		Percent**
Wages	7,300	44%
Self Employment	280	2%
Rent/Dividend	1,040	6%
Public Asst./Other	4,960	30%

Household Income	Persons	Percent
$ 0-4,999	7,180	27%
$ 5,000-7,499	2,840	11%
$ 7,500-9,999	2,300	9%
$10,000-14,999	2,500	10%
$15,000-19,999	3,560	14%
$20,000-24,999	2,720	10%
$25,000-34,999	2,560	10%
$35,000-49,999	1,580	6%
$50,000 +	800	3%
Total	26,040	100%

* Includes unpaid family workers and some others not usually counted in Labor Force.

** Percentages add to more than 100% due to multiple sources of income.

HAWAIIAN
Population Profile

Los Angeles County - 1980

Age Group	Number	Percent
75 +	80	1%
65-74	340	6%
35-64	1,980	32%
25-34	940	15%
20-24	880	14%
15-19	600	10%
5-14	940	15%
0-4	460	7%
Total	6,220	100%

Location: Communities with 200 or more-1980	
Long Beach	414

Birthplace		
USA - Native Born	5,980	96%
Foreign-Born	240	4%
Total	6,220	100%

Language	Percent
English Only	80%
Other Language	16%
English Very Well	12%
English Well	3%
English Not Well	1%
Other Language Only	-
Population Age 0-2	4%
	100%

Immigration Date	Percent
1975-80	1%
1970-74	1%
1965-69	1%
1960-64	#
Pre-1960	-
Native Born N/A	97%
Total	100%

Education - Adults (25 +): 3,340

Years of School Completed	Percent
0-8 years	9%
9-11 years	16%
12 years	29%
13-15 years	34%
16 years	5%
17+ years	7%
Total	100%

Less than 1%

HAWAIIAN
Population Profile, con't

Labor Force Participation		Percent
Total Labor Force	4,600	100%
In Labor Force	3,080	66%
Unemployed		7%
Not In Labor Force	1,600	34%
Total Employed	3,660*	100%
Male	2,100	57%
Female	1,560	43%
Young Adults (16-24 yrs)	1,340	100%
In Labor Force	1,000	75%
Not in Labor Force	340	25%

Occupation		Percent
Manager, Professional	700	19%
Technical, Sales, Clerical	1,080	30%
Service	560	15%
Agricultural	80	2%
Precision Prod., Craft	560	15%
Operation, Fabricators, Laborers	680	19%
Total	3,660	100%

Source of Income		Percent**
Wages	3,100	66%
Self Employment	200	4%
Rent/Dividend	560	12%
Public Asst./Other	1,080	23%

Household Income	Persons	Percent
$ 0-4,999	700	11%
$ 5,000-7,499	440	7%
$ 7,500-9,999	280	5%
$10,000-14,999	700	11%
$15,000-19,999	800	13%
$20,000-24,999	680	11%
$25,000-34,999	1,580	25%
$35,000-49,999	880	14%
$50,000 +	160	3%
Total	6,220	100%

* Includes unpaid family workers and some others not usually counted in Labor Force.

** Percentages add to more than 100% due to multiple sources of income.

OTHER ASIAN
Population Profile

Los Angeles County - 1980

Age Group	Number	Percent
75 +	160	#
65-74	240	1%
35-64	6,580	21%
25-34	8,320	27%
20-24	3,640	12%
15-19	2,400	8%
5-14	6,120	20%
0-4	3,580	11%
Total	31,040	100%

Location: Communities with 1,000 or more-1980

Carson-Samoan	1,820
East Compton-Samoan	1,213

Birthplace		
USA - Native Born	10,240	33%
Foreign-Born	20,800	67%
Total	31,040	100%

Language	Percent
English Only	15%
Other Language	78%
English Very Well	32%
English Well	27%
English Not Well	15%
Other Language Only	4%
Population Age 0-2	7%
	100%

Immigration Date	Percent
1975-80	34%
1970-74	18%
1965-69	8%
1960-64	4%
Pre-1960	2%
Native Born N/A	34%
Total	100%

Education - Adults (25 +): 15,300

Years of School Completed	Percent
0-8 years	16%
9-11 years	35%
12 years	2%
13-15 years	35%
16 years	3%
17+ years	9%
Total	100%

Less than 1%

OTHER ASIAN
Population Profile, con't

Labor Force Participation		Percent
Total Labor Force	20,900	100%
In Labor Force	13,800	66%
Unemployed		6%
Not In Labor Force	7,100	34%
Total Employed	15,960*	100%
Male	8,820	55%
Female	7,140	45%
Young Adults (16-24 yrs)	5,600	100%
In Labor Force	3,420	61%
Not in Labor Force	2,180	39%

Source of Income		Percent**
Wages	13,260	63%
Self Employment	740	4%
Rent/Dividend	2,980	14%
Public Asst./Other	2,720	13%

Occupation		Percent
Manager, Professional	2,800	18%
Technical, Sales, Clerical	5,000	31%
Service	2,580	16%
Agricultural	220	1%
Precision Prod., Craft	1,960	12%
Operation, Fabricators, Laborers	3,400	22%
Total	15,960	100%

Household Income	Persons	Percent
$ 0-4,999	3,440	11%
$ 5,000-7,499	1,500	5%
$ 7,500-9,999	2,660	9%
$10,000-14,999	4,200	14%
$15,000-19,999	4,380	14%
$20,000-24,999	3,660	12%
$25,000-34,999	6,360	20%
$35,000-49,999	3,140	10%
$50,000 +	1,700	5%
Total	31,040	100%

* Includes unpaid family workers and some others not usually counted in Labor Force.

** Percentages add to more than 100% due to multiple sources of income.

APPENDIX B

STUDIES ON ASIAN PACIFICS IN LOS ANGELES

STUDIES ON ASIAN PACIFICS IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY

1. "Working Wife and the Korean Immigrant Family: Economics, Power and Marital Happiness," Koreans in Los Angeles. Koryo Research Institute: Center for Korean-American and Korean Studies, California State University, Los Angeles, 1982: Lawrence K. Hong.

2. "The Korean Family in Los Angeles," Koreans in Los Angeles. Koryo Research Institute: Center for Korean-American and Korean Studies, California State University, Los Angeles, 1982: Lawrence K. Hong.

3. "Business Development in Koreatown, Los Angeles," Asian-Americans: Social and Psychological Perspectives, Vol. II: Sue and Wagner Endo. Science and Behavior Books, 1980.

4. "Social Science Needs of Asian Americans in the Western Region," Assistance League Family Service and United Way, Inc., Western Region, March 1980: Harry Kitano.

5. "An Analysis of the Korean Community in the Mid-Wilshire Area, Part I & II," Mid-Wilshire Community Research Center Corporation, Office of Economic Opportunity, State of California, May 1983: David Oh.

6. Pacific Asian Consortium in Employment, "New Asian Corridor Planning and Revitalization Program, December 1980.

7. Urban University Center, "Koreatown Corridor Economic Revitalization Study,"University of Southern California, December 1982.

8. Southern California Gas Company, "Southern California Asian Community Study: A Summary of Key Findings," January 1985: Marylander Marketing Research.

9. United Way, Inc., Central and Western Los Angeles Regions, "Koreatown Profile Study Report," 1985.

10. United Way, Inc. Central Los Angeles Region, "Pacific/Asian Study Committee Report," 1978.

APPENDIX C

DEFINITIONS OF CENSUS TERMS

Social Security Income - Cash receipts of Social Security pensions, survivors' benefits, permanent disability insurance payments, and special benefit payments made by the Social Security Administration (under the national old-age, survivors, disability, and health insurance programs) before deductions of health insurance premiums.

Public Assistance Income - Cash receipts of payments made under the following public assistance programs: aid to families with dependent children, old-age assistance, general assistance, aid to the blind, and aid to the permanently and totally disabled. These payments are generally labeled "Supplementary Security Income" and, while usually received from the Federal government, may also be received from State or local governments.

Income from all Other Sources - Money income received from sources such as veteran's payments; public or private pensions; periodic receipts from insurance policies or annuities; unemployment insurance benefits; workmen's compensation cash benefits; periodic payments from estates and trust funds; alimony or child support from persons who are not members of the household; etc.

Labor Force Status - Persons 16 years old and over were classified as to their status in the labor force based on replies to several questions relating to work activity and status during the reference week.

Employed - Civilians 16 years old and over who were either (a) "at work" - those who did any work at all as paid employees or in their own business or profession, or on their own farm, or who worked 15 hours or more as unpaid workers on a family farm or in a family business; or (b) "with a job but not at work" - those who did not work during the reference week but had jobs or businesses from which they were temporarily absent due to illness, bad weather, industrial dispute, vacation, or other personal reasons.

Unemployed - Civilians 16 years old and over who were neither "at work" nor "with a job, but not at work" and who were: a) looking for work during the last 4 weeks, and b) available to accept a job.

Language Usage and Ability to Speak English - Persons 5 years old and over are classified by whether they speak a language other than English at home, and, if so, by how well they speak English.

Speak only English at Home - Persons who always speak English at home. Includes persons who may speak a language other than English at school or elsewhere, but not at home, and persons whose usage of another language at home is limited to a few expressions or slang.

Speak a Language other than English at Home - Persons who speak a language other than English at home, even if English is spoken more frequently than the other language. They are further classified by level of English language.

Speak English Very Well - Persons who have no difficulty speaking English.

Speak English Well - Persons who have only minor problems which do not seriously limit their ability to speak English.

Speak English not Well - Persons who are seriously limited in their ability to speak English.

Speak English not at all - do not speak English at all.

Native Population - (American-born) Persons born in the United States, Puerto Rico, U.S. Virgin Islands, Guam, or other outlying area of the United States; or at sea or in a foreign country if they have at least one American parent.

Foreign-born Population - All persons not classified as native.

Occupation - The kind of work the person was doing at a job or business during the reference week or, if not at work, at the most recent job or business if employed since 1975. Persons working at more than one job were instructed to describe the one at which the person worked the most hours during the reference week.

Poverty Status in 1979 - Families and unrelated individuals are classified as above or below the poverty level by comparing their total 1979 income to an income cutoff or "poverty threshold." The income cutoffs vary by family size number of children, and age of the family householder or unrelated individual.

Owner-occupied - A housing unit is "owner occupied" if the owner or co-owner lives in the unit even if the unit is mortgaged or not fully paid for.

Renter-occupied - All occupied housing units which are not owner-occupied, regardless of whether cash rent is paid by a member of the household.

Vaue For - Owner-occupied housing units, the respondent's estimate of the current dollar worth of the property.

APPENDIX D

DETAILED CROSS-TABULATIONS - SELECTED TABLES

TABLE 8a: Ability to Speak English
by Place of Birth
Los Angeles County, 1980

J A P A N E S E

English	Birthplace: Native Born	Foreign Born	Total
English only	53,100 65%	3,720 11%	56,820 48%
Very Well	15,600 19%	7,320 21%	22,920 20%
Well	6,800 8%	11,540 33%	18,340 16%
Not Well	2,880 4%	10,040 29%	12,920 11%
Not at All	200 #	1,960 5%	2,160 2%
Under 3 years	3,360 4%	500 1%	3,860 3%
TOTAL	81,940 100%	35,080 100%	117,020 100%

Less than 1%

TABLE 8b: Ability to Speak English
by Place of Birth,
Los Angeles County, 1980

C H I N E S E

	Birthplace		
English	Native Born	Foreign Born	Total
English only	10,840 41%	2,420 4%	13,260 14%
Very Well	8,060 30%	19,760 29%	27,820 30%
Well	2,500 9%	21,120 31%	23,620 25%
Not Well	1,300 5%	16,460 24%	17,760 19%
Not at All	200 1%	7,360 11%	7,560 8%
Under 3 years	3,680 14%	500 1%	4,180 4%
TOTAL	26,580 100%	67,620 100%	94,200 100%

TABLE 8c: Ability to Speak English
by Place of Birth
Los Angeles County, 1980

F I L I P I N O

English	Birthplace: Native Born	Foreign Born	Total
English only	16,380 62%	8,000 11%	24,380 24%
Very Well	3,100 12%	39,800 54%	42,900 43%
Well	1,300 5%	20,700 28%	22,000 22%
Not Well	240 1%	4,540 6%	4,780 5%
Not at All	0 -	520 1%	520 1%
Under 3 years	5,180 20%	280 #	5,460 5%
TOTAL	26,200 100%	73,840 100%	100,040 100%

Less than 1%

TABLE 8d: Ability to Speak English
by Place of Birth,
Los Angeles County, 1980

K O R E A N

	Birthplace		
English	Native Born	Foreign Born	Total
English only	3,080 35%	2,480 4%	5,560 9%
Very Well	1,300 15%	13,100 24%	14,400 22%
Well	1,020 12%	18,600 33%	19,620 30%
Not Well	700 8%	16,940 30%	17,640 27%
Not at All	100 1%	4,180 8%	4,280 7%
Under 3 years	2,480 29%	520 1%	3,000 5%
TOTAL	8,680 100%	55,820 100%	64,500 100%

TABLE 8e: Ability to Speak English
by Place of Birth,
Los Angeles County, 1980

ASIAN INDIAN

English	Birthplace: Native Born	Birthplace: Foreign Born	Total
English only	2,240 54%	2,620 19%	4,860 27%
Very Well	700 17%	6,920 51%	7,620 43%
Well	260 6%	2,640 19%	2,900 16%
Not Well	100 2%	900 7%	1,000 6%
Not at All	20 1%	280 2%	300 2%
Under 3 years	820 20%	220 2%	1,040 6%
TOTAL	4,140 100%	13,580 100%	17,720 100%

TABLE 8f: Ability to Speak English
by Place of Birth,
Los Angeles County, 1980

V I E T N A M E S E

English	Birthplace: Native Born	Foreign Born	Total
English only	320	700	1,020
	18%	3%	4%
Very Well	120	3,660	3,780
	7%	15%	14%
Well	120	7,820	7,940
	7%	32%	30%
Not Well	40	7,940	7,980
	2%	33%	31%
Not at All	180	3,660	3,840
	10%	15%	15%
Under 3 years	980	500	1,480
	56%	2%	6%
TOTAL	1,760	24,280	26,040
	100%	100%	100%

TABLE 8g: Ability to Speak English
by Place of Birth,
Los Angeles County, 1980

H A W A I I A N

B I R T H P L A C E

English	Native Born	Foreign Born	Total
English only	4,900	100	5,000
	82%	42%	80%
Very Well	640	100	740
	11%	42%	12%
Well	140	40	180
	2%	16%	3%
Not Well	40	0	40
	1%		1%
Not at All	0	0	0
Under 3 years	260	0	260
	4%		4%
TOTAL	5,980	240	6,220
	100%	100%	100%

TABLE 8h: Ability to Speak English
by Place of Birth,
Los Angeles County, 1980

OTHER ASIAN

English	Birthplace: Native Born	Birthplace: Foreign Born	Total
English only	2,980	1,580	4,560
	29%	8%	15%
Very Well	3,500	6,420	9,920
	34%	31%	32%
Well	1,080	7,440	8,520
	11%	36%	27%
Not Well	620	3,920	4,540
	6%	19%	15%
Not at All	220	1,140	1,360
	2%	5%	4%
Under 3 years	1,840	300	2,140
	18%	1%	7%
TOTAL	10,240	20,800	31,040
	100%	100%	100%

TABLE 8i: Ability to Speak English
by Place of Birth,
Los Angeles County, 1980

H I S P A N I C

	Birthplace		
English	Native Born	Foreign Born	Total
English only	358,660 33%	25,120 3%	383,780 19%
Very Well	377,400 34%	208,340 22%	585,740 28%
Well	132,600 12%	238,00 25%	370,600 18%
Not Well	62,160 6%	278,800 29%	340,960 17%
Not at All	25,140 2%	190,920 20%	216,060 10%
Under 3 years	144,900 13%	11,700 1%	156,600 8%
TOTAL	1,100,860 100%	952,880 100%	2,053,740 100%

TABLE 16a: Occupation by School Completed,
Employed Persons, Age 25+, Los Angeles County, 1980

J A P A N E S E

Years of School Completed

Occupation	0-8 Yrs.	9-11 Yrs.	12 Yrs.	13-15 Yrs	16 Yrs.	17 + Yrs.	Total
Manager, Professional and Specialty	220 1%	200 1%	2,240 11%	4,740 24%	5,860 30%	6,520 33%	19,780
Technical, Sales, and Administrative Support	440 2%	900 4%	7,800 36%	7,540 34%	3,480 16%	1,680 8%	21,840
Service	380 7%	680 12%	2,540 45%	1,320 23%	500 9%	240 4%	5,660
Farming, Fishing and Forestry	640 15%	820 19%	1,940 45%	660 15%	200 5%	60 1%	4,320
Precision Production, Craft and Repair	220 4%	360 6%	2,840 51%	1,660 30%	380 7%	140 2%	5,600
Operators, Fabricators and Laborers	660 10%	1,080 16%	3,140 46%	1,440 21%	240 4%	200 3%	6,760
Total	2,560 4%	4,040 6%	20,500 32%	17,360 27%	10,660 17%	8,840 14%	63,960

180

TABLE 16b: Occupation by School Completed,
Employed Persons Age 25+, Los Angeles County, 1980

C H I N E S E

Occupation	Years of School Completed						
	0-8 Yrs.	9-11 Yrs.	12 Yrs.	13-15 Yrs.	16 Yrs.	17 + Yrs.	Total
Manager, Professional and Specialty	500 3%	320 2%	1,100 7%	2,760 17%	4,260 27%	7,000 44%	15,940
Technical, Sales, and Administrative Support	520 4%	600 4%	1,900 14%	4,420 33%	3,600 27%	2,380 18%	13,420
Service	2,540 40%	560 9%	1,460 23%	920 14%	660 10%	260 4%	6,400
Farming, Fishing and Forestry	240 63%	20 5%	40 11%	40 11%	20 5%	20 5%	380
Precision Production, Craft and Repair	620 22%	280 10%	580 21%	820 30%	320 12%	140 5%	2,760
Operators, Fabricators and Laborers	2,500 44%	680 12%	1,200 21%	900 16%	240 4%	180 3%	5,700
Total	6,920 16%	2,460 6%	6,280 14%	9,860 22%	9,100 20%	9,980 22%	44,600

TABLE 16c: Occupation by School Complete
Employed Persons Age 25+, Los Angeles County, 1980

F I L I P I N O

Occupation	Years of School Completed						
	0-8 Yrs.	9-11 Yrs.	12 Yrs.	13-15 Yrs.	16 Yrs.	17 + Yrs.	Total
Manager, Professional and Specialty	100 1%	140 1%	460 3%	2,280 16%	5,140 37%	5,920 42%	14,040
Technical, Sales, and Administrative Support	280 1%	500 3%	1,960 10%	5,720 29%	7,200 37%	3,780 20%	19,440
Service	940 17%	440 8%	1,120 21%	1,280 24%	900 17%	720 13%	5,400
Farming, Fishing and Forestry	120 30%	60 15%	40 10%	80 20%	40 10%	60 15%	400
Precision Production, Craft and Repair	500 11%	480 10%	740 16%	1,480 31%	860 18%	660 14%	4,720
Operators, Fabricators and Laborers	760 14%	840 15%	1,240 22%	1,520 27%	880 16%	360 6%	5,600
Total	2,700 6%	2,460 5%	5,560 11%	12,360 25%	15,020 30%	11,500 23%	49,600

TABLE 16d: Occupation by School Completed
Employed Persons Age 25+, Los Angeles County, 1980

K O R E A N

Occupation	Years of School Completed						
	0-8 Yrs.	9-11 Yrs.	12 Yrs.	13-15 Yrs.	16 Yrs.	17 + Yrs.	Total
Manager, Professional and Specialty	100 2%	200 3%	720 10%	1,600 23%	2,720 39%	1,620 23%	6,960
Technical, Sales, and Administrative Support	320 4%	240 3%	1,580 19%	1,860 22%	3,060 37%	1,200 15%	8,260
Service	340 12%	260 9%	960 33%	620 21%	620 21%	120 4%	2,920
Farming, Fishing and Forestry	40 11%	20 6%	100 28%	40 11%	160 44%	0 -	360
Precision Production, Craft and Repair	240 6%	380 10%	1,120 30%	760 21%	1,100 30%	100 3%	3,700
Operators, Fabricators and Laborers	960 15%	660 10%	2,180 34%	1,200 19%	1,120 18%	280 4%	6,400
Total	2,000 7%	1,760 6%	6,660 23%	6,080 21%	8,780 31%	3,320 12%	28,600

TABLE 16e: Occupation by School Completed
Employed Persons Age 25+, Los Angeles County, 1980

ASIAN INDIAN

Occupation	Years of School Completed						
	0-8 Yrs.	9-11 Yrs.	12 Yrs.	13-15 Yrs.	16 Yrs.	17 + Yrs.	Total
Manager, Professional and Specialty	140 4%	60 2%	160 4%	420 11%	660 18%	2,280 61%	3,720
Technical, Sales, and Administrative Support	80% 3%	160 7%	420 17%	680 28%	320 13%	800 32%	2,460
Service	120 17%	80 11%	280 40%	120 17%	40 6%	60 9%	700
Farming, Fishing and Forestry	0 -	20 33%	0 -	40 67%	0 -	0 -	60
Precision Production, Craft and Repair	20 5%	60 16%	60 16%	100 26%	40 11%	100 26%	380
Operators, Fabricators and Laborers	200 29%	80 12%	80 12%	120 18%	60 9%	140 20%	680
Total	560 7%	460 6%	1,000 12%	1,480 19%	1,120 14%	3,380 42%	8,000

TABLE 16f: Occupation by School Completed
Employed Persons Age 25+, Los Angeles County, 1980

V I E T N A M E S E

Occupation	Years of School Completed						
	0-8 Yrs.	9-11 Yrs.	12 Yrs.	13-15 Yrs.	16 Yrs.	17 + Yrs.	Total
Manager, Professional and Specialty	40	40	120	260	300	480	1,240
	3%	3%	10%	21%	24%	39%	
Technical, Sales, and Administrative Support	100	260	340	980	140	260	2,080
	5%	12%	16%	47%	8%	12%	
Service	140	100	180	240	0	40	700
	20%	14%	26%	34%	-	6%	
Farming, Fishing and Forestry	40	0	40	40	0	0	120
	33%	-	33%	33%	-	-	
Precision Production, Craft and Repair	180	120	360	400	60	20	1,140
	16%	10%	32%	35%	5%	2%	
Operators, Fabricators and Laborers	400	340	460	640	120	20	1,980
	20%	17%	23%	33%	6%	1%	
Total	900	860	1,500	2,560	620	820	7,260
	12%	12%	21%	35%	9%	11%	

TABLE 16g: Occupation by School Completed
Employed Persons Age 25+, Los Angeles County, 1980

H A W A I I A N

Occupation	Years of School Completed						
	0-8 Yrs.	9-11 Yrs.	12 Yrs.	13-15 Yrs.	16 Yrs.	17 + Yrs.	Total
Manager, Professional and Specialty	20 3%	80 13%	60 10%	220 37%	60 10%	160 27%	600
Technical, Sales, and Administrative Support	20 2%	80 10%	180 22%	440 52%	60 7%	60 7%	840
Service	40 11%	80 21%	100 26%	120 31%	40 11%	0 -	380
Farming, Fishing and Forestry	20 50%	0 -	20 50%	0 -	0 -	0 -	40
Precision Production, Craft and Repair	0 -	100 23%	200 45%	140 32%	0 -	0 -	440
Operators, Fabricators and Laborers	40 11%	60 17%	180 50%	60 17%	0 -	20 5%	360
Total	140 5%	400 15%	740 28%	980 37%	160 6%	240 9%	2,660

TABLE 16h: Occupation by School Completed
Employed Persons Age 25+, Los Angeles County, 1980

OTHER ASIAN

Occupation	Years of School Completed						
	0-8 Yrs.	9-11 Yrs.	12 Yrs.	13-15 Yrs.	16 Yrs.	17 + Yrs.	Total
Manager, Professional and Specialty	40 2%	60 2%	340 13%	680 26%	700 27%	760 30%	2,580
Technical, Sales, and Administrative Support	40 1%	160 5%	1,140 33%	1,040 30%	680 20%	400 11%	3,460
Service	420 22%	220 12%	640 33%	320 17%	160 8%	160 8%	1,920
Farming, Fishing and Forestry	60 38%	20 12%	40 26%	20 12%	0 -	20 12%	160
Precision Production, Craft and Repair	200 12%	200 12%	460 27%	560 33%	240 14%	40 2%	1,700
Operators, Fabricators and Laborers	540 20%	440 16%	1,000 37%	520 19%	180 7%	40 1%	2,720
Total	1,300 10%	1,100 9%	3,620 29%	3,140 25%	1,960 16%	1,420 11%	12,540

TABLE 16i: Occupation by School Completed
Employed Persons Age 25+, Los Angeles County, 1980

W H I T E

Occupation	Years of School Completed						
	0-8 Yrs.	9-11 Yrs.	12 Yrs.	13-15 Yrs.	16 Yrs.	17 + Yrs.	Total
Manager, Professional and Specialty	9,700 2%	23,640 4%	103,720 15%	179,700 26%	138,940 20%	226,120 33%	681,820
Technical, Sales, and Administrative Support	19,720 3%	55,420 8%	251,880 36%	250,980 35%	79,280 11%	49,360 7%	706,640
Service	16,900 10%	29,000 17%	60,300 35%	49,020 28%	11,300 6%	7,080 4%	173,600
Farming, Fishing and Forestry	2,040 16%	1,720 13%	4,020 31%	3,560 27%	840 7%	780 6%	12,960
Precision Production, Craft and Repair	20,860 9%	37,420 16%	91,060 39%	64,780 28%	11,760 5%	7,760 3%	233,640
Operators, Fabricators and Laborers	24,720 12%	42,620 21%	79,560 40%	41,320 21%	7,300 4%	4,120 2	199,640
Total	93,940 5%	189,820 10%	590,540 29%	589,360 29%	249,420 12%	295,220 15%	2,008,300

188

TABLE 16j: Occupation by School Completed
Employed Persons Age 25+, Los Angeles County, 1980

B L A C K

Occupation	Years of School Completed						
	0-8 Yrs.	9-11 Yrs.	12 Yrs.	13-15 Yrs.	16 Yrs.	17 + Yrs.	Total
Manager, Professional and Specialty	1,520 2%	3,400 5%	9,360 14%	23,560 35%	10,980 17%	18,140 27%	66,960
Technical, Sales, and Administrative Support	2,740 2%	9,160 8%	35,960 31%	54,580 48%	8,400 7%	4,100 4%	114,940
Service	10,500 14%	17,920 24%	24,540 34%	17,200 23%	2,020 3%	1,160 2%	73,340
Farming, Fishing and Forestry	740 21%	880 26%	920 27%	720 21%	140 4%	40 1%	3,440
Precision Production, Craft and Repair	3,220 9%	7,140 20%	12,580 35%	10,940 31%	1,080 3%	900 2%	35,860
Operators, Fabricators and Laborers	8,100 11%	16,780 23%	26,840 38%	17,600 25%	1,560 2%	560 1%	71,440
Total	26,820 7%	55,280 15%	110,200 30%	124,600 34%	24,180 7%	24,900 7%	365,980

TABLE 16k: Occupation by School Completed
Employed Persons Age 25+, Los Angeles County, 1980

AMERICAN INDIAN

Occupation	Years of School Completed						
	0-8 Yrs.	9-11 Yrs.	12 Yrs.	13-15 Yrs.	16 Yrs.	17 + Yrs.	Total
Manager, Professional and Specialty	80%	380	600	1,820	660	920	4,460
	2%	8%	13%	41%	15%	21%	
Technical, Sales, and Administrative Support	260	700	2,220	2,400	480	220	6,280
	4%	11%	35%	38%	8%	4%	
Service	560	880	880	940	160	80	3,500
	16%	25%	25%	27%	5%	2%	
Farming, Fishing and Forestry	80	80	120	60	0	0	340
	24%	24%	35%	17%	-	-	
Precision Production, Craft and Repair	480	640	980	960	80	100	3,240
	15%	20%	30%	30%	2%	3%	
Operators, Fabricators and Laborers	860	1,020	1,180	740	60	80	3,940
	22%	26%	30%	19%	1%	2%	
Total	2,320	3,700	5,980	6,920	1,440	1,400	21,760
	11%	17%	27%	32%	7%	6%	

190

TABLE 161: Occupation by School Completed
Employed Persons Age 25+, Los Angeles County, 1980

OTHER

Occupation	Years of School Completed						
	0-8 Yrs.	9-11 Yrs.	12 Yrs.	13-15 Yrs.	16 Yrs.	17 + Yrs.	Total
Manager, Professional and Specialty	60 5%	20 1%	140 11%	300 23%	240 18%	540 42%	1,300
Technical, Sales, and Administrative Support	20% 1%	180 8%	680 31%	800 36%	260 12%	260 12%	2,200
Service	120 15%	140 17%	160 20%	220 28%	80 10%	80 10%	800
Farming, Fishing and Forestry	40 50%	20 25%	0 -	20 25%	0 -	0 -	80
Precision Production, Craft and Repair	80 8%	100 10%	340 36%	260 27%	100 11%	80 8%	960
Operators, Fabricators and Laborers	180 21%	40 5%	360 3%	180 21%	40 5%	40 5%	840
Total	500 8%	500 8%	1,680 27%	1,780 29%	720 12%	1,000 16%	6,180

191

TABLE 16m: Occupation by School Completed
Employed Persons Age 25+, Los Angeles County, 1980

H I S P A N I C

Occupation	Years of School Completed						
	0-8 Yrs.	9-11 Yrs.	12 Yrs.	13-15 Yrs.	16 Yrs.	17 + Yrs.	Total
Manager, Professional and Specialty	7,660 11%	6,300 9%	13,180 18%	20,440 29%	8,380 12%	14,740 21%	70,700
Technical, Sales, and Administrative Support	19,000 14%	19,080 14%	46,800 34%	42,840 31%	5,660 4%	4,560 3%	137,940
Service	53,680 49%	19,020 17%	19,700 18%	13,760 12%	1,700 2%	2,040 2%	109,900
Farming, Fishing and Forestry	9,900 64%	2,140 14%	1,980 13%	1,000 6%	140 1%	240 2%	15,400
Precision Production, Craft and Repair	45,540 40%	21,380 19%	26,940 24%	17,080 15%	1,720 1%	1,520 1%	114,180
Operators, Fabricators and Laborers	141,260 54%	50,480 19%	45,500 18%	19,060 7%	1,980 1%	2,120 1%	260,400
Total	277,040 39%	118,400 17%	154,100 22%	114,180 16%	19,580 3%	25,220 3%	708,520

TABLE 19a: Household Income, Employed Persons 16 Years and Over by Occupation, Los Angeles County, 1980

J A P A N E S E

Occupation

Income	Managerial Professional Specialty	Technical Sales and Administrative	Services	Farming Forestry Fishing	Precision Production Craft and Repair	Operators Laborers Fabricators	Total Employed
<$10,000	1,920	2,440	1,820	580	380	1,020	8,160
	9%	8%	25%	13%	6%	11%	10%
$10,000-19,999	3,480	6,000	1,880	1,420	1,120	2,100	16,000
	16%	20%	25%	31%	18%	22%	20%
$20,000-24,999	2,360	3,720	720	700	1,100	1,140	9,740
	11%	13%	10%	15%	17%	12%	13%
$25,000-34,999	4,360	6,280	1,360	820	1,680	1,840	16,340
	20%	21%	18%	18%	27%	20%	21%
$35,000-49,999	5,740	7,000	1,080	760	1,540	2,180	18,300
	26%	24%	15%	17%	24%	23%	23%
$50,000 +	4,020	3,920	540	260	500	1,080	10,320
	18%	13%	7%	6%	8%	12%	13%
Total	21,880	29,360	7,400	4,540	6,320	9,360	78,860
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

TABLE 19b: Household Income, Employed Persons 16 Years and Over, by Occupation, Los Angeles County, 1980

C H I N E S E

Income	Managerial Professional Specialty	Technical Sales and Administrative Support	Service	Farming Forestry Fishing	Precision Production Craft and Repair	Operators Laborers Fabricators	Total Employed
	Occupation						
<$10,000	2,080	3,640	2,600	200	460	1,520	10,500
	12%	19%	31%	43%	14%	22%	19%
$10,000-19,999	3,020	3,940	1,900	80	580	1,820	11,340
	17%	21%	23%	17%	19%	27%	20%
$20,000-24,999	2,160	2,220	1,060	40	460	740	6,680
	12%	12%	13%	9%	14%	11%	12%
$25,000-34,999	3,700	3,940	1,380	60	780	1,600	11,460
	21%	21%	16%	13%	25%	24%	21%
$35,000-49,999	3,700	3,300	840	40	480	780	9,140
	21%	18%	10%	9%	15%	11%	17%
$50,000 +	3,060	1,620	580	40	420	360	6,080
	17%	9%	7%	9%	13%	5%	11%
Total	17,720	18,660	8,360	460	3,180	6,820	55,200
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

TABLE 19c: Household Income, Employed Persons 16 Years and Over by Occupation, Los Angeles County, 1980

F I L I P I N O

Income	Occupation: Managerial Professional Specialty	Technical Sales and Administrative Support	Services	Farming Forestry Fishing	Precision Production Craft and Repair	Operators Laborers Fabricators	Total Employed
<$10,000	660 4%	1,660 7%	1,020 14%	[illegible] 9%	380 7%	900 13%	4,660 8%
$10,000-19,999	2,100 14%	5,340 22%	1,950 26%	160 36%	940 18%	1,420 21%	11,860 20%
$20,000-24,999	1,640 11%	3,800 15%	900 13%	40 9%	840 16%	760 11%	7,980 13%
$25,000-34,999	3,800 26%	6,280 25%	1,500 21%	20 5%	1,160 22%	1,680 25%	14,440 24%
$35,000-49,999	4,200 28%	5,080 20%	1,400 19%	120 27%	1,280 24%	1,340 20%	13,420 23%
$50,000 +	2,400 16%	2,720 11%	520 7%	60 14%	680 13%	680 10%	7,060 12%
Total	14,800 100%	24,880 100%	7,240 100%	440 100%	5,280 100%	6,780 100%	59,420 100%

TABLE 19d: Household Income, Employed Persons 16 Years and Over by Occupation, Los Angeles County, 1980

K O R E A N

Income	Occupation: Managerial Professional Specialty	Technical Sales and Administrative Support	Services	Farming Forestry Fishing	Precision Production Craft and Repair	Operators Laborers Fabricators	Total Employed
<$10,000	1,260	2,220	1,200	140	940	1,780	7,540
	17%	20%	32%	32%	24%	24%	22%
$10,000-19,999	1,520	2,640	1,120	80	1,080	2,100	8,540
	20%	24%	30%	18%	27%	29%	25%
$20,000-24,999	1,000	1,560	360	40	660	960	4,580
	13%	14%	10%	9%	16%	13%	14%
$25,000-34,999	1,680	2,180	620	140	780	1,380	6,780
	23%	20%	16%	32%	20%	19%	20%
$35,000-49,999	1,100	1,440	220	0	240	700	3700
	15%	13%	6%	-	6%	10%	11%
$50,000 +	860	1,000	220	40	300	380	2,800
	12%	9%	6%	9%	7%	5%	8%
Total	7,420	11,040	3,740	440	4,000	7,300	33,940
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

196

TABLE 19e: Household Income, Employed Persons 16 Years and Over by Occupation, Los Angeles County, 1980

ASIAN INDIAN

Income	Occupation: Managerial Professional Specialty	Technical Sales and Administrative Support	Serivces	Farming Forestry Fishing	Precision Production, Craft and Repair	Operators Laborers Fabricators	Total Employed
<$10,000	340	560	260	40	120	160	1,480
	9%	17%	31%	40%	20%	18%	15%
$10,000-19,999	820	900	200	20	160	400	2,500
	20%	28%	24%	20%	27%	45%	26%
$20,000-24,999	500	560	120	40	60	80	1,360
	12%	17%	14%	40%	10%	9%	14%
$25,000-34,999	760	520	140	0	40	20	1,480
	19%	17%	17%	-	7%	2%	15%
$35,000-49,999	860	560	60	0	140	180	1,800
	21%	17%	7%	-	23%	21%	19%
$50,000 +	760	120	60	0	80	40	1,060
	19%	4%	7%	-	13%	5%	11%
Total	4,040	3,220	840	100	600	880	9,680
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

TABLE 19f: Household Income, Employed Persons 16 Years and Over by Occupation, Los Angeles County, 1980

V I E T N A M E S E

Income	Occupation: Managerial Professional Specialty	Technical Sales and Administrative Support	Services	Farming Forestry Fishing	Precision Production Craft and Repair	Operators Laborers Fabricators	Total Employed
<$10,000	360	860	400	80	500	840	3,040
	25%	29%	44%	66%	37%	32%	33%
$10,000-19,999	420	700	260	20	380	540	2,320
	30%	24%	29%	17%	28%	21%	25%
$20,000-24,999	100	460	140	20	160	380	1,260
	7%	16%	16%	17%	12%	15%	13%
$25,000-34,999	200	640	60	0	140	540	1,580
	14%	22%	7%	-	10%	21%	17%
$35,000-49,999	140	220	20	0	140	260	780
	10%	8%	2%	-	10%	10%	8%
$50,000 +	200	40	20	0	40	40	340
	14%	1%	2%	-	3%	1%	4%
Total	1,420	2,920	900	120	1,360	2,600	9,320
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

198

TABLE 19g: Household Income, Employed Persons 16 Years and Over by Occupation, Los Angeles County, 1980

H A W A I I A N

Income	Occupation: Managerial Professional Specialty	Technical Sales and Administrative Support	Services	Farming Forestry Fishing	Precision Production, Carft and Repair	Operators Laborers Fabricators	Total Employed
<$10,000	40	200	140	40	40	240	700
	6%	19%	25%	50%	7%	36%	19%
$10,000-19,999	200	260	180	20	120	140	920
	28%	24%	32%	25%	21%	20%	25%
$20,000-24,999	160	100	60	0	100	100	520
	23%	9%	11%	-	18%	15%	14%
$25,000-34,999	160	260	120	20	180	140	880
	23%	24%	21%	25%	32%	20%	24%
$35,000-49,999	120	240	40	0	60	60	520
	17%	22%	7%	-	11%	9%	14%
$50,000 +	20	20	20	0	60	0	120
	3%	2%	4%	-	11%	-	4%
Total	700	1080	560	80	560	680	3,660
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

199

TABLE 19h: Household Income, Employed Persons 16 Years and Over by Occupation, Los Angeles County, 1980

OTHER ASIANS

Income	Occupation: Managerial Professional Specialty	Technical Sales and Administrative Support	Services	Farming Forestry Fishing	Precision Production, Carft and Repair	Operators Laborers Fabricators	Total Employed
<$10,000	300	880	740	80	220	760	2,980
	11%	18%	29%	37%	11%	22%	19%
$10,000-19,999	660	1,500	960	40	620	940	4,720
	24%	30%	37%	18%	32%	28%	29%
$20,000-24,999	440	540	180	0	340	440	1,940
	16%	11%	7%	-	17%	13%	12%
$25,000-34,999	740	1,100	320	20	380	800	3,360
	26%	22%	12%	9%	20%	24%	21%
$35,000-49,999	320	640	220	20	340	340	1,880
	11%	13%	9%	9%	17%	10%	12%
$50,000 +	340	340	160	60	60	120	1,080
	12%	6%	6%	27%	3%	3%	7%
Total	2,800	5,000	2,580	220	1,960	3,400	15,960
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

TABLE 19i: Household Income, Employed Persons 16 Years and Over by Occupation Held, Los Angeles County, 1980

WHITE (NON-HISPANIC)

Income	Occupation: Managerial Professional Specialty	Technical Sales and Administrative Support	Services	Farming Forestry Fishing	Precision Production, Craft and Repair	Operators Laborers Fabricators	Total Employed
<$10,000	67,500 9%	125,760 14%	61,960 24%	5,000 26%	33,660 12%	44,560 15%	338,440 13%
$10,000-19,999	130,040 17%	226,920 25%	64,880 25%	5,180 27%	68,100 24%	74,920 26%	570,040 23%
$20,000-24,999	83,140 11%	115,180 12%	30,280 11%	2,300 12%	43,000 15%	39,100 14%	313,000 12%
$25,000-34,999	153,280 21%	189,980 20%	49,400 19%	2,660 14%	67,980 24%	63,900 22%	527,200 21%
$35,000-49,999	157,300 21%	156,640 17%	34,880 13%	2,540 13%	48,120 17%	42,640 15%	442,120 18%
$50,000 +	153,820 21%	107,300 12%	21,600 8%	1,620 8%	23,120 8%	22,300 8%	329,760 13%
Total	745,080 100%	921,780 100%	263,000 100%	19,300 100%	283,980 100%	287,420 100%	2,520,560 100%

TABLE 19j: Household Income, Employed Persons 16 Years and Over by Occupation, Los Angeles County 1980

B L A C K

Income	Occupation: Managerial Professional Specialty	Technical Sales and Administrative Support	Services	Farming Forestry Fishing	Precision Production, Craft and Repair	Operators Laborers Fabricators	Total Employed
<$10,000	11,720 16%	37,740 23%	34,740 36%	2,200 43%	8,840 21%	25,300 27%	120,540 25%
$10,000-19,999	18,860 25%	53,140 32%	28,960 30%	1,380 27%	11,880 28%	28,680 31%	142,900 30%
$20,000-24,999	9,640 13%	20,200 12%	10,280 11%	600 12%	6,260 15%	11,820 13%	58,800 12%
$25,000-34,999	15,020 20%	29,160 18%	12,600 13%	480 9%	8,420 20%	15,280 17%	80,960 17%
$35,000-49,999	13,100 17%	18,580 11%	7,100 7%	320 6%	5,360 13%	8,520 9%	52,980 11%
$50,000 +	6,860 9%	6,940 4%	2,560 3%	140 3%	1,440 3%	3,080 3%	21,020 5%
Total	75,200 100%	165,760 100%	96,240 100%	5,120 100%	42,200 100%	92,680 100%	477,200 100%

TABLE 19k: Household Income, Employed Persons 16 Years and Over by Occupation, Los Angelesss County, 1980

AMERICAN INDIAN

Income	Occupation: Managerial Professional Specialty	Technical Sales and Administrative Support	Services	Farming Forestry Fishing	Precision Production, Craft and Repair	Operators Laborers Fabricators	Total Employed
<$10,000	680 14%	1,580 18%	1,320 28%	200 40%	640 16%	1,500 27%	5,920 21%
$10,000-19,999	1,060 21%	2,500 28%	1,340 28%	120 24%	1,140 29%	1,500 27%	7,660 27%
$20,000-24,999	560 11%	1,140 13%	500 11%	60 12%	500 12%	760 14%	3,520 12%
$25,000-34,999	1,160 24%	1,860 21%	740 16%	80 16%	940 24%	1,120 21%	5,900 21%
$35,000-49,999	980 20%	1,340 15%	600 13%	40 8%	580 15%	440 8%	3,980 14%
$50,000 +	500 10%	500 5%	180 4%	0 -	160 4%	160 3%	1,500 5%
Total	4,940 100%	8,920 100%	4,680 100%	500 100%	3,960 100%	5,480 100%	28,480 100%

TABLE 191: Household Income, employed Persons 16 years and Over by Occupation, Los Angeles County, 1980

OTHER

Occupation

Income	Managerial Professional Specialty	Technical Sales and Administrative Support	Services	Farming Forestry Fishing	Precision Production Craft and Repair	Operators Laborers Fabricators	Total Employed
<$10,000	180	760	460	40	240	440	2,120
	11%	23%	40%	40%	21%	37%	25%
$10,000-19,999	400	760	280	40	260	260	2,000
	25%	23%	24%	40%	23%	22%	24%
$20,000-24,999	160	380	220	0	140	80	980
	10%	12%	19%	-	12%	6%	12%
$25,000-34,999	340	660	80	20	300	240	1,640
	21%	20%	7%	20%	27%	20%	19%
35,000-49,999	260	420	80	0	140	120	1,020
	16%	13%	7%	-	12%	10%	12%
$50,000 +	280	280	40	0	60	60	720
	17%	9%	3%	-	5%	5%	8%
Total	1,620	3,260	1,160	100	1,140	1,200	8,480
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

TABLE 19m: Household Income, Employed Persons 16 Years and Over by Occupation, Los Angeles County, 1980

H I S P A N I C

Income	Occupation: Managerial Professional Specialty	Technical Sales and Administrative Support	Services	Farming Forestry Fishing	Precision Production, Craft and Repair	Operators Laborers Fabricators	Total Employed
<$10,000	11,180 13%	37,880 17%	44,420 27%	6,880 31%	26,620 18%	84,100 23%	211,080 21%
$10,000-19,999	21,540 25%	68,800 30%	56,640 35%	7,280 33%	49,000 33%	135,240 37%	338,500 34%
$20,000-24,999	11,440 14%	33,560 15%	20,360 13%	2,340 11%	22,940 16%	53,480 14%	144,120 14%
$25,000-34,999	19,520 23%	47,980 21%	22,560 14%	2,980 14%	30,320 20%	59,620 16%	182,980 18%
$35,000-49,999	13,620 16%	27,420 12%	11,100 7%	1,640 8%	13,380 9%	26,440 7%	93,600 9%
$50,000 +	7,700 9%	11,900 5%	6,440 4%	720 3%	5,440 4%	9,580 3%	41,780 4%
Total	85,000 100%	227,540 100%	161,520 100%	21,840 100%	147,700 100%	368,460 100%	1,012,060 100%

TABLE 20a: Household Income by Year of Immigration
Los Angeles County, 1980 (In Percent)

J A P A N E S E

Household Income	Year of Immigration				
	1975-1980	1970-1974	1965-1969	1960-1964	Before 1960
<$10,000	29	16	16	10	29
$10,000-19,999	24	24	21	25	21
$20,000-24,999	10	17	12	17	12
$25,000 +	37	43	51	48	38

206

TABLE 20b: Household Income by Year of Immigration
Los Angeles County, 1980 (In Percent)

C H I N E S E

Household Income	Year of Immigration				
	1975-1980	1970-1974	1965-1969	1960-1964	Before 1960
<$10,000	40	18	15	16	18
$10,000-19,999	21	25	22	17	12
$20,000-24,999	10	14	10	8	14
$25,000 +	29	43	53	59	56

TABLE 20c: Household Income by Year of Immigration
Los Angeles County, 1980 (In Percent)

F I L I P I N O

Household Income	Year of Immigration				
	1975-1980	1970-1974	1965-1969	1960-1964	Before 1960
<$10,000	10	6	5	15	19
$10,000-19,999	24	16	14	17	20
$20,000-24,999	13	14	12	15	15
$25,000 +	53	64	69	53	46

208

TABLE 20d: Household Income by Year of Immigration
Los Angeles County, 1980 (In Percent)

KOREANS

Household Income	Year of Immigration				
	1975-1980	1970-1974	1965-1969	1960-1964	Before 1960
<$10,000	32	18	15	11	27
$10,000-19,999	29	22	12	14	24
$20,000-24,999	13	14	15	12	9
$25,000 +	26	46	58	63	40

209

TABLE 20e: Household Income by Year of Immigration
Los Angeles County, 1980 (In Percent)

V I E T N A M E S E

Household Income	Year of Immigration				
	1975-1980	1970-1974	1965-1969	1960-1964	Before 1960
<$10,000	49	23	20	40	67
$10,000-19,999	24	22	20	10	-
$20,000-24,999	10	23	-	-	-
$25,000 +	17	32	60	50	33

TABLE 20f: Household Income by Year of Immigration
Los Angeles County, 1980 (In Percent)

ASIAN INDIAN

Household Income	Year of Immigration				
	1975-1980	1970-1974	1965-1969	1960-1964	Before 1960
<$10,000	25	7	4	5	39
$10,000-19,999	31	23	17	16	19
$20,000-24,999	14	12	16	5	-
$25,000 +	30	58	63	74	42

211

TABLE 20g: Household Income by Year of Immigration
Los Angeles County, 1980 (In Percent)

H A W A I I A N S

Household Income	Year of Immigration				
	1975-1980	1970-1974	1965-1969	1960-1964	Before 1960
<$10,000	25	-	100	-	-
$10,000-19,999	50	75	-	100	-
$20,000-24,999	25	25	-	-	-
$25,000 +	-	-	-	-	-

TABLE 20h: Household Income by Year of Immigration
Los Angeles County, 1980 (In Percent)

OTHER ASIAN

Household Income	Year of Immigration				
	1975-1980	1970-1974	1965-1969	1960-1964	Before 1960
<$10,000	37	19	15	13	8
$10,000-19,999	29	21	23	36	40
$20,000-24,999	8	14	14	10	6
$25,000 +	26	46	48	41	46

TABLE 20i: Household Income by Year of Immigration
Los Angeles County, 1980 (In Percent)

W H I T E

Household Income	Year of Immigration				
	1975-1980	1970-1974	1965-1969	1960-1964	Before 1960
<$10,000	36	19	16	17	32
$10,000-19,999	25	26	22	22	22
$20,000-24,999	11	14	12	12	10
$25,000 +	28	41	50	49	36

TABLE 20j: Household Income by Year of Immigration
Los Angeles County, 1980 (In Percent)

B L A C K

Household Income	Year of Immigration				
	1975-1980	1970-1974	1965-1969	1960-1964	Before 1960
<$10,000	52	34	34	34	40
$10,000-19,999	24	34	31	28	28
$20,000-24,999	13	11	9	7	11
$25,000 +	11	21	26	31	21

TABLE 20k: Household Income by Year of Immigration
Los Angeles County, 1980 (In Percent)

AMERICAN INDIAN

Household Income	Year of Immigration				
	1975-1980	1970-1974	1965-1969	1960-1964	Before 1960
<$10,000	33	29	33	33	19
$10,000-19,999	42	34	14	46	28
$20,000-24,999	11	11	24	8	17
$25,000 +	14	26	29	13	36

TABLE 201: Household Income by Year of Immigration
Los Angeles County, 1980 (In Percent)

OTHER

Household Income	Year of Immigration				
	1975-1980	1970-1974	1965-1969	1960-1964	Before 1960
<$10,000	52	25	28	14	24
$10,000-19,999	12	13	22	25	36
$20,000-24,999	13	10	9	14	8
$25,000 +	23	52	41	47	32

TABLE 20m: Household Income by Year of Immigration
Los Angeles County, 1980 (In Percent)

H I S P A N I C

Household Income	Year of Immigration				
	1975-1980	1970-1974	1965-1969	1960-1964	Before 1960
<$10,000	36	27	24	22	29
$10,000-19,999	38	42	36	31	28
$20,000-24,999	10	12	15	15	13
$25,000 +	16	19	25	32	30

TABLE 22: Persons with Wage Income, by Ethnic Group
Los Angeles County, 1980 (in Percent)

Ethnic Group	Income Level <$10,000	$10,000-19,999	$20,000-29,999	$30,000 +
Asian				
Japanese	40	36	18	6
Chinese	53	29	12	6
Filipino	43	41	13	3
Korean	58	29	9	4
Asian Indian	45	27	18	10
Vietnamese	62	31	7	-
Hawaiian	42	42	13	3
Other Asian	51	36	10	3
White (Non-Hispanic)	42	31	17	10
Black	51	36	11	2
American Indian	54	29	13	4
Other	55	32	10	3
Hispanic	62	29	7	2
Total	49	31	13	7

About half of all wage earners (49%) in the County receive less than $10,000 from wages, with 31% receiving $10,000-$19,999, 13% receiving $20,000-$29,000 and 7% receiving $30,000 or more in wages. Among Asians, about 40%-62% receive incomes less than $10,000 from employment.

TABLE 23: Persons with Self-Employment Income, by Ethnic Group
Los Angeles County, 1980 (in percent)

	Income Level				
Ethnic Group	<$5,000	$5,000-9,999	$10,000-19,999	$20,000-29,999	$30,000 +
Asian					
Japanese	33	27	21	9	10
Chinese	37	21	23	9	10
Filipino	45	12	25	8	10
Korean	22	19	30	15	14
Asian Indian	30	16	16	12	26
Vietnamese	64	7	21	-	7
Hawaiian	50	20	20	-	10
Other Asian	35	19	27	3	16
White	38	16	20	10	16
Black	41	20	22	8	9
American Indian	46	19	23	6	6
Other	29	24	21	6	20
Hispanic	35	27	22	8	8
Total	37	18	21	10	14

TABLE 24: Persons with Rent & Dividend Income by Ethnic Group
Los Angeles County, 1980 (in percent)

	Income Level			
Ethnic Group	<$5,000	$5,000-9,999	$10,000-29,999	$30,000
Asian				
Japanese	90	6	4	-
Chinese	89	6	3	1
Filipino	95	3	2	-
Korean	91	5	3	1
Asian Indian	87	8	4	-
Vietnamese	100	-	-	-
Hawaiian	96	4	-	-
Other Asian	91	7	2	-
White	83	9	6	2
Black	90	6	4	-
American Indian	92	5	3	-
Other	91	5	2	2
Hispanic	92	5	3	-
Total	84	9	6	2

TABLE 25: Persons with Other Income, by Ethnic Group
Los Angeles County, 1980 (in percent)

	Income Level			
Ethnic Group	<$5,000	$5,000-9,999	$10,000-19,999	$20,000 +
Asian				
Japanese	85	9	4	2
Chinese	89	8	2	1
Filipino	80	16	3	1
Korean	80	13	5	2
Asian Indian	77	17	3	3
Vietnamese	84	15	1	-
Hawaiian	83	17	-	-
Other Asian	79	18	2	1
White	73	20	6	1
Black	78	18	3	1
American Indian	79	18	3	-
Other	81	12	5	2
Hispanic	80	16	3	1
Total	75	19	5	1

TABLE 37-a Region I

ASIAN AND PACIFIC ISLANDERS, BY ETHNIC GROUP

United Way Regions and Study Areas, Los Angeles County, 1980

** R105 POPULATION: ETHNICITY OF ASIANS AND PACIFIC ISLANDERS CENSUS DATA AS OF 1 APRIL 80 **
** LEVEL U: UNITED WAY SPECIAL AREAS **
** STATE OF CALIFORNIA **
** P=PARTIAL RECORD S=SUPPRESSED BY CENSUS BUREAU ?=VALUE NOT PRECISE SEE ENCLOSED MEMO FOR IMPORTANT NOTES **

	TOTAL POP	ASIANS AND PACIFIC ISLANDERS											
		TOTAL	JAPANESE	CHINESE	FILIPINO	KOREAN	ASIAN INDIAN	VIET-NAMESE	HAWAIIAN	GUAM-ANIAN	SAMOAN	OTHER
REGION I	1511563	57100	11428	8329	13398	11275	3945	3870	1088	187	250	3260
SYLMAR	42264	1148	335	151	325	76	148	40	14	3	0	89
VAN NORMAN LAKES	13874	1014	191	93	135	307	164	0	10	0	0	114
SAN FERNANDO	17731	178	48	9	80	0	6	10	0	0	0	10
PACOIMA	55562	792	218	4	201	82	49	165	11	0	0	19
SUNLAND	32725	1401	288	168	373	315	60	6	44	0	0	147
TUJUNGA	10188	543	119	84	188	110	4	0	5	0	0	33
SUN VALLEY	33536	2615	864	161	766	453	97	30	22	4	11	306
PANORAMA CITY	34420	3945	613	225	1056	323	426	229	35	4	2	308
MISSION HILLS	27966	1180	462	28	408	70	85	77	15	4	0	31
GRANADA HILLS	41510	1784	469	23	203	331	179	64	5	1	1	50
CHATSWORTH-PORTER RANCH	68603	4012	531	155	878	1004	378	201	15	3	0	152
NORTHRIDGE	52026	3514	344	342	199	287	145	31	20	8	0	142
SEPULVEDA	34333	1914	398	146	301	444	37	110	7	0	0	80
CANOGA PARK	61460	5942	639	819	716	590	480	45	58	9	7	180
RESEDA	71690	2613	699	486	447	425	168	225	60	7	7	100
VAN NUYS	111392	4162	840	665	1633	855	329	599	46	15	6	170
NORTH HOLLYWOOD	112721	4183	889	425	1213	880	151	261	137	8	38	181
BURBANK	84555	2245	327	381	509	423	145	246	8	1	17	187
NORTH GLENDALE	83717	3881	470	601	1076	1139	238	153	41	0	7	156
SOUTH GLENDALE	92466	4951	716	647	2111	1085	215	685	31	0	0	460
ENCINO-TARZANA	58720	1128	295	198	92	366	45	19	39	14	0	64
SHERMAN OAKS	52718	1885	322	80	126	69	75	46	4	0	0	143
STUDIO CITY	30447	802	206	32	47	23	28	0	2	1	0	34
WOODLAND HILLS	43772	1110	246	376	108	289	7	3	0	0	0	53
AGOURA-CALABASAS	15429	332	145	0	59	93	0	18	1	0	0	0
WESTLAKE VILLAGE	1881	228	41	6	99	27	0	0	0	0	0	0
ANGELES NATIONAL FORREST	2443	29	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PALMDALE	46015	652	156	100	219	36	29	42	6	0	0	35
SANTA CLARITA	73097	1098	273	59	183	138	134	83	72	0	0	66
LANCASTER	65282	329	218	19	638	39	87	28	0	6	2	90
EAGLE ROCK	20384	2142	225	429	909	220	50	182	80	0	0	47

223

TABLE 37-b Region II

** RIOS POPULATION: ETHNICITY OF ASIANS AND PACIFIC ISLANDERS — CENSUS DATA AS OF 1 APRIL 80 **
** LEVEL VI UNITED WAY SPECIAL AREAS
** STATE OF CALIFORNIA
** P-PARTIAL RECORD S-SUPPRESSED BY CENSUS BUREAU ?=VALUE NOT PRECISE — SEE ENCLOSED MEMO FOR IMPORTANT NOTES **

	TOTAL POP	ASIANS AND PACIFIC ISLANDERS											
		TOTAL	JAPANESE	CHINESE	FILIPINO	KOREAN	ASIAN INDIAN	VIET-NAMESE	HAWAIIAN	GUAM-ANIAN	SAMOAN	OTHER
REGION II	1377623	92112	21476	27883	18196	8990	3909	6204	910	105	337	4102
LA CANADA, FLINTRIDGE	19561	617	133	192	66	81	133	11	0	0	0	1
ALTADENA	42966	1000	443	186	196	49	34	42	9	0	0	1
UPPER PASADENA	84262	4379	1363	908	872	189	145	411	67	0	0	2
LOWER PASADENA	47923	2943	788	929	493	322	208	149	47	0	0	2
SOUTH PASADENA	22681	2601	594	1356	183	142	156	118	14	0	0	3
ALHAMBRA	64791	8263	1185	4471	928	596	7	99	40	0	6	15
SAN MARINO-NOT UNITED WAY	13307	947	400	431	39	14	0	0	0	0	0	0
SAN GABRIEL	28592	2518	526	1015	415	220	19	242	9	0	6	5
TEMPLE CITY	31341	3291	789	804	293	177	279	87	22	1	0	19
ARCADIA	57284	2051	367	791	197	455	18	87	22	0	0	7
SIERRA MADRE	10837	316	89	149	39	57	3	34	2	0	0	0
MONROVIA	36710	890	196	174	191	123	50	73	3	0	0	1
DUARTE	16724	747	85	194	225	69	65	30	0	0	0	0
AZUSA	41291	1329	204	182	252	92	97	89	22	0	0	1
GLENDORA	49631	1100	392	182	269	228	200	89	22	0	0	1
SAN DIMAS	25663	1168	119	291	74	224	60	220	45	0	0	1
LA VERNE	23196	680	65	206	76	58	1	53	0	0	0	0
CLAREMONT	29453	1236	320	386	90	159	32	146	22	0	0	1
POMONA	101082	3049	248	511	622	305	20	835	22	0	0	2
DIAMOND BAR	34911	1663	188	424	179	205	20	307	0	0	0	0
WALNUT	17804	1555	109	401	53	353	18	257	0	0	0	0
COVINA	43837	1555	334	233	330	143	36	153	22	0	0	1
WEST COVINA	84149	6336	658	1030	2254	434	34	160	1	0	0	0
BALDWIN PARK-IRWINDALE	52317	2180	104	283	1485	29	54	64	0	0	0	0
VALINDA	40716	697	20	63	393	99	7	16	0	0	0	0
LA PUENTE	30299	778	151	118	254	82	3	10	1	0	0	0
ROWLAND HEIGHTS	43019	720	219	103	259	160	43	6	0	0	0	0
HACIENDA HEIGHTS	57900	6646	1217	1032	1259	1698	194	79	0	0	0	2
INDUSTRY	26372	1533	129	195	695	297	86	0	0	0	0	0
BASSETT	11227	975	269	207	152	269	44	0	0	0	0	0
EL MONTE	69379	2962	403	392	1174	186	56	83	0	0	0	0
ROSEMEAD	51832	6082	2014	1841	956	408	103	457	0	1	0	0
MONTEREY PARK	52549	18101	6826	7681	807	1141	173	862	27	0	0	578

TABLE 37-c Region III

** ABOS POPULATION: ETHNICITY OF ASIANS AND PACIFIC ISLANDERS — CENSUS DATA AS OF 1 APRIL 80 **
** LEVEL UI: UNITED WAY SPECIAL AREAS **
** STATE OF CALIFORNIA **
** P=PARTIAL RECORD S=SUPPRESSED BY CENSUS BUREAU ?=VALUE NOT PRECISE — SEE ENCLOSED MEMO FOR IMPORTANT NOTES **

	TOTAL POP	ASIANS AND PACIFIC ISLANDERS											
		TOTAL	JAPANESE	CHINESE	FILIPINO	KOREAN	ASIAN INDIAN	VIET-NAMESE	HAWAIIAN	GUAM-ANIAN	SAMOAN	OTHER
REGION III	16221875	123418	38962	15860	32308	11850	4282	9227	1256	2121	4530	5622
GARDENA	70465	15826	11198	1043	1206	1263	92	957	232	48	239	140
NORTH TORRANCE	31569	5960	4164	543	391	472	80	131	12	11	21	81
WEST TORRANCE	24297	2003	1066	304	94	399	69	0	46	0	0	37
CENTRAL TORRANCE	12201	983	545	192	56	129	33	6	15	0	0	7
EAST TORRANCE	5603	435	97	60	109	80	43	8	15	0	0	27
LA STRIP	21070	4779	2534	340	1028	163	60	214	43	29	188	179
SOUTH TORRANCE	42054	4462	2685	606	499	153	145	49	5	5	52	73
LOMITA	18674	1023	360	124	127	188	33	52	20	5	42	70
PALOS VERDES	42883	3049	1639	281	290	358	270	11	9	0	10	81
WEST SAN PEDRO	31288	2217	296	189	460	134	23	0	46	34	29	46
EAST SAN PEDRO	44061	3401	835	186	842	247	80	23	12	34	54	49
HARBOR CITY	19425	5378	3180	391	441	87	80	67	40	36	19	124
CARSON	77926	15595	1280	303	7160	245	73	190	190	266	1032	153
WILMINGTON	38729	4610	1491	101	2305	211	0	0	0	34	0	128
WEST LONG BEACH	26420	5600	1072	300	3265	62	67	46	0	0	0	128
CENTRAL LONG BEACH	61227	7590	554	71	2609	165	326	1387	97	122	28	132
BELMONT SHORES	32972	4012	220	54	488	24	20	0	4	0	0	0
EAST LONG BEACH	31641	1969	386	241	215	73	69	21	48	5	6	61
SIGNAL HILL	5231	995	400	156	214	0	0	0	15	5	0	0
KIRBY	26089	971	156	195	286	208	53	18	2	0	0	26
INDUSTRIAL	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LOS ALTOS	71221	2232	305	302	422	328	193	83	2	0	34	181
EAST LAKEWOOD	33746	3161	299	202	335	315	110	54	45	10	25	209
LAKEWOOD	56259	3746	559	220	435	225	47	73	0	0	0	89
NORTH LONG BEACH	61937	4064	363	246	477	188	144	31	17	26	2	157
PARAMOUNT	36401	3972	103	81	3755	244	28	102	43	38	35	104
BELLFLOWER	53441	2358	1050	88	535	346	328	175	33	32	35	316
ARTESIA	14301	697	102	50	450	0	0	0	0	0	0	95
CERRITOS	53765	12776	2143	1807	4664	198	940	146	42	0	0	363
NORWALK	85286	3598	400	304	1800	126	34	28	4	0	0	336
DOWNEY	82602	3994	488	324	1000	103	146	28	44	0	0	442
MONTEBELLO	51325	6748	2273	2884	1000	40	85	9	9	0	0	248
PICO RIVERA	54041	1258	370	238	386	0	58	4	0	1	0	0
WEST WHITTIER	9711	1134	93	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WHITTIER	21484	1465	98	0	0	0	33	139	3	0	38	26
NORTH WHITTIER	25862	1005	295	41	155	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
SOUTH WHITTIER	15678	1015	107	0	0	0	0	31	0	0	0	0
LA COUNTY WEST	32211	3900	88	0	0	0	0	104	2	1	0	0
SANTA FE SPRINGS	16257	3777	87	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45
LA COUNTY EAST	37824	1491	395	0	0	0	41	23	4	12	12	108
LA MIRADA	36000	911	242	0	0	0	23	34	0	0	0	26
TERMINAL ISLAND	4742	152	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
AVALON-CATALINA	2310	18	5	0	0	10	0	0	1	0	0	0

TABLE 37-d Region IV

** RLOS POPULATION: ETHNICITY OF ASIANS AND PACIFIC ISLANDERS — CENSUS DATA AS OF 1 APRIL 80 **
** LEVEL 03 DAILED MAT SPECIAL AREAS **
** STATE OF CALIFORNIA **
** P=PARTIAL RECORD S=SUPPRESSED BY CENSUS BUREAU ?=VALUE NOT PRECISE — SEE ENCLOSED MEMO FOR IMPORTANT NOTES **

	TOTAL POP	ASIANS AND PACIFIC ISLANDERS											
		TOTAL	JAPANESE	CHINESE	FILIPINO	KOREAN	ASIAN INDIAN	VIET-NAMESE	HAWAIIAN	GUAM-ANIAN	SAMOAN	OTHER
REGION IV	10[illegible]126	[illegible]8025	23721	10393	6546	6535	3700	3158	931	287	338	2398
MALIBU	23484	582	114	243	51	108	30	60	17	6	0	150
PACIFIC PALISADES	23457	953	281	326	9	184	133	0	0	0	0	20
BRENTWOOD-DEL AIR	31054	862	310	284	56	82	40	17	0	5	0	28
BEVERLY HILLS	24601	909	189	404	96	102	48	0	10	5	0	55
BEVERLY-LA CIENEGA	22683	605	109	206	105	65	87	0	0	5	0	33
WESTWOOD	57636	2008	915	809	134	131	127	107	0	0	15	53
SAN VICENTE	18433	990	317	321	97	95	155	0	100	0	0	55
NORTH SANTA MONICA	41546	[illegible]591	547	492	27	109	215	88	20	0	0	87
PICO CORRIDOR	20277	[illegible]058	396	150	161	37	127	62	36	0	0	71
OCEAN PARK	26491	[illegible]037	307	328	66	117	263	0	5	0	0	25
OLYMPIC	30445	923	434	295	95	114	30	21	5	0	0	45
PALMS	45338	2486	511	655	3	409	215	67	0	0	0	167
WEST ADAMS	74523	[illegible]324	412	751	538	1363	117	219	85	8	0	127
RODEO	22445	[illegible]392	940	192	43	114	97	0	6	0	0	70
CULVER CITY	33375	2972	462	417	357	235	266	111	28	0	0	96
MAR VISTA	57121	[illegible]100	2178	863	436	936	416	194	48	19	20	190
SANTELLE	1590[illegible]	3552	2406	331	293	223	113	124	67	0	0	83
VENICE	81810	[illegible]143	2168	569	82	266	118	151	67	49	36	172
MARINE DEL REY	13341	270	109	26	39	6	47	0	0	0	0	26
BALDWIN HILLS	27844	907	391	278	100	72	29	7	8	0	0	22
LEIMERT	46263	[illegible]716	1049	239	172	65	0	34	8	5	4	30
INGLEWOOD	77933	[illegible]802	432	541	261	[illegible]89	148	109	7	5	0	250
WESTCHESTER	43743	2064	740	491	238	232	157	40	20	3	6	95
LENNOX	38023	[illegible]706	220	95	339	69	56	262	87	48	51	181
HAWTHORNE	70886	5501	1325	631	93[illegible]	996	367	870	46	11	21	301
EL SEGUNDO	[illegible]5003	248	51	61	15	14	42	19	24	0	0	16
MANHATTAN BEACH	31942	672	267	177	28	139	43	5	3	0	0	60
LAWNDALE	23460	[illegible]663	176	94	372	[illegible]81	149	589	5	5	48	100
NORTH REDONDO BEACH	52961	[illegible]279	378	302	204	135	79	7	55	2	8	19
HERMOSA BEACH	18070	376	153	78	26	35	21	0	24	0	10	9
SOUTH REDONDO BEACH	25643	854	434	92	79	106	50	0	0	12	0	81

226

TABLE 37-e Region V

** RDS POPULATION: ETHNICITY OF ASIANS AND PACIFIC ISLANDERS — CENSUS DATA AS OF 1 APRIL 80 **
** LEVEL VI UNIFIED MAY SPECIAL AREAS **
** STATE OF CALIFORNIA **
** P-PARTIAL RECORD S-SUPPRESSED BY CENSUS BUREAU ?:VALUE NOT PRECISE — SEE ENCLOSED MEMO FOR IMPORTANT NOTES **

	TOTAL POP	ASIANS AND PACIFIC ISLANDERS											
		TOTAL	JAPANESE	CHINESE	FILIPINO	KOREAN	ASIAN INDIAN	VIET-NAMESE	HAWAIIAN	GUAM-ANIAN	SAMOAN	OTHER
REGION V	19102797	1260338	215333	320326	293228	216872	29224	87123	12411	8760	19855	53855
HOLLYWOOD HILLS, GRIFFITH P	27042	1334	770	228	267	24	6	7	4	0	0	5
WEST HOLLYWOOD	27213	1338	582	265	266	24	6	7	4	0	0	5
HOLLYWOOD	124110	17400	1745	2544	6139	4300	581	743	150	4	66	1727
SILVER LAKE	26440	4936	200	1180	1	1	1	2	0	0	0	0
ATWATER, GLASSELL	41780	5130	566	1180	1332	1	1	2	0	0	0	0
MOUNT WASHINGTON	20178	4348	333	1180	1332	1	1	2	0	0	0	0
HIGHLAND PARK	50643	13148	2598	1180	1332	1	1	2	0	0	0	0
EL SERENO	39643	3148	2598	1180	1332	1	1	2	0	0	0	0
LINCOLN HEIGHTS	43315	3148	2598	1180	1332	1	1	2	0	0	0	0
EAGLE ROCK	38547	3148	2598	1180	1332	1	1	2	0	0	0	0
ATWATER PARK	38547	3148	2598	1180	1332	1	1	2	0	0	0	0
WESTLAKE	382258	122344	4449	22707	6318	3662	1	1	0	0	0	0
WILSHIRE	206446	188229	2547	22258	4013	705	1	1	0	0	0	0
WEST WILSHIRE	48810	31554	774	4314	6881	2445	1	1	0	0	0	0
WILSHIRE-PICO	49780	34758	3170	6435	6604	3886	1	1	0	0	0	0
SANTA BARBARA	97514	11058	2999	1626	1063	447	1	1	0	0	0	0
DOWNTOWN	19852	4465	1001	259	43	13	1	1	0	0	0	0
WHOLESALE	27309	4577	586	2934	0	30	1	1	0	0	0	0
BOYLE HEIGHTS	78837	30145	2106	203	364	138	1	1	0	0	0	0
EAST LOS ANGELES	124521	20645	1638	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
HUNTINGTON PARK, MAYWOOD	56610	1069	60	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
CENTRAL	20268	6205	33	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
UNIVERSITY	48009	10005	254	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
EXPOSITION PARK	37744	15044	406	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
AVALON	6248	2240	3	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
FLORENCE-GRAHAM	37284	2488	28	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
SOUTH-VERMONT, GREEN MEADOW	93546	4178	82	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
EAST INGLEWOOD	38615	3188	82	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
WATTS	28816	2273	94	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
BELL, BELL GARDENS	88374	11446	145	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
SOUTH GATE	66784	11056	104	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
LYNWOOD	41661	8157	54	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
EAST COMPTON	35551	1337	156	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
COMPTON, WILLOWBROOK	66253	352	161	15	74	0	0	0	38	31	25	0

227

TABLE 38-a Region I

ASIAN FAMILY INCOME BY UNITED WAY REGIONS AND STUDY AREAS

Los Angeles County, 1980

** R139 POPULATION: FAMILY INCOME - ASIAN- CENSUS DATA AS OF 1 APRIL 80 **
** LEVEL U1 UNITED WAY SPECIAL AREAS **
** STATE OF CALIFORNIA **
** P-PARTIAL RECORD S-SUPPRESSED BY CENSUS BUREAU ?-VALUE NOT PRECISE SEE ENCLOSED MEMO FOR IMPORTANT NOTES **

	TOTAL FAMILIES	ASIAN FAMILIES, BY INCOME									
		TOTAL	< $5000	$5,000 -7,499	$7,500 -9,999	$10,000 -14,999	$15,000 -19,999	$20,000 -24,999	$25,000 -34,999	$35,000 -49,999	$50,000 OR MORE
LOS ANGELES	1824119	106384	7614	4592	5370	12003	12675	14608	22369	17378	9775
REGION I	1901747	130167	7717	4237	4637	12437	14687	18727	28272	27267	12237
SYLMAR	18146	1937	77	47	67	337	487	567	447	897	137
VAN NORMAN LAKES	43205	2447	0	0	57	17	9	30	45	107	29
SAN FERNANDO	4198	377	07	07	07	7	07	07	177	07	67
PACOIMA	15107	1577	177	07	207	217	327	147	337	187	47
SUNLAND	8792	1337	147	07	117	327	327	197	177	107	37
TUJUNGA	5037	587	4	0	0	44	105	24	44	127	8
SUN VALLEY	8968	679	14	2	49	43	65	128	195	148	34
PANORAMA CITY	15018	1754	14	2	32	61	107	148	160	209	78
MISSION HILLS	7408	2707	207	157	07	217	147	327	637	587	207
GRANADA HILLS	11015	4337	207	217	137	217	387	427	997	1777	237
CHATSWORTH-PORTER RANCH	18437	1057	167	07	07	47	87	127	237	177	167
NORTHRIDGE	7888	1337	57	7	17	67	87	127	237	317	167
SEPULVEDA	8077	1937	57	107	77	77	97	207	307	307	57
CANOGA PARK	23193	3137	207	107	77	77	207	307	307	307	57
RESEDA	18720	3497	207	107	107	137	137	307	307	307	57
VAN NUYS	24096	1004	84	57	107	137	137	307	307	307	57
NORTH HOLLYWOOD	21724	861?	6?	57	247	1??	1??	1??	1??	1??	57
BURBANK	27176	809?	6?	57	247	1??	1??	1??	1??	1??	57
NORTH GLENDALE	23541	809?	6?	57	247	1??	1??	1??	1??	1??	57
SOUTH GLENDALE	16214	1337	84	48	87	207	147	147	247	247	127
ENCINO-TARZANA	16214	1225	17	0	0	20	20	147	247	247	127
SHERMAN OAKS	8921	1147	17	07	207	207	207	207	407	407	177
STUDIO CITY	13587	1147	147	07	207	207	207	207	407	407	177
WOODLAND HILLS	12110	2447	147	07	207	207	207	207	407	407	177
AGOURA-CALABASAS	4004	747	07	07	07	07	07	07	207	207	107
WESTLAKE VILLAGE	3313	63	0	0	0	0	19	0	107	107	107
ANGELES NATIONAL FORREST	2313	07	07	07	07	07	07	07	07	07	07
PALMDALE	12247	797	77	07	077	077	077	077	177	277	77
SANTA CLARITA	19017	1057	77	87	077	077	077	197	177	277	77
LANCASTER	17317	1057	77	107	077	077	077	197	177	277	77
EAGLE ACCK	4882	430	21	3	16	30	33	34	93	92	68

228

TABLE 38-b Region II

** 1990 POPULATION: FAMILY INCOME - ASIAN- CENSUS DATA AS OF 1 APRIL 80 **
** LEVEL OF UNITED WAY SPECIAL AREAS **
** STATE OF CALIFORNIA **
** P-PARTIAL RECORD S-SUPPRESSED BY CENSUS BUREAU ?-VALUE NOT PRECISE SEE ENCLOSED MEMO FOR IMPORTANT NOTES **

	TOTAL FAMILIES	ASIAN FAMILIES, BY INCOME										
		TOTAL	< $5000	$5,000 - 7,499	$7,500 - 9,999	$10,000 - 14,999	$15,000 - 19,999	$20,000 - 24,999	$25,000 - 34,999	$35,000 - 49,999	$50,000 OR MORE
REGION II	3456487	214967	13037	7897	8187	20187	22047	30487	54017	38107	21057
LA CANADA, FLINTRIDGE	6408	1187	07	07	07	07	07	07	327	327	437
ALTADENA	23727	1307	0	127	187	247	237	237	227	367	307
UPPER PASADENA	19548	1527	147	347	347	2007	1307	1607	1067	1227	477
LOWER PASADENA	17007	598	60	287	227	67	547	227	687	367	307
SOUTH PASADENA	8423	1017	07	87	127	457	547	1107	1207	1227	935
ALHAMBRA	16406	1814	219	127	867	2347	2677	2357	3077	2407	1047
SAN MARINO-NOT UNITED WAY	3202	1117	57	07	07	07	07	257	257	187	187
SAN GABRIEL	7298	1017	97	587	437	787	907	1007	1327	607	187
TEMPLE CITY	12812	1077	127	177	337	777	1077	1087	2617	1607	1477
ARCADIA	15437	1467	247	67	137	317	217	517	807	1207	647
SIERRA MADRE	5831	1007	07	07	07	237	257	467	867	797	1177
MONROVIA	8167	2107	147	27	07	237	257	467	867	797	1177
DUARTE	11647	1098	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
AZUSA	19237	1077	247	147	87	287	347	867	767	1477	1377
GLENDORA	13246	1077	247	07	07	187	307	867	767	1477	1377
SAN DIMAS	6833	1057	137	07	07	167	447	567	1087	1677	1077
LA VERNE	6296	1057	137	07	07	167	447	567	1087	1677	1077
CLAREMONT	9644	1077	137	07	257	167	447	567	1087	1677	1077
POMONA	23227	1017	617	447	807	647	1247	1237	2317	2497	2277
DIAMOND BAR	6487	1437	207	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WALNUT	4602	1437	207	0	0	0	247	867	1237	1477	1277
COVINA	15507	1287	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WEST COVINA	32347	1287	97	0	0	34	97	347	1407	1207	1207
BALDWIN PARK-IRWINDALE	12089	1487	637	1577	3077	5477	6877	12377	2677	4497	3547	1377
VALINDA	5567	1057	67	2577	1177	4077	4077	8477	12477	18577	7177	1407
LA PUENTE	6896	1436	0	0	0	0	477	50	1057	0	0	207
ROWLAND HEIGHTS	3120	1057	187	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HACIENDA HEIGHTS	14887	1437	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
INDUSTRY	2077	1437	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BASSETT	2077	1437	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EL MONTE	21027	1437	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ROSEMEAD	19227	1437	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MONTEREY PARK	13598	4544	294	161	145	412	421	634	1035	805	557

TABLE 38-c Region III

1980 POPULATION: FAMILY INCOME - ASIAN-
STATE OF CALIFORNIA SPECIAL AREAS
P=PARTIAL RECORD S=SUPPRESSED BY CENSUS BUREAU ?=VALUE NOT PRECISE

CENSUS DATA AS OF 1 APRIL 80

SEE ENCLOSED MEMO FOR IMPORTANT NOTES

	TOTAL FAMILIES	ASIAN FAMILIES, BY INCOME										
		TOTAL	< $5000	$5,000 - 7,499	$7,500 - 9,999	$10,000 - 12,499	$12,500 - 14,999	$15,000 - 19,999	$20,000 - 24,999	$25,000 - 34,999	$35,000 - 49,999	$50,000 OR MORE
REGION III	4133617	286117	14677	8827	10227	24317	31407	38257	66347	56687	32887	
GARDENA	17554	2617	1387	237	147	307	307	257	377	137	107	
NORTH TORRANCE	8314	1479	65	47	35	137	107	127	407	177	107	
WEST TORRANCE	6318	367	207	67	07	137	137	97	997	807	327	
CENTRAL TORRANCE	3147	237	87	57	107	87	87	47	47	47	07	
EAST TORRANCE	2467	102	07	07	07	07	07	07	47	47	07	
LA STAIR	7356	1154	137	57	42	63	137	137	307	342	99	
SOUTH TORRANCE	14268	1154	137	57	28	87	87	87	257	305	217	
CONITA	3082	1154	137	57	07	87	87	87	257	305	217	
PALOS VERDES	17007	1337	207	67	07	87	87	87	257	305	217	
WEST SAN PEDRO	9565	1337	207	67	07	87	87	87	257	305	217	
EAST SAN PEDRO	14310	1337	207	67	07	87	87	87	257	305	217	
HARBOR CITY	11374	1337	207	67	07	87	87	87	257	305	217	
CARSON	18110	2517	1097	437	257	1077	2717	4337	4407	3227	2817	
WILMINGTON	20507	2517	1097	437	257	1077	2717	4337	4407	3227	2817	
WEST LONG BEACH	6481	1337	787	707	347	1077	1707	2707	1447	1507	1177	
CENTRAL LONG BEACH	20646	15927	2807	1447	1817	1817	1817	1507	1807	1507	1177	
BELMONT SHORES	7624	467	07	07	07	07	07	307	1117	1507	537	
EAST LONG BEACH	8346	398	77	23	47	77	47	47	67	197	117	07
SIGNAL HILL	3525	77	07	07	07	07	07	07	47	47	07	07
AIRPORT	7089	1877	167	197	77	77	177	177	157	447	337	347
INDUSTRIAL	07	07	07	07	07	07	07	07	07	07	07	07
LOS ALAMITOS	10977	4477	647	527	977	977	977	1427	1877	1237	527	207
EAST LAKEWOOD	8476	4347	3477	5077	6377	6377	6377	9877	5077	3077	1777	1077
LAKEWOOD	16237	3077	1077	477	477	1077	1077	1077	1427	667	387	107
NORTH LONG BEACH	16539	640	587	63	447	107	107	107	107	107	107	107
PARAMOUNT	8586	1195	247	197	07	07	07	07	07	07	07	07
BELLFLOWER	14293	1195	247	197	07	07	07	07	07	07	07	07
ARTESIA	3443	1195	247	197	07	07	07	07	07	07	07	07
CERRITOS	13614	2845	597	277	267	1127	1127	1127	1127	1127	1127	1127
NORWALK	37033	9224	3477	2277	2677	1127	1127	1127	1127	1127	1127	1127
DOWNEY	25774	9224	3477	2277	2677	1127	1127	1127	1127	1127	1127	1127
MONTEBELLO	13088	17507	3577	2777	2677	1127	1127	1127	1127	1127	1127	1127
PICO RIVERA	13111	17507	3577	2777	2677	1127	1127	1127	1127	1127	1127	1127
WEST WHITTIER	2536	3077	287	07	07	07	07	07	07	07	07	07
WHITTIER	5114	287	07	07	07	07	07	07	47	127	77	57
NORTH WHITTIER	7209	681	187	07	07	07	07	07	47	127	77	57
SOUTH WHITTIER	7209	1267	187	47	07	07	07	07	47	127	77	57
LA COUNTY WEST	3209	787	07	07	07	07	07	07	47	127	77	57
SANTA FE SPRINGS	3209	787	07	07	07	07	07	07	47	127	77	57
LA COUNTY EAST	3209	787	07	07	07	07	07	07	47	127	77	57
LA MIRADA	14047	319	147	07	07	07	07	07	47	127	77	57
TERMINAL ISLAND	4487	2077	07	07	07	07	07	07	47	127	77	57
AVALON-CATALINA	608	07	07	07	07	07	07	07	07	07	07	07

230

319

311

TABLE 38-d Region IV

** 1990 POPULATION: FAMILY INCOME - ASIAN- CENSUS DATA AS OF 1 APRIL 80 **
** LEVEL III UNIFIED MAT SPECIAL AREAS **
** STATE OF CALIFORNIA **
** P-PARTIAL RECORD S-SUPPRESSED BY CENSUS BUREAU ?-VALUE NOT PRECISE SEE ENCLOSED MEMO FOR IMPORTANT NOTES **

	TOTAL FAMILIES	ASIAN FAMILIES, BY INCOME: TOTAL	< $5000	$5,000 - 7,499	$7,500 - 9,999	$10,000 - 14,999	$15,000 - 19,999	$20,000 - 24,999	$25,000 - 34,999	$35,000 - 49,999	$50,000 OR MORE
REGION IV	2557717	131867	6527	5307	5557	17517	15187	19357	26907	22107	13457
MALIBU	4213	107	0	0	0	15	0	6	0	16	70
PACIFIC PALISADES	8701	215	6?	0?	8	6	0	3?	36	48	76
BRENTWOOD-BEL AIR	8203	447	0?	0?	0?	0?	0?	0?	0?	0?	0?
BEVERLY HILLS	6423	447	0?	0?	0?	0?	13?	9?	15?	11?	47?
BEVERLY-LA CIENEGA	6123	1307	97	0?	0?	0?	1?	9?	15?	11?	47?
WESTWOOD	4536	1127	47	0?	0?	2?	2?	9?	15?	11?	40?
SAN VICENTE	3223	1127	47	0?	0?	2?	2?	9?	15?	11?	40?
NORTH SANTA MONICA	3823	238	10	4	3	2	2	10	21	20	8
PICO CORRIDOR	4089	238	10	14	16	65	3	10	21	20	8
OCEAN PARK	3721	253	10	14	30	24	3	10	21	30	8
OLYMPIC	5200	206	10	8	3	24	3	10	21	30	8
PALMS	5227	484	14	13	3	24	0	10	21	30	8
WEST ADAMS	11452	1947	114?	127?	94?	352?	278?	286?	352?	269?	48?
RODEO	8006	214	9	2	2	5	3	9	21	8	8
CULVER CITY	8017	214	9	2	5	5	3	9	21	8	8
MAR VISTA	14382	1277	32?	27	30?	73?	16?	154?	152?	86?	20?
SANTA ELE	11543	1277	32?	27	30?	73?	16?	154?	152?	86?	20?
VENICE	11512	1277	32?	27	30?	73?	16?	154?	152?	86?	20?
MARINA DEL REY	1008	107	0?	0?	0?	0?	0?	0?	6?	22?	7?
BALDWIN HILLS	17487	1437	227	127	147	277	127	107	147	87	47
LEIMERT	14733	1437	227	127	147	277	127	107	147	87	47
INGLEWOOD	14733	1437	227	127	147	277	127	107	147	87	47
WESTCHESTER	14733	1437	227	127	147	277	127	107	147	87	47
LENNOX	9118	1437	227	127	147	277	127	107	147	87	47
HAWTHORNE	17915	1437	227	127	147	277	127	107	147	87	47
EL SEGUNDO	3739	1307	72?	69?	60?	147?	194?	224?	207?	167?	40?
MANHATTAN BEACH	7843	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LAWNDALE	5785	1527	0?	0?	9?	9?	9?	13?	0?	4?	36?
NORTH REDONDO BEACH	8357	359	26	1	16	48	7	34	0	6	4
HERMOSA BEACH	3629	378	6	0	0	2	3	11	4	3	3
SOUTH REDONDO BEACH	5888	153	8	0	0	20	14	19	5	4	0

TABLE 38-e Region V

1980 POPULATION: FAMILY INCOME - ASIAN-
LEVEL III UNITED WAY SPECIAL AREAS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
P-PARTIAL RECORD S-SUPPRESSED BY CENSUS BUREAU ?-VALUE NOT PRECISE

CENSUS DATA AS OF 1 APRIL 80

SEE ENCLOSED MEMO FOR IMPORTANT NOTES

	TOTAL FAMILIES	ASIAN FAMILIES, BY INCOME										
		TOTAL	< $5000	$5,000 - 7,499	$7,500 - 9,999	$10,000 - 14,999	$15,000 - 19,999	$20,000 - 24,999	$25,000 - 34,999	$35,000 - 49,999	$50,000 OR MORE
REGION V	4189867	280787	33137	19067	24037	44007	39767	36387	43897	25647	14837
HOLLYWOOD HILLS, GRIFFITH-P	10313	760	43	44	22	87	79	41	1	98	215
WEST HOLLYWOOD	13654	350	43	0	22	87	24	41	10	48	55
HOLLYWOOD	24059	4250	406	234	4	7	688	5	7	27	20
SILVER LAKE	8165	1244	35	24	4	8	102	2	2	11	13
ATWATER, GLASSELL	10050	1244	35	24	4	8	102	2	2	11	13
MOUNT WASHINGTON	4410	419	8	2	8	21	39	3	4	8	4
HIGHLAND PARK	8223	804	8	2	10	7	7	1	4	8	4
EL SERENO	8223	804	8	2	10	7	7	1	4	8	4
CHINATOWN HEIGHTS	7420	740	40	24	8	30	6	12	4	10	2
ELYSIAN PARK	6973	740	40	24	8	30	6	12	4	10	2
WESTLAKE	19886	2300	48	28	17	40	24	24	3	10	6
WEST WILSHIRE	43207	4207	207	247	347	4907	4377	4077	4047	1077	1147
WILSHIRE-PICO	10470	3764	207	247	344	497	437	427	427	107	1147
SANTA BARBARA	13360	4837	207	247	487	497	437	427	157	107	147
DOWNTOWN	19263	2567	48	20	24	47	34	29	29	10	6
WHOLESALE	12334	837	7	7	7	7	37	7	7	7	7
BOYLE HEIGHTS	14677	1244	188	167	11	110	88	5	8	8	11
EAST LOS ANGELES	35159	4207	207	247	347	1207	1207	1377	1377	377	247
HUNTINGTON PARK, MAYWOOD	18423	4207	207	247	347	1207	1207	1377	1377	377	247
CENTRAL	9223	1207	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
UNIVERSITY	31459	4207	207	247	347	1207	1207	1377	1377	377	247
EXPOSITION PARK	13459	2747	207	247	347	1207	1207	1377	1377	377	247
VERNON	13080	3107	207	247	347	1207	1207	1377	1377	377	247
FLORENCE-GRAHAM	22894	4207	207	247	347	1207	1207	1377	1377	377	247
SOUTH-VERMONT, GREEN MEADOW	22109	4207	207	247	347	1207	1207	1377	1377	377	247
EAST INGLEWOOD	8814	1207	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
WATTS	33547	4207	207	247	347	1207	1207	1377	1377	377	247
BELL, BELL GARDENS	20387	3007	207	247	347	1207	1207	1377	1377	377	247
SOUTH GATE	8234	1207	207	247	347	1207	1207	1377	1377	377	247
LYNWOOD	9265	1207	207	247	347	1207	1207	1377	1377	377	247
EAST COMPTON	16917	2937	207	247	347	1207	1207	1377	1377	377	247
COMPTON, WILLOWBROOK	15515	417	07	07	07	207	157	07	07	07	67

232

TABLE 37-a Region I

ASIAN AND PACIFIC ISLANDERS, BY ETHNIC GROUP

United Way Regions and Study Areas, Los Angeles County, 1980

** RLOS POPULATION: ETHNICITY OF ASIANS AND PACIFIC ISLANDERS — CENSUS DATA AS OF 1 APRIL 80 **
** LEVEL VI UNIFIED MAY SPECIAL AREAS **
** STATE OF CALIFORNIA **
** P-PARTIAL RECORD S-SUPPRESSED BY CENSUS BUREAU ?-VALUE NOT PRECISE — SEE ENCLOSED MEMO FOR IMPORTANT NOTES **

	TOTAL POP	ASIANS AND PACIFIC ISLANDERS: TOTAL	JAPANESE	CHINESE	FILIPINO	KOREAN	ASIAN INDIAN	VIET-NAMESE	HAWAIIAN	GUAM-ANIAN	SAMOAN	OTHER
REGION I	1511563	57100	11498	8329	13398	11275	3945	3870	1088	187	250	3260
SYLMAR	43315	1148	337	134	215	59	128	48	44	13	0	89
VAN NORMAN LAKES	15875	1014	197	93	135	307	164	0	10	0	0	114
SAN FERNANDO	17731	171	48	0	81	0	6	10	10	7	0	0
PACOIMA	55562	792	218	41	207	82	49	165	11	0	0	19
SUNLAND	32722	1401	288	168	573	315	60	6	44	0	0	147
TUJUNGA	10189	345	119	84	188	110	4	0	5	0	0	33
SUN VALLEY	58334	2815	864	161	746	453	97	30	22	0	11	306
PANORAMA CITY	54207	3245	613	225	1046	323	426	229	38	4	27	308
MISSION HILLS	27866	1180	467	81	408	70	85	47	11	0	0	31
GRANADA HILLS	41510	1784	469	251	203	231	179	64	31	1	1	50
CHATSWORTH-PORTER RANCH	68603	4012	531	755	878	1094	378	201	22	0	0	157
NORTHRIDGE	52026	1514	344	342	195	287	145	31	20	0	8	142
SEPULVEDA	34333	1774	398	146	301	444	37	110	7	0	0	131
CANOGA PARK	61460	3942	639	819	716	990	480	451	58	0	0	189
RESEDA	71690	2615	699	486	447	625	148	125	60	7	0	100
VAN NUYS	111392	4162	840	665	633	855	328	599	46	15	6	170
NORTH HOLLYWOOD	112721	4183	889	425	1213	880	151	261	137	8	38	181
BURBANK	84622	2247	327	381	509	423	143	246	82	17	14	114
NORTH GLENDALE	83717	3881	470	601	1076	1136	238	153	48	0	0	249
SOUTH GLENDALE	72466	4951	716	647	1211	1085	213	685	71	31	0	292
ENCINO-TARZANA	58721	1128	295	198	92	366	45	19	39	14	0	60
SHERMAN OAKS	52711	885	232	80	126	69	73	46	3	0	0	146
STUDIO CITY	30449	802	206	52	47	235	25	0	28	14	0	34
WOODLAND HILLS	43112	1110	248	176	108	289	7	3	0	0	0	53
AGOURA-CALABASAS	15429	332	145	0	59	93	0	18	17	0	0	0
WESTLAKE VILLAGE	11881	228	41	61	99	27	0	0	0	0	0	0
ANGELES NATIONAL FORREST	2442	29	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PALMDALE	46012	652	126	100	219	36	29	42	67	0	0	33
SANTA CLARITA	73097	1098	273	159	183	138	124	83	72	0	0	66
LANCASTER	65582	1322	218	119	638	59	87	28	0	65	2	90
EAGLE ROCK	20384	2142	225	429	909	220	50	182	80	0	0	47

TABLE 37-b Region II

** RLOS POPULATION: ETHNICITY OF ASIANS AND PACIFIC ISLANDERS — CENSUS DATA AS OF 1 APRIL 80 **
** LEVEL II UNITED WAY SPECIAL AREAS **
** STATE OF CALIFORNIA **
** P=PARTIAL RECORD S=SUPPRESSED BY CENSUS BUREAU ?=VALUE NOT PRECISE — SEE ENCLOSED MEMO FOR IMPORTANT NOTES **

	TOTAL POP	ASIANS AND PACIFIC ISLANDERS											
		TOTAL	JAPANESE	CHINESE	FILIPINO	KOREAN	ASIAN INDIAN	VIET-NAMESE	HAWAIIAN	GUAM-ANIAN	SAMOAN	OTHER
REGION II	1377623	92112	21476	27882	18196	8990	3905	6204	910	105	337	4102
LA CANADA, FLINTRIDGE	19561	617	175	184	93	81	13	51	9	0	9	97
ALTADENA	42269	1000	445	184	69	81	13	51	9	0	9	97
UPPER PASADENA	87269	4198	1345	908	165	165	20	41	6	0	0	21
LOWER PASADENA	47020	2045	788	929	493	165	20	41	6	0	0	21
SOUTH PASADENA	22681	860	284	135	42	165	20	41	6	0	0	21
ALHAMBRA	64788	8681	1185	4471	1356	165	20	41	6	0	0	21
SAN MARINO-NOT UNITED WAY	13301	643	400	4471	135	165	20	41	6	0	0	21
SAN GABRIEL	28592	2318	326	1035	135	165	20	41	6	0	0	21
TEMPLE CITY	31341	2289	789	804	135	165	20	41	6	0	0	21
ARCADIA	57284	2051	367	799	135	165	20	41	6	0	0	21
SIERRA MADRE	10811	146	89	48	135	165	20	41	6	0	0	21
MONROVIA	36930	890	196	148	135	165	20	41	6	0	0	21
DUARTE	16134	747	83	196	135	165	20	41	6	0	0	21
AZUSA	41237	1329	204	196	135	165	20	41	6	0	0	21
GLENDORA	47863	1100	392	196	135	165	20	41	6	0	0	21
SAN DIMAS	25563	668	160	196	135	165	20	41	6	0	0	21
LA VERNE	23196	680	65	196	135	165	20	41	6	0	0	21
CLAREMONT	34456	2356	320	196	135	165	20	41	6	0	0	21
POMONA	101082	3044	388	196	135	165	20	41	6	0	0	21
DIAMOND BAR	53691	2336	1400	196	135	165	20	41	6	0	0	21
WALNUT	29893	1778	400	196	135	165	20	41	6	0	0	21
COVINA	34883	1334	400	196	135	165	20	41	6	0	0	21
WEST COVINA	84136	6358	1000	196	135	165	20	41	6	0	0	21
BALDWIN PARK-IRWINDALE	32317	1180	204	196	135	165	20	41	6	0	0	21
VALINDA	40716	1497	220	196	135	165	20	41	6	0	0	21
LA PUENTE	30296	778	220	196	135	165	20	41	6	0	0	21
ROWLAND HEIGHTS	30019	720	220	196	135	165	20	41	6	0	0	21
HACIENDA HEIGHTS	57900	6646	1200	1800	1200	1440	180	720	54	0	0	252
INDUSTRY	2631	1333	229	1800	1200	1440	180	720	54	0	0	252
BASSETT	2631	1333	229	1800	1200	1440	180	720	54	0	0	252
EL MONTE	79494	2962	403	1800	1200	1440	180	720	54	0	0	252
ROSEMEAD	51832	6082	2014	1800	1200	1440	180	720	54	0	0	252
MONTEREY PARK	52549	18101	6826	7687	807	1141	173	862	27	0	0	578

TABLE 37-c Region III

** RLOS POPULATION: ETHNICITY OF ASIANS AND PACIFIC ISLANDERS — CENSUS DATA AS OF 1 APRIL 80 **
** LEVEL U: UNITED WAY SPECIAL AREAS **
** STATE OF CALIFORNIA **
** P=PARTIAL RECORD S=SUPPRESSED BY CENSUS BUREAU ?=VALUE NOT PRECISE — SEE ENCLOSED MEMO FOR IMPORTANT NOTES **

	TOTAL POP	ASIANS AND PACIFIC ISLANDERS: TOTAL	JAPANESE	CHINESE	FILIPINO	KOREAN	ASIAN INDIAN	VIET-NAMESE	HAWAIIAN	GUAM-ANIAN	SAMOAN	OTHER
REGION III	16218874	123418	28962	15860	33208	11850	4282	5227	1856	2121	4530	5422
GARDENA	70462	15838	11768	1069	1506	1203	85	447	233	48	238	161
NORTH TORRANCE	31569	5849	4164	543	391	479	88	133	32	11	21	81
WEST TORRANCE	24457	2003	1068	304	96	399	69	0	36	0	0	31
CENTRAL TORRANCE	12201	983	545	192	56	129	32	6	15	0	0	7
EAST TORRANCE	9603	435	97	60	109	80	48	8	11	0	0	27
LA STAIP	31070	4719	2534	340	1038	165	40	214	42	24	188	109
SOUTH TORRANCE	52054	4462	2685	606	499	313	143	46	3	6	55	79
LOMITA	18674	1023	360	124	127	88	33	32	20	57	42	0
PALOS VERDES	62883	5049	1639	2181	290	588	270	1	9	0	0	81
WEST SAN PEDRO	31282	1217	298	189	460	34	3	0	46	34	99	4
EAST SAN PEDRO	44061	3401	535	384	842	268	8	23	12	34	244	49
HARBOR CITY	19425	2316	970	297	444	87	8	67	40	36	39	124
CARSON	77926	12595	1280	303	7263	245	73	190	90	266	1932	153
WILMINGTON	38729	2610	161	101	2355	21	0	0	36	26	129	14
WEST LONG BEACH	26420	5600	1072	30	3255	62	4	44	70	80	432	128
CENTRAL LONG BEACH	97527	7590	554	72	2609	165	326	1387	97	127	284	1328
BELMONT SHORES	32972	401	220	34	48	7	24	0	4	0	0	7
EAST LONG BEACH	37641	1969	386	241	215	73	69	21	48	32	6	61
SIGNAL HILL	5734	320	40	0	134	0	0	0	12	0	0	0
KIRBY	26089	975	156	195	286	208	9	18	2	6	0	24
INDUSTRIAL	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LOS ALTOS	71291	2252	505	309	499	358	19	83	2	16	34	181
EAST LAKEWOOD	33746	2191	299	282	355	313	110	34	4	102	57	200
LAKEWOOD	56359	1216	359	120	413	125	47	66	4	24	55	89
NORTH LONG BEACH	61937	2884	363	246	827	288	144	72	4	24	72	157
PARAMOUNT	36401	972	105	98	562	24	28	17	3	2	53	104
BELLFLOWER	53441	2358	310	185	515	346	328	176	3	4	53	346
ARTESIA	14301	651	102	101	270	45	8	0	3	0	3	38
CERRITOS	53765	12176	2143	1807	4884	1987	940	144	3	4	53	363
NORWALK	85286	3963	400	304	1800	261	141	281	8	4	53	336
DOWNEY	82602	2005	483	325	449	1038	124	288	8	46	53	40
MONTEBELLO	51325	6745	2275	2984	710	405	38	77	3	4	53	74
PICO RIVERA	54841	1258	370	230	381	73	38	77	3	4	53	23
WEST WHITTIER	9781	134	93	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WHITTIER	21484	462	98	73	8	15	21	139	3	0	38	24
NORTH WHITTIER	25862	1005	294	470	139	15	18	0	0	0	53	36
SOUTH WHITTIER	15679	315	107	55	33	6	6	31	0	0	0	0
LA COUNTY WEST	23211	590	88	65	45	0	3	104	2	2	13	0
SANTA FE SPRINGS	16957	372	82	18	31	59	0	0	0	0	0	43
LA COUNTY EAST	57824	1491	397	125	441	151	41	224	53	120	126	108
LA MIRADA	36000	919	242	184	164	230	27	36	10	0	0	26
TERMINAL ISLAND	4749	152	16	16	111	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
AVALON-CATALINA	2310	18	5	0	0	10	0	0	3	0	0	0

235

320

321

TABLE 37-d Region IV

** 1980 POPULATION: ETHNICITY OF ASIANS AND PACIFIC ISLANDERS — CENSUS DATA AS OF 1 APRIL 80 **
** LEVEL OF DETAIL FOR CITY & SPECIAL AREAS **
** STATE OF CALIFORNIA **
** P-PARTIAL RECORD S-SUPPRESSED BY CENSUS BUREAU ?-VALUE NOT PRECISE — SEE ENCLOSED MEMO FOR IMPORTANT NOTES **

	TOTAL POP	ASIANS AND PACIFIC ISLANDERS											
		TOTAL	JAPANESE	CHINESE	FILIPINO	KOREAN	ASIAN INDIAN	VIET-NAMESE	HAWAIIAN	GUAM-ANIAN	SAMOAN	OTHER
REGION IV	10586124	580225	237211	103933	65646	65335	37000	31580	9311	2877	3389	23946
MALIBU	23484	1342	164	243	51	109	30	6	1	8	0	130
PACIFIC PALISADES	33447	4553	2811	526	9	184	133	0	0	0	0	150
BRENTWOOD-BEL AIR	31054	8621	3110	2844	56	822	40	17	0	5	0	1808
BEVERLY HILLS	32601	9094	1894	4044	96	1025	48	0	10	5	0	855
BEVERLY-LA CIENEGA	32683	6051	1094	2064	1054	653	87	0	0	5	0	333
HOLLYWOOD	168339	20088	6152	8094	1324	1374	1274	107	13	0	15	5324
SAN VICENTE	18833	9900	3112	3211	574	955	1134	0	0	0	0	2224
NORTH SANTA MONICA	41344	13581	5471	4921	2274	1094	2484	88	0	0	0	8354
PICO CORRIDOR	20277	10598	3964	1504	1814	574	1294	63	0	0	0	7774
OCEAN PARK	26491	10371	3074	2224	664	1174	2854	7	0	0	0	2154
OLYMPIC	32044	9423	5364	2284	954	1144	3884	2	0	0	0	4534
PALMS	33338	24384	6314	6652	3714	4094	2334	62	0	8	0	16454
WEST ADAMS	74522	13349	4514	7514	5384	1363	1174	214	8	8	0	12774
BALDWIN HILLS	33455	9940	1954	4324	1144	974	264	0	0	0	0	0
CULVER CITY	38378	9912	4462	4174	3574	2354	2664	114	28	0	0	964
MAR VISTA	37121	21100	21781	8634	4364	7364	4164	1044	484	144	204	1904
SANTELCE	15901	3552	2406	3334	2934	2234	1334	244	74	44	84	834
VENICE	61810	5143	2768	5664	2214	2664	1184	154	44	44	364	1724
MARINA DEL REY	8147	770	3094	264	304	64	474	04	34	04	04	264
BALDWIN HILLS	37844	9074	3004	2984	1004	724	294	74	84	04	04	1224
LENNOX	22263	11784	10494	2394	1724	654	04	344	04	34	44	304
INGLEWOOD	77933	18024	4324	3414	2614	1894	1484	1094	74	34	04	2504
WESTCHESTER	43343	20444	7404	4914	2584	2324	1574	404	104	354	64	954
LENNOX	38823	12064	1204	954	2374	694	564	264	84	484	54	1814
HAWTHORNE	70886	35014	13254	6314	9214	9964	3674	874	464	114	274	3074
EL SEGUNDO	15003	2484	514	614	1184	1554	144	424	194	24	64	1604
MANHATTAN BEACH	35542	6722	2674	1794	1884	1394	1394	434	34	04	04	1004
LAWNDALE	23460	11665	2674	944	3724	814	1464	5894	34	34	484	1004
NORTH REDONDO BEACH	23561	12794	3784	3024	2044	1334	764	74	554	234	814	1554
HERMOSA BEACH	19070	3764	1534	784	264	3554	214	04	244	204	104	94
SOUTH REDONDO BEACH	25643	8544	4344	924	794	1064	504	04	04	124	04	814

TABLE 37-e Region V

** R105 POPULATION: ETHNICITY OF ASIANS AND PACIFIC ISLANDERS — CENSUS DATA AS OF 1 APRIL 80 **
** LEVEL VI UNIFIED MAY SPECIAL AREAS **
** STATE OF CALIFORNIA **
** P=PARTIAL RECORD S=SUPPRESSED BY CENSUS BUREAU ?=VALUE NOT PRECISE — SEE ENCLOSED MEMO FOR IMPORTANT NOTES **

	TOTAL POP	ASIANS AND PACIFIC ISLANDERS											
		TOTAL	JAPANESE	CHINESE	FILIPINO	KOREAN	ASIAN INDIAN	VIET-NAMESE	HAWAIIAN	GUAM-ANIAN	SAMOAN	OTHER
REGION V	19102971	1260338	215333	320560	295229	214889	29344	87833	12411	8966	19885	53385
HOLLYWOOD HILLS, GRIFFITH P	192723	37048	1770	4259	4417	3911	1041	2240	311	1000	0	1355
WEST HOLLYWOOD	35954	3648	483	1309	1266	1168	311	1068	53	0	6	1453
HOLLYWOOD	1241100	177490	17455	29446	64330	42009	5811	7423	1502	4500	6400	13774
SILVER LAKE	269400	49336	12000	18760	22620	5120	5311	2800	0	0	0	2185
ATWATER, GLASSELL	41782	5180	566	1120	2705	2711	531	0	800	1600	400	0
MOUNT WASHINGTON	20116	1978	323	1120	1443	452	0	300	0	0	0	0
HIGHLAND PARK	42623	4114	2099	1120	1322	1855	361	691	461	1600	0	785
EL SERENO	37423	3288	598	1120	1120	1120	280	600	0	1600	0	970
LINCOLN HEIGHTS	34382	3716	598	1120	1120	1120	280	600	0	1600	0	1078
ELYSIAN PARK	31675	6847	1120	1120	1120	1120	280	600	0	1600	0	1987
WESTLAKE	98238	12296	1449	1120	1120	1120	280	1200	0	1600	0	4407
WILSHIRE	80646	18829	2347	2258	4065	7055	1392	1200	0	1600	0	6912
WEST WILSHIRE	48810	3154	774	1120	1120	1120	280	600	0	1600	0	1610
WILSHIRE-PICO	42550	2478	570	1120	1120	1120	280	600	0	1600	0	1078
SANTA BARBARA	91514	11058	2599	1626	1063	4470	1392	1200	0	1600	0	1078
DOWNTOWN	19852	1495	1001	2594	1120	1120	280	600	0	1600	0	1078
WHOLESALE	22399	4577	586	2594	1120	1120	280	600	0	1600	0	1078
BOYLE HEIGHTS	98831	3015	2106	2033	3641	1588	1392	1200	0	1600	0	1078
EAST LOS ANGELES	1155511	209	1638	1120	1120	1120	280	600	0	1600	0	1078
HUNTINGTON PARK, MAYWOOD	139210	1065	60	1120	1120	1120	280	600	0	1600	0	1078
CENTRAL	20568	691	33	1120	1120	1120	280	600	0	1600	0	1078
UNIVERSITY	28009	1005	254	1120	1120	1120	280	600	0	1600	0	1078
EXPOSITION PARK	37744	1504	406	1120	1120	1120	280	600	0	1600	0	1078
AVALON	62481	240	3	1120	1120	1120	280	600	0	1600	0	1078
FLORENCE-GRAHAM	71284	288	28	1120	1120	1120	280	600	0	1600	0	1078
SOUTH-VERMONT, GREEN MEADOW	93546	478	48	1120	1120	1120	280	600	0	1600	0	1078
EAST INGLEWOOD	38613	469	62	1120	1120	1120	280	600	0	1600	0	1078
WATTS	58816	273	94	1120	1120	1120	280	600	0	1600	0	1078
BELL, BELL GARDENS	88374	1346	145	1120	1120	1120	280	600	0	1600	0	1078
SOUTH GATE	66784	1056	104	1120	1120	1120	280	600	0	1600	0	1078
LYNWOOD	41663	817	34	1120	1120	1120	280	600	0	1600	0	1078
EAST COMPTON	75321	1737	586	1120	1120	1120	280	600	0	1600	0	1078
COMPTON, WILLOWBROOK	66253	352	161	15	74	0	8	0	38	31	25	0

TABLE 38-a Region I

ASIAN FAMILY INCOME BY UNITED WAY REGIONS AND STUDY AREAS

Los Angeles County, 1980

** 1980 POPULATION: FAMILY INCOME - ASIAN- **
** UNITED WAY SPECIAL AREAS CENSUS DATA AS OF 1 APRIL 80 **
** STATE OF CALIFORNIA **
** P=PARTIAL RECORD S=SUPPRESSED BY CENSUS BUREAU ?=VALUE NOT PRECISE SEE ENCLOSED MEMO FOR IMPORTANT NOTES **

	TOTAL FAMILIES	ASIAN FAMILIES, BY INCOME										
		TOTAL	< $5000	$5,000 - 7,499	$7,500 - 9,999	$10,000 - 14,999	$15,000 - 19,999	$20,000 - 24,999	$25,000 - 34,999	$35,000 - 49,999	$50,000 OR MORE
LOS ANGELES	1824119	106384	7614	4592	5370	12003	12675	14408	22349	17378	9775
REGION I	3901747	130167	7737	4237	4437	12437	14487	18727	28277	27267	12237
ACTON	10146	237	77	47	37	237	447	527	447	807	137
LAKE HUGHES	4305	244	7	47	37	237	447	527	447	807	137
SAN FERNANDO	4198	377	0	0	5	15	9	30	45	107	29
ALTADENA	11507	1377	97	77	97	77	97	97	177	97	47
ARCADIA	17328	1377	197	97	207	217	337	147	237	187	47
AZUSA	8737	1377	147	97	117	237	367	197	1117	697	317
BALDWIN PARK	8966	1377	4	8	0	147	10	24	34	25	8
BALDWIN PARK CITY	14011	1377	14	2	42	43	65	128	195	148	34
BEVERLY HILLS	7208	1377	0	0	32	61	110	148	160	128	28
CANOGA PARK	11015	1377	207	137	97	217	347	397	637	487	207
CHATSWORTH-PORTER RANCH	13437	1377	157	217	137	217	487	427	997	777	337
CHINO	18852	1377	0	3	8	48	87	127	232	321	140
CLAREMONT	8737	1377	37	7	8	8	27	27	27	27	8
COVINA	21765	1377	107	47	37	87	107	147	237	187	47
ENCINO	13720	1377	147	107	37	77	107	147	237	187	47
GLENDORA	20046	1004	37	47	37	77	107	147	237	187	47
NORTH HOLLYWOOD	21724	1377	37	47	37	77	107	138	237	187	47
BURBANK	31774	1377	37	47	37	77	107	147	237	187	47
NORTH GLENDALE	31774	1377	37	47	37	77	107	147	237	187	47
SOUTH GLENDALE	31774	1377	37	47	37	77	107	147	237	187	47
SAN MARINO-TARZANA	31774	1377	37	47	37	77	107	147	237	187	47
SHERMAN OAKS	14214	1377	84	48	68	77	203	220	1837	2487	1217
STUDIO CITY	8021	1377	77	8	0	13	26	195	198	174	58
WOODLAND HILLS	25887	1377	77	97	207	267	97	36	28	58	39
AGOURA-CALABASAS	12110	1377	157	97	97	217	217	197	577	407	477
WESTLAKE VILLAGE	4084	1377	287	77	97	217	217	397	677	477	287
ANGELES NATIONAL FORREST	3315	1377	97	57	97	97	97	397	397	397	287
PALMDALE	515	1377	0	0	97	97	97	97	397	397	117
SANTA CLARITA	122747	1377	97	97	97	97	19	0	397	397	117
LANCASTER	18607?	1377	97	97	97	97	97	97	97	97	97
EAGLE ROCK	4882	430	21	3	16	30	33	34	93	92	68

TABLE 38-b Region II

** 1980 POPULATION: FAMILY INCOME - ASIAN- CENSUS DATA AS OF 1 APRIL 80 **
** STATE OF CALIFORNIA SPECIAL AREAS **
** P-PARTIAL RECORD S-SUPPRESSED BY CENSUS BUREAU I-VALUE NOT PRECISE SEE ENCLOSED MEMO FOR IMPORTANT NOTES **

	TOTAL FAMILIES	ASIAN FAMILIES		ASIAN FAMILIES, BY INCOME							
		TOTAL	< $5000	$5,000 - 7,499	$7,500 - 9,999	$10,000 - 14,999	$15,000 - 19,999	$20,000 - 24,999	$25,000 - 34,999	$35,000 - 49,999	$50,000 OR MORE
REGION II	3454487	214967	13033	7897	8187	20187	22047	30487	54017	38107	21037
LA CANADA, FLINTRIDGE	5408	1187	07	07	07	07	07	07	317	237	437
ALHAMBRA	23047	1187	07	07	07	07	07	07	317	237	437
ARCADIA, PASADENA	17007	1227	147	127	187	2007	1307	1647	1947	1217	677
SOUTH PASADENA	17007	568	60	29	27	67	27	87	187	127	97
SAN MARINO	5403	1819	23	19	27	67	27	87	187	127	97
SAN GABRIEL	14406	1814	219	127	87	237	247	237	307	247	107
SAN GABRIEL-NOT UNITED BAY	3903	1117	37	07	07	07	07	27	227	187	117
MONTEREY PARK	12407	4147	247	177	177	317	277	1007	2417	1407	447
ALHAMBRA, RADPE	12407	4147	247	67	137	317	277	1007	2417	1407	447
ARCADIA	8407	2107	147	217	07	217	257	447	1107	1207	1177
BALDWIN	4449	648	07	07	07	07	07	07	07	07	07
AZUSA	9237	1077	237	147	87	287	147	167	747	167	07
BALDWIN PARK	13237	1077	237	07	107	167	147	167	747	167	07
LA VERNE	6307	1077	47	07	107	167	147	167	747	167	07
CLAREMONT	4206	1077	137	07	107	167	147	167	747	167	07
COVINA	8644	1077	137	07	107	167	147	167	747	167	07
DIAMOND BAR	21457	501	47	447	807	697	247	827	2377	2377	2377
DUARTE	6407	1077	137	07	107	167	147	167	747	167	07
GLENDORA	14407	1077	137	07	107	167	147	167	747	167	07
IRWINDALE	1307	430	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MONROVIA	13407	1077	137	07	107	167	147	167	747	167	07
WEST COVINA	23407	2807	37	07	07	07	07	07	1407	1407	1407
MONTEREY PARK-IRWINDALE	12047	1407	647	137	107	447	1107	2207	3647	3317	1317
VALINDA	12047	1407	647	137	107	447	1107	2207	3647	3317	1317
LA PUENTE	4547	1407	647	137	107	447	1107	2207	3647	3317	1317
ROWLAND HEIGHTS	6884	2134	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WALNUT	5320	1268	187	21	21	41	41	207	1937	1317	1317
WALNUT HEIGHTS	3320	1268	187	21	21	41	41	207	1937	1317	1317
INDUSTRY	1407	1407	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WALNUT	4007	1407	647	137	107	447	1107	2207	3647	3317	1317
BALDWIN PARK	7407	1407	647	137	107	447	1107	2207	3647	3317	1317
EL MONTE	21047	2407	647	137	107	447	1107	2207	3647	3317	1317
SOUTH EL MONTE	2407	1407	647	137	107	447	1107	2207	3647	3317	1317
ROSEMEAD	21047	6297	837	427	817	837	1107	1027	1267	277	297
SAN DIMAS	13443	1533	48	38	77	167	161	212	427	277	297
MONTEREY PARK	13308	4544	294	161	145	412	421	634	1035	885	557

239

TABLE 38-c Region III

1980 POPULATION: FAMILY INCOME - ASIAN-
CENSUS TRACT (CT) UNITED NOT SPECIAL AREAS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
P-PARTIAL RECORD S-SUPPRESSED BY CENSUS BUREAU ?-VALUE NOT PRECISE

CENSUS DATA AS OF 1 APRIL 80

SEE ENCLOSED MEMO FOR IMPORTANT NOTES

	TOTAL FAMILIES	ASIAN FAMILIES, BY INCOME: TOTAL	< $5000	$5,000 - 7,499	$7,500 - 9,999	$10,000 - 12,499	$12,500 - 14,999	$15,000 - 17,499	$17,500 - 19,999	$20,000 - 22,499	$22,500 - 24,999	$25,000 OR MORE
REGION III	4133417	286113	14477	8877	10277	23213	38407	38723	44347	54487	37887	
TORRANCE	17644	1147	123?	127	347	1?7	1?7	1?7	1?7	1?7	1?7	
NORTH TORRANCE	8514	1470	45	4	35	1?7	1?7	1?7	1?7	1?7	1?7	
WEST TORRANCE	6378	344?	207	47	07	1?7	1?7	1?7	1?7	1?7	1?7	
CENTRAL TORRANCE	3147	2357	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
EAST TORRANCE	3567	102	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
LA PALMA	9511	1132	0	3	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	
SOUTH TORRANCE	14588	1110	12	0	22	0	1?7	1?7	1?7	1?7	1?7	
CERRITA	4032	1135	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
PALOS VERDES	17?047	1?337	207	14?	07	1?7	1?7	1?7	1?7	1?7	1?7	
WEST SAN PEDRO	8565	332	8	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
SAN PEDRO	11430	835	77	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
HARBOR CITY	5114	387	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CARSON	18710	2557?	1097	437	437	1077	1?7	1?7	1?7	1?7	1?7	
WILMINGTON	9050?	545	117	57	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
WEST LONG BEACH	6481	1?457	787	707	1?7	1?7	1?7	1?7	1?7	1?7	1?7	
CENTRAL LONG BEACH	20644	15927	2807	1447	187	1?7	1?7	1?7	1?7	1?7	1?7	
BELMONT SHORES	7624	497	07	07	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
EAST LONG BEACH	8346	398	77	23	47	7	0	0	0	0	0	
SIGNAL HILL	1522	77	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
LBTBY	7089	1877	167	197	77	1?7	1?7	1?7	1?7	1?7	1?7	
INDUSTRIAL	07	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
LOS ALTOS	19077?	4477	67	57	97	0	0	0	0	0	0	
EAST LAKEWOOD	8479	4477	347	507	627	0	0	0	0	0	0	
LAKEWOOD	16237	2017	07	47	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
NORTH LONG BEACH	14234	440	58	63	47	0	0	0	0	0	0	
PARAMOUNT	8586	495	24	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
BELLFLOWER	14393	1?28	52	17	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	
ARTESIA	3443	1?28	54	17	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CERRITOS	13614	2865	54	27	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	
NORWALK	21023	924	57	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
DOWNEY	22774	1?17	357	477	197	1?7	1?7	1?7	1?7	1?7	1?7	
MONTEBELLO	17048	1?047	907	257	337	1?7	1?7	1?7	1?7	1?7	1?7	
PICO RIVERA	13111	3017	287	07	147	177	07	07	07	07	07	
WEST WHITTIER	3?44	287	07	07	07	07	07	07	07	07	07	
WHITTIER	3114	91	17	0	6	19	3?	0	0	0	0	
NORTH WHITTIER	7344	1247	187	47	07	147	1?7	1?7	1?7	1?7	1?7	
SOUTH WHITTIER	4402	78	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
LA COUNTY WEST	5?247	787	07	77	1?7	1?7	1?7	1?7	1?7	1?7	1?7	
SANTA FE SPRINGS	4128	767	07	147	177	07	1?7	1?7	1?7	1?7	07	
LA COUNTY EAST	14441?	319	17	9	13	33	39	40	86	0	18?	
CA NIRADA	9481	2077	217	07	07	267	457	307	407	367	07	
TERMINAL ISLAND	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
AVALON-CATALINA	608	07	07	07	07	07	07	07	07	07	07	

240

TABLE 38-d Region IV

CENSUS DATA AS OF 1 APRIL 80

SEE ENCLOSED MEMO FOR IMPORTANT NOTES

	TOTAL FAMILIES	ASIAN FAMILIES, BY INCOME										
		TOTAL	< $5000	$5,000 - 7,499	$7,500 - 9,999	$10,000 - 12,499	$12,500 - 14,999	$15,000 - 17,499	$17,500 - 19,999	$20,000 - 22,499	$22,500 - 24,999	$25,000 OR MORE
REGION IV	2527717	131867	6527	5307	5557	17517	15187	19357	26907	22107	13457	
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]

TABLE 38-e Region V

** R199 POPULATION: FAMILY INCOME - ASIAN- CENSUS DATA AS OF 1 APRIL 80 **
** LEVEL: MI UNITED WAY SPECIAL AREAS **
** STATE OF CALIFORNIA **
** P-PARTIAL RECORD S-SUPPRESSED BY CENSUS BUREAU ?-VALUE NOT PRECISE SEE ENCLOSED MEMO FOR IMPORTANT NOTES **

	TOTAL FAMILIES	ASIAN FAMILIES, BY INCOME										
		TOTAL	< $5000	$5,000 - 7,499	$7,500 - 9,999	$10,000 - 14,999	$15,000 - 19,999	$20,000 - 24,999	$25,000 - 34,999	$35,000 - 49,999	$50,000 & ABOVE
REGION V	4189867	280987	33157	19067	24057	44007	39767	36587	43077	25447	14857
HOLLYWOOD HILLS, GRIFFITH P	10353	740	44	22	37	79	44	111	98	213	
WEST HOLLYWOOD	13654	1358	25	0	0	97	24	43	50	48	33
HOLLYWOOD	21059	1358									
SILVER LAKE	24053	4158	408	234	4	7	8	2	2	2	20
ATWATER, GLASSELL	10050	1244	29	36	22	11	6	2	2	1	13
MOUNT WASHINGTON	4410	419	0	29	0	8	0	0	0	0	0
RICHLAND PARK	8539	1342	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EL SERENO	8539	1342	0	32	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
LINCOLN HEIGHTS	7450	1450	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ELYSIAN PARK	4470	1450	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WESTLAKE	19884	2332	0	218	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WILSHIRE	43207	4320	90	32	34	49	43	24	20	13	4
WEST WILSHIRE	11340	2497	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WILSHIRE-PICO	10570	2497	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SANTA BARBARA	19263	2497	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DOWNTOWN	13263	2544	47	20	24	43	34	29	29	12	8
WHOLESALE	3263	2497	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOYLE HEIGHTS	16472	2497	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EAST LOS ANGELES	24129	2497	186	167	13	0	0	0	0	0	0
HUNTINGTON PARK, MAYWOOD	18431	2497	48	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CENTRAL	21129	2497	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
UNIVERSITY	31098	2497	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EXPOSITION PARK	13529	2497	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
AVALON	13060	2497	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FLORENCE-GRAHAM	22694	2497	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SOUTH-VERMONT, GREEN MEADOW	22109	2497	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EAST INGLEWOOD	9811	2497	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WATTS	13547	2497	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BELL, BELL GARDENS	20381	300	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SOUTH GATE	24128	2497	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LYNWOOD	19263	2497	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EAST COMPTON	10263	2497	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COMPTON, WILLOWBROOK	15515	417	187	127	67	67	67	67	67	67	67

APPENDIX E

REFERENCES

REFERENCES

Amsun Associates. Socio-economic Analysis of Asian American Business Patterns. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Commerce, Office of Minority Business Enterprise, January 1977.

Asian Health Project. Asian-Pacific Health News. Los Angeles, Spring 1985.

Baldwin, Beth C. Patterns of Adjustment: A Second Look at Indochinese Resettlement in Orange County. Garden Grove, CA: United Way of Orange County, Immigrant and Refugee Planning Center, 1984.

Bouvier, Leon F. and Anthony J. Agresta. "The Fastest Growing Minority." American Demographics (May 1985).

California Advisory Committee. Asian American and Pacific Peoples: A Case of Mistaken Identity. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, February 1975.

California Advisory Committee. A Dream Unfilfilled: Korean and Filipino Health Professionals in California. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, May 1975.

California Department of Education. "Ethnic Breakdown by School, by District, by County: 1984-85 CBEDS Database." Sacramento, CA., October 1985.

California Department of Finance. "Estimates of the Southeast Asian Refugee Population in California Counties and the State." Sacramento, CA: Population Research Unit, July 1, 1983.

California Department of Finance. Population Projections for California Counties 1980-2000 with Age/Sex Detail to 2020, Base Line '83. (Report 83-P-3) Sacramento, CA: Population Research Unit, October 1983.

California Department of Industrial Relations. Californians of Japanese, Chinese and Filipino Ancestry: Population, Education, Employment, Income. San Francisco, CA: Division of Fair Employment Practices, June 1965.

California Department of Justice. 1981 Criminal Justice Profile: Los Angeles County. Sacramento, CA: Division of Law Enforcement, October 1982.

California Department of Justice. 1983 Criminal Justice Profile: Los Angeles County. Sacramento, CA: Division of Law Enforcement, October 1984.

Community Resource Information Bank. "Countywide Services-Target Groups: Asian Community." (unpublished database printout) Los Angeles County Department of Children's Services, July 1985.

Community Resource Information Bank. "Countywide Alphabetical." (unpublished database printout) Los Angeles County Department of Children's Services, December 1984.

Caplan, Nathan. "Working Toward Self-Sufficiency." ISR Newsletter Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan, Institute for Social Science Research, Spring-Summer 1985.

Espenshade, Thomas J. and Goodis, Tracy Ann. "Recent Immigrants to Los Angeles: Current Impacts, Future Trends," (Revised March 1985, prepared as part of Urban Institute study of the impact of immigration in California), presented at Conference on Immigration and Jobs in Los Angeles: Current Impacts, Future Trends. Los Angeles, February 1985.

Gardner, Robert W., Bryant Robey and Peter Smith. "Asian Americans: Growth, Change, Diversity." Population Bulletin Vol. 4, No. 4 (October 1985).

Hernandez, John D. and Jesus D. Aguirre. California Racial/Ethnic Population Trends, 1940-1990: A Census Perspective. Beverly Hills, CA: U.S. Research, 1984.

"Immigrants: The Changing Face of America." Time. (Special Issue) July 8, 1985.

Los Angeles County Department of Health Services, Data Collection and Analysis Division. Vital Statistics Report: 1980 and 1981.

Los Angeles County Department of Health Services, Data Collection and Analysis Division. Vital Statistics Report: 1982.

Los Angeles County Department of Health Services, Data Collection and Analysis Division. Vital Statistics Report: 1983.

McCarthy, Kevin F. "California: Ellis Island of the '80s." Challenge. (July-August 1983).

Muller, Thomas. The Fourth Wave: California's Newest Immigrants. (Summary) Washington, D.C.: The Urban Institute, 1984.

Ngor, Haing S. "Indochinese Refugees." Testimony before House Subcommittee on Census and Population, Los Angeles, July 19, 1985.

Passel, Jeffrey S. "Immigration to the United States." Text of presentation delivered at Regional Census Tables in Los Angeles, Fresno and San Francisco, October 2-4, 1983.

Passel, Jeffrey S. and Woodrow, Karen A. "Geographic Distribution of Undocumented Immigrants: Estimates of Undocumented Aliens Counted in the 1980 Census by States. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Population Association of America, Minneapolis, MN, May 3-5, 1984.

Robey, Bryant. "America's Asians." American Demographics. (May 1985).

Robey, Bryant. "The Not-So-Huddled Masses." American Demographics. (May 1985).

Southern California Association of Governments. Southern California: A Region in Transition - Scenarios of Future Immigration and Ethnicity. Los Angeles, 1984.

Takai, Ronald. "Asian Americans as a Model Minority for Blacks." Asian Week (February 22, 1985).

U.S. Bureau of the Census. Census Tracts: Los Angeles-Long Beach, California Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area. (PHC 80-2) Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1973.

U.S. Commission of Civil Rights. Success of Asian Americans: Fact or Fiction? (Clearinghouse Publication 64) Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, September 1980.

U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare. Study of Selected Socio-economic Characteristics of Ethnic Minorities Based on the 1970 Census, Volume II: Asian American. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, July 1974.

United Way, Inc., Central Region and Western Region. Koreatown Profile Study Report. Los Angeles, 1985.

United Way, Inc., Asian Pacific Research and Development Council. Taking Care of Our Own. Los Angeles, 1985.

Yu, Eui-Young. "Korean Community in America: Past, Present and Future." Amerasia. Vol. 10, No. 2 (1983).

STAFF

Francis X. McNamara, Jr.—PRESIDENT
Alice McHugh—SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT
Planning and Resource Development
Leland Wong—PROJECT MANAGER
Marge Nichols—RESEARCH DIRECTOR
Judy Malunda—RESEARCH CONSULTANT

DESIGN—Stuart Iwasaki, Barry D. Anklam
ART—John Papp
PRINTING—Colorgraphics

ORDERING INFORMATION

To obtain additional copies
of this brochure, or the full
Pacific Rim Profiles: Technical Report,
call or write:

Asian Pacific Research
and Development Council
Planning and Resource
Development Division
United Way, Inc.
621 South Virgil Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90005
(213) 736 1300